## SUPPLEMENTAL SUPPORTING STATEMENT Part A

## ARMS 1, ARMS 2, ARMS 3, Fruit Chemical Use Survey and State Cooperative Surveys

#### Substantive Change

#### OMB No. 0535-0218

This substantive change is being submitted as a supplemental supporting statement to the existing clearance for the Agricultural Resource Management Surveys (ARMS), the Fruit Chemical Use Survey, and State Cooperative Chemical Use Surveys.

#### A. JUSTIFICATION

#### **1.** Circumstances making collection of information necessary.

The National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) is requesting a substantive change to the ARMS and Chemical Use Survey docket (OMB No. 0535-0218) for the 2022 ARMS Phase 1 (Screening Survey), ARMS Phase 2, Chemical Use, and ARMS Phase 3 (Costs and Returns Survey). The change is needed for two purposes:

- Announce target commodities for the 2022 cycle, and
- to collect data from additional historically underserved farm producer groups.

NASS conducts the ARMS program as a part of a cooperative agreement with the USDA Economic Research Service (ERS). In addition, the USDA Office of Pest Management Policy (OPMP) has provided input on the ARMS 2 (chemical use survey) as well as the Fruit and Vegetable Chemical Use Surveys. The Costs and Returns (Phase 3) surveys collect both whole farm data and commodity specific data. The whole farm data can be linked to the cropping practices and chemical use data collected in the ARMS 2 phase. The majority of the questions that are asked in the Phase 3 surveys are part of the ARMS core program and rarely have any changes made to them. The cooperative agreement allows ERS to ask additional research questions each year to address changes in the farming industry. The OMB approval process is for a three-year period, so NASS projects ahead with the surveys and commodities that will be targeted for the next three years. Each year NASS will provide changes to the questionnaires to OMB for approval.

The target commodities for the 2022 cycle are: wheat (winter, Durum, and other spring wheat), potatoes, and vegetable chemical use.

This substantive change is in response to the Biden Administration's top priority of advancing equity for all Americans. As stated by the White House, "President

Biden is putting equity at the center of the agenda with a whole of government approach to embed racial justice across Federal agencies, policies, and programs." (Retrieved March 15, 2022, from

https://www.whitehouse.gov/priorities/.) The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) is contributing to the President's agenda by making racial justice and equity one of the top USDA priorities (Retrieved March 15, 2022, from https://www.usda.gov/media/radio/weekly-features/2021-02-09/what-are-tom-vilsacks-priorities-usda).

According to the USDA's Fiscal Year 2022 (FY22) Goals and Priorities:

Advancing Racial Justice, Equity and Opportunity: USDA is committed to the values of equity and inclusion rooted in justice and equal opportunity for our employees and those we serve. USDA is taking bold, historic action to reduce barriers to access, advance opportunity for underserved communities, and root out generations of systemic racism and discrimination. This includes embracing diversity, equity, inclusion, and access in the workplace and ensuring USDA is a great place to work with a well-trained and diverse workforce committed to its customers. In FY22, we will continue to build on our progress towards integrating equity into decision-making and programs and services.

(Retrieved March 15, 2022, from <u>https://www.fs.usda.gov/inside-fs/mail-call/usda-first-friday-peoples-department-serves-our-people</u>.)

USDA Secretary Tom Vilsack recently stated, "We must see to it that the programs we support and the investments we make are available to all and that we take distinct action in ensure that historically underserved farmers and ranchers are able to participate in USDA programs and benefit from the opportunities USDA investments and programs can help create." (Retrieved March 15, 2022, from

https://www.usda.gov/media/press-releases/2021/10/07/usda-commitmentsequity-agriculture-include-nearly-25-million.)

