Form Approved OMB No. 0990-0379 Exp. Date 08/31/2023

Office of Population Affairs (OPA) 2022 Annual Grantee Satisfaction Survey

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0990-0379. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 20 minutes per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, to review and complete the information collection. If you have comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, please write to: U.S. Department of Health & Human Services, OS/OCIO/PRA, 200 Independence Ave., S.W., Suite 336-E, Washington D.C. 20201, Attention: PRA Reports Clearance Officer

Embryo Adoption Awareness, Teen Pregnancy Prevention, and Title X Family Planning Services Grants

2022 Annual Grantee Satisfaction Survey

Introduction:

You have received this survey because your organization has one or more federal awards (grant or cooperative agreement) funded by the Office of Population Affairs (OPA). The purpose of this survey is to assess your satisfaction with OPA grantee support, communications, and other resources. We are also interested in your suggestions for how to improve the services, technical assistance (TA) products, and support from OPA and our contractors. OPA plans to use the results of the survey for continuous quality improvement efforts, including more effective customer service and to identify areas for improvement.

You are free to consult with others within your organization as needed to answer the questions, but you can only submit one survey per grant. If you have more than one federal award from OPA, you will see some questions repeated for each grant project. You may stop and start the survey at any time. Once you click the submit button, you cannot revise any responses.

Your participation is voluntary and confidential. You can skip any item. Please provide honest responses and complete information. Your responses will not affect your current award or your eligibility for, or receipt of, future services or funding.

Do not put your name or organization's name on the survey. Results will be reported to OPA in a manner that does not identify information about an individual or an organization and to be used only for the purposes of continuous quality improvement. Aggregated results may be used by OPA to share with selected stakeholders (e.g., grantees, federal partners) for the purposes of knowledge-sharing and improving processes.

Please take the time to complete the survey. It should take about 20 minutes to complete. If you have questions about this survey, please email Dr. Tiara N. Jackson at OPASupport@norc.org. Thank you for your participation.

		Yes No_						
		No_						
		If no,						
		How long has your organi	zation bee	en an OPA g	rantee?			
		JIDANCE, TRAINING & TEC Officer Monitoring and St		SSISTANCE	_			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	e your satisfaction with yo		Officer in t	he followin	g areas: Ouestio	n will reneat f	or each
		ject Officer.	our i roject	. Officer in c	ine ronovini	g areas. Questio	ii viii repeat j	or ederi
J		•	Very					
			satisfie		Neutral		Very	Not
			d	Satisfied		Dissatisfied	dissatisfied	applicable
	a.	Guidance on grant						
		program expectations						
	b.	Guidance on						
	c.	programmatic reporting Frequency of						
	L.	communication (email,						
		phone calls)						
	d.					П	П	
		responding to inquiries						
	e.	Clarity of						
		communication					Ц	
	f.	Consistency in						
	_	messaging Oversight and						
	g.	Oversight and monitoring of your						
		grant project						
	h.	Adequacy of TA						
		resource(s) and						
		support(s) to help your						
		grant project succeed						
		(e.g., connecting to						
		other grantees,						
	i.	sharing TA) Level of professionalism						
	'	(e.g., courteousness,	_				_	
		responsiveness,						

1. Is this the organization's first OPA grant?

respectfulness)

	Very satisfie d	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	Not applicable
j. Overall performance						

- 3. Would you like more or less communication from your Project Officer(s)?
 - a. More communication
 - b. Stay the same
 - c. Less communication
- 4. What types of PO communication are most helpful?
 - a. Emails
 - b. 1:1 calls
 - c. Office hours
 - d. Responses to questions

Programmatic Guidance and Project Officer Feedback

5. Please consider written communications and resources that you have received from OPA and rate your level of agreement with the statements below: *Question will repeat for each grant*.