USDA's ERS and NASS are partnering to support President Biden's and USDA's priority to advance racial justice, equity, and opportunity by providing more detailed data and research on the socioeconomic characteristics of farmers and ranchers in the United States to ensure all USDA policies and decisions are inclusive of all people the Department serves. In order to meet these objectives, it is essential to modify the sampling methodology for the ARMS to gather additional information from historically underserved groups. This effort will ensure USDA is able to provide data about the financial well-being and other characteristics for historically underserved groups.

ARMS data are the primary source of information for the USDA on a broad range

of issues related to agricultural resource use, costs of production, farm sector financial conditions, and farm household well-being. ARMS is the only annual source of whole farm information available for objective evaluation of many critical issues related to agriculture and the rural economy. The additional information from historically underserved groups can be used to estimate operator's household income, credit/debt levels, USDA program participation outcomes, and other economic farm/ranch data and ensure USDA is meeting the President's and USDA's priorities to ensure policies and program serve all people, regardless of race, ethnicity, or income.

## 2. How, by whom, and for what purpose information is to be used.

In addition to the long list of data users of the ARMS and chemical use data that was provided in the original approval, this substantive change will provide the ERS and researchers with data to better understand and assess the financial health and needs of historically underserved farm producer groups.

ERS has spoken and worked with several groups regarding underserved farmers and ranchers including the General Accountability Office (GAO), USDA - Farm Production and Conservation (FPAC), and Secretary of Agriculture. Below are some recent examples of data and analyses on underserved farmers and ranchers for USDA and Congress. Please note that some of the data requests will result in publications. ERS will likely incorporate the data in their standard publications which will adhere to their normal publication schedules. In addition, ERS has the expectation that the data will be used to generate additional reports, bulletins, and journal articles. These additional publications do not have an established timeline but given the high level of interest in this topic, it is likely these projects will be given priority in terms of staff resources and publication space. ERS also anticipates that having data on underserved farmers and ranchers will generate discussion with other government agencies interested in using these data to help gage how well they are serving this population.

- A. USDA-FPAC Business Center requested help on heirs property using ARMS for the Cost-Benefit Analysis in the Heirs Property Relending Program. https://www.regulations.gov/document/FSA-2021-0002-0001. See discussion on page 12-16 and citation of ERS contribution to the analysis in footnote 28. ERS has continued to engage on heirs property issues with USDA-FPAC Business Center and other stakeholders.
- B. Section 12607 of the 2018 farm bill (P.L. 115-334) "Reports on land access and farmland ownership data collection". The responsibility for writing this report was delegated by the Secretary of Agriculture to the Economic Research Service and the report is presently in inter-agency clearance.
- C. Section 5416 of the 2018 farm bill (P.L. 115-334) "GAO report on credit services to socially disadvantaged farmers and ranchers". <u>GAO-19-539</u> drew on ARMS data tabulation and analysis carried out by ERS.

- D. Section 1005 of the American Rescue Plan (P.L. 117-2) "Farm loan assistance for socially disadvantaged farmers and ranchers". Analysis for the announcement of availability of funding drew on ARMS analysis of income and debt profiles of socially disadvantaged farmers and ranchers. This analysis was included in staff analysis requested by FPAC-Business Center in developing decision memos at the USDA Under Secretary level.
- E. In development: "An Overview of Farms Operated by Socially Disadvantaged, Women, and Limited Resource Farmers and Ranchers in the United States". This report will be entering into peer review this fiscal year.

## 3. Use of improved information technology.

There are no changes from the original approval.

# 4. Efforts to identify duplication.

There are no changes from the original approval related to duplication reduction.

# 5. Methods to minimize burden of small businesses.

With the use of the CATI and CAWI instruments, the incorporated screening questions and skip patterns should help minimize burden as much as possible.

## 6. Consequence if information collection were less frequent.

There are no changes to the frequency of these surveys from what was originally approved.

## 7. Special circumstances.

No special circumstances are associated with this information collection.

# 8. Federal register notice and consultation with outside persons.

ERS consulted with staff from General Accountability Office (GAO) and USDA's Office of the Secretary of Agriculture as well as USDA's Farm Production and Conservation (FPAC),

## 9. Payments or gifts to respondents.

No payments or gifts will be given to respondents.

## **10.** Confidentiality provided to respondents.

This substantive change request does not change the confidentiality provisions

from the original approval. These provisions include the following.

Questionnaires include a statement that individual reports are confidential. U.S. Code Title 18, Section 1905; U.S. Code Title 7, Section 2276; and Title III of Pub. L. No. 115-435 (CIPSEA) provide for confidentiality of reported information. All NASS employees, all enumerators hired and supervised under a cooperative agreement with the National Association of State Departments of Agriculture (NASDA), and all NASS contractors must either receive annual training or sign a statement attesting to compliance with the confidentiality provisions.

The following confidentiality pledge statement will appear on all NASS questionnaires.

The information you provide will be used for statistical purposes only. Your responses will be kept confidential and any person who willfully discloses ANY identifiable information about you or your operation is subject to a jail term, a fine, or both. This survey is conducted in accordance with the Confidential Information Protection and Statistical Efficiency Act of 2018, Title III of Pub. L. No. 115-435, codified in 44 U.S.C. Ch. 35 and other applicable Federal laws. For more information on how we protect your information please visit: <u>https://www.nass.usda.gov/confidentiality</u>. Response to this survey is voluntary.

## **11.** Questions of a sensitive nature.

No questions of sensitive nature will be asked.

## **12.** Hour burden and annualized costs to respondents.

The following tables in this question contain the estimated burden hours for the surveys included in this supplemental supporting statement.

The lines in purple are changes.

#### Revisions for 2022 – sheet 1

2020	Costs & Returns Report (Phase 3) (Mail) 3/ CRR Version	32,000	1	8,000	8,000	110	14,667	24,000	24,000	2	800	15,467
	Costs & Returns Report (Phase 3) (Enumeration) 3/	24,000	1	17,760	17,760	110	32,560	6,240	6,240	2	208	32,768
	Costs & Returns Report (Phase 3) (Mail) 3/ Hogs	2,200	1	550	550	115	1,054	1,650	1,650	2	55	1,109
	Costs & Returns Report (Phase 3) (Enumeration) 3/	1,650	1	1,221	1,221	115	2,340	429	429	2	14	2,354
	2020 Total	110,000		88,000	118,731		75,288	29,469	143,119		4,770	80,058
	ARMS Screening Survey (Phase 1) (Mail)1/ 4/	100,000	1	20,000	20,000	15	5,000	80,000	80,000	2	2,667	7,667
	ARMS Screening Survey (Phase 1) (Enumeration) 6/	80,000	1	60,000	60,000	15	15,000	20,000	20,000	2	667	15,667
	Production Practices Report (Phase 2) 2/	1,850	1	1,480	1,480	50	1,233	370	370	2	12	1,245
2021	Production Practices & Costs Report (Phase 2) 2/	4,900	1	3,920	3,920	65	4,247	980	980	2	33	4,280
	Costs & Returns Report (Phase 3) (Mail) 3/	35,000	1	7,000	7,000	100	11,667	28,000	28,000	2	933	12,600
	Costs & Returns Report (Phase 3) (Enumeration) 3/	30,000	1	22,500	22,500	100	37,500	7,500	7,500	2	250	37,750
	2021 Total	100,000		80,000	114,900		74,647	27,500	136,850		4,562	79,209
	Integrated Screening Survey (Phase 1) (Mail)1/ 4/	100,000	1	20,000	20,000	15	5,000	80,000	80,000	2	2,667	7,667
	Integrated Screening Survey (Phase 1) (Enumeration) 6/	80,000	1	60,000	60,000	15	15,000	20,000	20,000	2	667	15,667
	Production Practices Report (Phase 2) 2/	1,850	1	1,480	1,480	50	1,233	370	370	2	12	1,245
2022	Production Practices & Costs Report (Phase 2) 2/	4,900	1	3,920	3,920	65	4,247	980	980	2	33	4,280
	Costs & Returns Report (Phase 3) (Mail) 3/ 7/	43,000	1	8,600	8,600	100	14,333	34,400	34,400	2	1,147	15,480
	Costs & Returns Report (Phase 3) (Enumeration) 3/ 7/	34,400	1	25,800	25,800	100	43,000	8,600	8,600	2	287	43,287
	2022 Total	100,000		80,000	119,800		82,813	28,600	144,350		4,813	87,626
Cognitive	Testing											
3 years	Testing approximately 50 ARMS and/or Chemical Use questionnaires per year	50	1	50	50	90	75	-	-		-	75

1/ ARMS and Chemical Use surveys are conducted on a cyclicle basis that does not follow a calendar year, but instead follows the crop production year. The ARMS phase 1 is conducted in the Spring and early Summer for that crop year. The ARMS 2 and Chemical Use Surveys are conducted in the Autumn for the current production cycle. The ARMS 3 is conducted the following year for the previous years expenses and income for both whole farm and commodity specific data.

2/ Phase 2 surveys are typically conducted as face to face interviews. Field enumerators can copy much of the chemical use data from the farm operator's record books. The remainder of the data can be obtained directly from the farm operator. The chemical data is related to a specific field selected of each farm sampled for this survey.

3/ All Phase 3 questionnaires will be attempted by mail and internet first with phone and field enumeration for non-respondents.

4/ In 2020 and 2022 the ARMS Phase 1 Screener will also be used to pre-screen for the Vegetable Chemical Use Survey and is named Integrated Screening Survey.

5/ The ARMS Phasess 2 & 3 are both subsampled from the Phase I Screening Survey.

6/ Phase 1 is available by internet, mail, phone and face to face enumeration. The questionnaires are mailed out with pre-survey letter and internet instructions. Historically we have received approximately a 20% response rate by mail and CASI/CAWI combined. This is followed by phone and personal enumeration for non-respondents.

7/ Additional sample is requested for the 2022 Phase 3 survey (enumerated in early 2023) for analysis of historically underserved farms.

Subtotal ARMS Current	202,200	1	160,550	235,250	115	158,514	57,750	282,850	2	9,430	167,944
Annual Avg	67,400	0	53,517	78,417	38	52,838	19,250	94,283	1	3,143	55,981
Subtotal ARMS Previous Renewal Annual Avg	322,500 107,500	-	258,000 86,000	354,851 118,284	-	228,448 76,149	88,749 29,583	418,399 139,466	-	13,946 4,649	242,394 80,798

#### Revisions for 2022 – sheet 2

TOTALS

10130113	5 101 2022 -	Sheet Z												
Mississippi	All (crops)	Growers	Screener	1,350	1	473	473	15	118	878	878	2	29	147
Mississippi	Wheat	Growers	Cropping Practices - Wheat	70	1	56	56	90	84	14	14	2	0	84
Mississippi	Corn	Growers	Cropping Practices - Corn	115	1	92	92	90	138	23	23	2	1	139
Mississippi	Rice	Growers	Cropping Practices - Rice	40	1	32	32	90	48	8	8	2	0	48
Mississippi	Cotton	Growers	Cropping Practices - Cotton	90	1	72	72	90	108	18	18	2	1	109
Mississippi	Soybeans	Growers	Cropping Practices - Soybeans	115	1	92	92	90	138	23	23	2	1	139
Minnesota	Corn, Soybeans, Wheat, Hay	Growers	Pesticide & Fertilizer Use in Minnesota	8,400	1	6,720	6,720	35	3,920	1,680	1,680	2	56	3,976
			Pesticide & Fertilizer Best Management Practices in Minnesota (1st Mailing)	7,600	1	1,140	1,140	15	285	6,460	6,460	2	215	500
Minnesota (NEW)	Corn, Soybeans, Wheat, Hay	Growers	Pesticide & Fertilizer Best Management Practices in Minnesota (2nd Mailing)	6,460	1	969	969	15	242	5,491	5,491	2	183	425
			Pesticide & Fertilizer Best Management Practices in Minnesota (Phone Follow-Up)	5,491	1	3,954	3,954	15	988	1,537	1,537	2	51	1,039
Maryland	All	Pesticide	Maryland Pesticide Usage Survey (Mail)	6,800	1	2,040	2,040	45	1,530	4,760	4,760	2	159	1,689
ivia yla lu	All	Applicators	Maryland Pesticide Usage Survey (Phone Follow-Up)	4,760	1	3,332	3,332	45	2,499	1,428	1,428	2	48	2,547
			Nutrient Loss Reduction Strategy (1st Mailing)	1,900	1	570	570	25	238	1,330	1,330	2	44	282
Illinois	Cultural Practices	Crops	Nutrient Loss Reduction Strategy, (2nd Mailing)	1,330	1	200	200	25	83	1,131	1,131	2	38	121
			Nutrient Loss Reduction Strategy (Phone Follow-Up)	1,131	1	678	678	25	283	452	452	2	15	298
Publicity Mate														
	etter and/or EDR In	struction Sheet		26,050	1	20,419	20,419	5	1,702	5,631	5,631	2	188	1,890
Cognitive Test	•													
Question	naire Testing			30	1	30	30	120	60	0	0	2	0	60

<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> The publicity materials for these reimbursable surveys is not included in the publicity materials on the third page. That number is for the Federally Funded surveys only.

26,080

20,449

20,449

12,464

5,631

25,233

1,029 13,493

#### Revisions for 2022 - sheet 3

Vegetable Chem Use	4,200	1	3,360	3,360	60	3,360	840	840	2	28	3,388
Total			12,080	12,080		10,740	3,020	3,020		101	10,841
Microbial Food Saftey Practices Packer Survey											
Microbial Food Safety Practices Packer Survey	-	1	-	-	30	-	-	-	2	-	-
Total	-		-	-		-	-	-		-	-
als for ALL surveys 2/											
All materials for all versions	114,200	1	91,360	122,171	5	10,181	22,840	143,979	2	4,799	14,980
All materials for all versions	106,700	1	85,360	120,340	5	10,028	21,340	138,210	2	4,607	14,635
All materials for all versions	104,200	1	83,360	123,240	5	10,270	20,840	145,210	2	4,840	15,110
Total	325,100		260,080	365,751		30,479	65,020	427,399		14,246	44,725
Survey (Telephone Only) - Recontact operators to verify quality of NAS	DA enumera	tors. 4/									
Quality Control Worksheet (phone only)	1,500	1	1,500	1,500	5	125	-	-		-	125
Quality Control Worksheet (phone only)	1,500	1	1,500	1,500	5	125	-	-		-	125
Quality Control Worksheet (phone only)	1,500	1	1,500	1,500	5	125	-	-		-	125
Total	4,500		4,500	4,500		375				-	375
1											
Annual Totals	141,930	1	113,544	144,170		109,538	28,386	169,212		10,627	120,165
Annual Totals	134,430	1	107,554	142,339		109,404	26,876	163,443		10,244	119,648
Annual Totals	131,930	1	105,544	145,239		117,152	26,386	170,443		10,711	127,863
Annual Averages	136,097		108,881	143,916		112,031	27,216	167,699		10,527	122,558
Average Burden per Respondent per Year	0.90052526			1.32177571		0.7784492		6.16178		0.06278	
	Total   Total   Saftey Practices Packer Survey   Microbial Food Safety Practices Packer Survey   Total   als for ALL surveys 2/   All materials for all versions   Quality Control Worksheet (phone only)   Total   /   Annual Totals   Annual Totals   Annual Totals   Annual Averages	Vegetable Chem Use 4,200   Total 15,100   Saftey Practices Packer Survey -   Microbial Food Safety Practices Packer Survey -   Total -   als for ALL surveys 2/ -   All materials for all versions 114,200   All materials for all versions 106,700   All materials for all versions 104,200   Total 325,100   Survey (Telephone Only) - Recontact operators to verify quality of NASDA enumera   Quality Control Worksheet (phone only) 1,500   Quality Control Worksheet (phone only) 1,500   Quality Control Worksheet (phone only) 1,500   Annual Totals 134,430   Annual Totals 131,930   Annual Totals 131,930	Vegetable Chem Use4,2001Total15,100Saftey Practices Packer Survey-1Microbial Food Safety Practices Packer Survey-1Total-All materials for all versions114,2001All materials for all versions106,7001All materials for all versions104,2001All materials for all versions104,2001Total325,100Survey (Telephone Only) - Recontact operators to verify quality of NASDA enumerators. 4/Quality Control Worksheet (phone only)1,5001Quality Control Worksheet (phone only)1,5001Total4,500Annual Totals141,9301Annual Totals134,4301Annual Totals131,9301Annual Averages136,097	Vegetable Chem Use   4,200   1   3,360     Total   15,100   12,080     Saftey Practices Packer Survey   -   1   -     Microbial Food Safety Practices Packer Survey   -   1   -     Total   -   1   -     All materials food Safety Practices Packer Survey   -   1   -     als for ALL surveys 2/   All materials for all versions   114,200   1   91,360     All materials for all versions   106,700   1   85,360     All materials for all versions   106,700   1   85,360     All materials for all versions   104,200   1   83,360     Guality Control Worksheet (phone only)   1,500   1   1,500     Quality Control Worksheet (phone only)   1,500   1   1,500     Munual Totals	Vegetable Chem Use   4,200   1   3,360   3,360     Total   15,100   12,080   12,080   12,080     Saftey Practices Packer Survey   -   1   -   -     Microbial Food Safety Practices Packer Survey   -   1   -   -     Total   -   0   -   -   -     All materials food Safety Practices Packer Survey   -   1   -   -   -     All materials for all versions   114,200   1   91,360   122,171     All materials for all versions   106,700   1   85,360   120,340     All materials for all versions   104,200   1   83,360   123,240     Total   325,100   260,080   365,751     Survey (Telephone Only) - Recontact operators to verify quality of NASDA enumerators. 4/   Quality Control Worksheet (phone only)   1,500   1   1,500   1,500   1,500   1,500   1,500   1,500   1,500   1,500   1,500   1,500   1,500   1,500   1,500   1,500	Vegetable Chem Use   4.200   1   3,360   3,360   60     Total   15,100   12,080   12,080   12,080     Saftey Practices Packer Survey   -   1   -   -   30     Microbial Food Safety Practices Packer Survey   -   1   -   -   30     Total   -   0   -   -   30     Total   -   0   -   -   30     Intervials for all versions   114,200   1   91,360   122,171   5     All materials for all versions   106,700   1   85,360   120,340   5     All materials for all versions   104,200   1   83,360   123,240   5     Control Versions   104,200   1   83,360   123,240   5     Survey (Telephone Only) - Recontact operators to verify quality of NASDA enumerurs.4//   Quality Control Worksheet (phone only)   1,500   1,500   5     Quality Control Worksheet (phone only)   1,500   1   1,500   1,500   5	Vegetable Chem Use   4,200   1   3,360   3,360   60   3,360     Total   15,100   12,080   12,080   12,080   10,740     Saftey Practices Packer Survey   -   1   -   -   30   -     Microbial Food Safety Practices Packer Survey   -   1   -   -   30   -     Total   -   1   -   -   30   -     Total   -   1   -   -   30   -     All materials for all versions   114,200   1   91,360   122,171   5   10,181     All materials for all versions   106,700   1   85,360   120,340   5   10,270     Total   325,100   260,080   365,751   30,479     Survey (Telephone Only) - Recontact operators to verify quality of NASDA enumerators. 4/   4   4   5   125     Quality Control Worksheet (phone only)   1,500   1   1,500   1,500   5   125     Quality Control Workshe	Vegetable Chem Use   4,200   1   3,360   3,360   60   3,360   840     Total   15,100   12,080   12,080   12,080   10,740   3,020     Saftey Practices Packer Survey   -   1   -   -   30   -   -     Microbial Food Safety Practices Packer Survey   -   1   -   -   30   -   -     Total   -   0   -   -   30   -   -     All materials for all versions   114,200   1   91,360   122,171   5   10,028   21,340     All materials for all versions   106,700   1   85,360   120,340   5   10,028   21,340     All materials for all versions   104,200   1   83,360   123,240   5   10,227   20,840     All materials for all versions   104,200   1   83,360   123,240   5   10,228   20,840     Quality Control Worksheet (phone only)   1,500   1   1,500   1,5	Vegetable Chem Use   4.200   1   3.360   3.360   60   3.360   840   840     Total   15,100   12,080   12,080   10,740   3,020   3,020     Saftey Practices Packer Survey   .   1   .   .   30   .   .   .     Microbial Food Safety Practices Packer Survey   .   1   .	Vegetable Chem Use   4,200   1   3,360   60   3,360   840   840   2     Total   15,100   12,080   12,080   10,740   3,020   3,020     Saftery Practices Packer Survey   -   1   -   -   30   -   -   2     Microbial Food Safety Practices Packer Survey   -   1   -   -   30   -   -   -   2     Total   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   2     All materials for all versions   114,200   1   91,360   122,171   5   10,181   22,840   143,979   2     All materials for all versions   106,700   1   85,360   120,340   5   10,202   21,340   138,210   2     Guality Control Worksheet (phone only)   104,200   1   83,360   123,240   5   102,70   20,840   145,210   2     Quality Control Worksheet (phone only)   1,500   1   15	Vegetable Chem Use   4.200   1   3.360   3.360   60   3.360   840   840   2   28     Total   15,100   12,080   12,080   10,740   3,020   3,020   101     Saftey Practices Packer Survey   -   1   -   -   30   -   -   2   -     Microbial Food Safety Practices Packer Survey   -   1   -   -   30   -   -   2   -     All materials for ALL surveys 2/   -   -   -   14,200   1   91,360   122,171   5   10,181   22,840   143,979   2   4,799     All materials for all versions   106,700   1   85,360   120,240   5   10,028   21,340   138,210   2   4,800     Total   325,100   260,080   365,751   30,479   65,020   427,399   14,246     Quality Control Worksheet (phone only)   1,500   1   1,500   5   125   -   -

1/ ARMS and Chemical Use surveys are conducted on a cyclicle basis that does not follow a calendar year, but instead follows the crop production year. The ARMS phase 1 is conducted in the Spring and early Summer for that crop year. The ARMS II and Chemical Use Surveys are conducted in the Autumn for the current production cycle. The ARMS III is conducted the following year for the previous years expenses and income for both whole farm and commodity specific data.

2/ For annual totals the sample size does not include the counts from the publicity materials, since it is the same operators. However, the burden counts do include the burden associated with the publicity materials. The surveys that are attempted by mail will have the publicity materials included with the initial mailing. No publicity materials are sent out with the Contractor Expense Surveys.

3/ Contractor Expense Survey is conducted to collect and summarize the amount of farm input provided by contractors. This data is summarized and used to complete surveys when the farm operator cannot provide the contractor inputs for their farming operation.

4/ Publicity materials are not sent out to sampled operations that were contacted for a quality control survey.

The targeted commodities for the 2022 cycle are:

		Chemical Use	Fruit
	2021	ARMS Phase III	Wheat, Peanuts and Dairy
		Maryland Pesticide	All
		Minnesota Pesticide & Fertilizer	Corn, Soybeans, All Hay
		Mississippi Cropping Practices Survey	Corn, Soybeans, Rice, Wheat
ĺ			

PPCR - Production Practices and Costs Report

NOTE ON CRR/CENSUS: Respondents will have the option of completing the ARMS 3 questionnaire and not having to complete the Census of Agriculture. The ARMS 3 questionnaire contains the same essential questions as the Census of Agriculture.

Cost to the public for completing the questionnaire is assumed to be comparable to the hourly rate of those requesting the data. The adjusted overall cost to the public is estimated at \$4,727,095.11.

	Burden Hours	Estimated Hourly Rate \$	Total Cost \$
Original burden hours for total docket	120,828	\$ 36.97	\$ 4,467,011.1
Increase in burden hours for changes	7,035	\$ 36.97	\$ 260,083.9
Revised burden hours for total docket	127,863	\$ 36.97	\$ 4,727,095.1

NASS uses the Bureau of Labor Statistics' <u>Occupational Employment Statistics</u> (most recently published on March 31, 2021 for the previous May) to estimate an hourly wage for the burden cost. The May 2020 mean wage for bookkeepers was \$21.20. The mean wage for farm managers was \$36.93. The mean wage for farm supervisors was \$25.25. The mean wage of the three is \$27.79. To calculate the fully loaded wage rate (includes allowances for Social Security, insurance, etc.) NASS will add 33% for a total of \$36.97 per hour.

## **13.** Total annual cost burden to respondents.

No start-up or ongoing operation/maintenance costs are associated with this information collection.

# 14. Annualized costs to federal government.

An interagency agreement with the Economic Research Service is providing an additional \$500,000 for this research. This results in a total cost of \$18,500,000 for the Agricultural Resource Management Surveys and the Chemical Use programs.

#### **15.** Reasons for changes in burden.

The change in respondents, number of responses, and burden are primarily due to the change in the Phase 3 sample size (Additional samples, multiple contacts, and 100 minutes per completed report) is to provide enough competed reports for USDA and other researchers to understand and assess the financial health and needs of historically underserved farm producer groups. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020, 2021, and early 2022 resulted in the need for social distancing, NASS and our enumerators have had to alter the modes of data collection to begin using internet responses and an increase in data collection by telephone. Depending on the status of the pandemic, personal enumeration may be used for the Phase 2 and Phase 3 surveys again.

The below table highlights the change from what was previously approved.

Changes to ICR 1 - 2022	ARMS Surveys	
Beginning Balance for ICR 1	255,833	80,001
Program Changes		
Change in Integrated Screening Survey / ARMS 1	-	-
Change in ARMS 2	-	-
Change in ARMS 3	24,746	7,035
	-	-
Subtotal	24,746	7,035
Adjustment		
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-
Subtotal	-	-
Ending Balance for ICR 1	262,350	86,259
Changes to IC	R 2	
Ending Balance for ICR 2		
Changes to To	tals	
Program Changes	24,746	7,035
	,. 10	.,
Adjustment	-	-
Ending Balances	315,682	127,863
		,
target	290,936	120,828
diff	24,746	7,035
	,	,

# **16.** Tabulation, analysis, and publication plans.

ERS will likely incorporate the data in this change request in their standard publications which will adhere to their normal publication schedules. In addition, ERS has the expectation that the data will be used to generate additional reports, bulletins, and journal articles. These additional publications do not have an established timeline but given the high level of interest in this topic it is likely that these projects will be given priority in terms of staff resources and publication space.

# **17.** Request for approval of non-display of expiration date.

No request is being made for approval of non-display of the expiration date.

## **18.** Exceptions to certification statement.

No exceptions to the certification statement are requested.

April 2022