OF	PA Notice of Funding	Strongly		Neutra		Strongly	Not
	portunity (NOFO)*	agree	Agree	I	Disagree	disagree	applicable
a.	The NOFO was clear and		П	П	Г	Г	П
	easy to understand.	Ш	Ш		Ш	Ш	
b.	The grant expectations						
	included in the NOFO are	п	П		П	П	
	clear and easy to	Ц			Ц	Ц	
	understand.						
c.	Technical Assistance						
	related to the NOFO was						
	useful.						

^{*}Hover text: Funding Opportunity Announcements (FOA) are now called, "Notice of Funding Opportunities".

[Note: Removed Planning Period Activities]

Pro	oject Officer Feedback on	Strongly		Neutra		Strongly	Not
Progress Reports		agree	Agree	I	Disagree	disagree	applicable
a.	The feedback was clear	П	П	П	П	П	П
	and easy to understand.		Ц	Ш	Ш		
b.	The feedback was helpful						

in identifying areas of						
continuous improvement						
for our grant.						
The feedback was						
consistent with the	П	П	п	п	п	П
expectations outlined in		Ш		Ш	Ш	□□□
the NOFO.						
The feedback received was	П	П		П		П
timely.	Ш					Ц
	Strongly		Neutra			Not
idance	agree	Agree	I	Disagree	disagree	applicable
The guidance was clear						
and easy to understand.						
The guidance was						
provided within sufficient						
time.						
oject Officer Feedback on	Strongly		Neutra		Strongly	Not
ntinuation Applications	agree	Agree	I	Disagree	disagree	applicable
The feedback was clear			П			
and easy to understand.	Ш		Ш	Ш	Ш	
The feedback was helpful						
in identifying areas of						
continuous quality						
improvement for our						
grant.						
The feedback was						
consistent with the				П	П	
expectations outlined in		│ ∐		Ш		
_						
	continuous improvement for our grant. The feedback was consistent with the expectations outlined in the NOFO. The feedback received was timely. A Continuation Application idance The guidance was clear and easy to understand. The guidance was provided within sufficient time. Dject Officer Feedback on intinuation Applications The feedback was clear and easy to understand. The feedback was helpful in identifying areas of continuous quality improvement for our grant. The feedback was consistent with the	continuous improvement for our grant. The feedback was consistent with the expectations outlined in the NOFO. The feedback received was timely. A Continuation Application idance The guidance was clear and easy to understand. The guidance was provided within sufficient time. Diject Officer Feedback on Intinuation Applications The feedback was clear and easy to understand. The feedback was helpful in identifying areas of continuous quality improvement for our grant. The feedback was consistent with the expectations outlined in	continuous improvement for our grant. The feedback was consistent with the expectations outlined in the NOFO. The feedback received was timely. CA Continuation Application idance The guidance was clear and easy to understand. The guidance was provided within sufficient time. Diject Officer Feedback on intinuation Applications The feedback was clear and easy to understand. The feedback was helpful in identifying areas of continuous quality improvement for our grant. The feedback was consistent with the expectations outlined in	continuous improvement for our grant. The feedback was consistent with the expectations outlined in the NOFO. The feedback received was timely. A Continuation Application idance The guidance was clear and easy to understand. The guidance was provided within sufficient time. Diject Officer Feedback on intinuation Applications The feedback was clear and easy to understand. Diject Officer Feedback on intinuation Applications The feedback was clear and easy to understand. The feedback was helpful in identifying areas of continuous quality improvement for our grant. The feedback was consistent with the expectations outlined in	continuous improvement for our grant. The feedback was consistent with the expectations outlined in the NOFO. The feedback received was timely. A Continuation Application idance The guidance was clear and easy to understand. The guidance was provided within sufficient time. Disagree Strongly agree Agree Agree I Disagree Neutra I Disagree Agree I Disagree Agree I Disagree Disagree Agree I Disagree Disagree The feedback was provided within sufficient time. Disagree The feedback was clear and easy to understand. The feedback was clear and easy to understand. The feedback was clear and easy to understand. The feedback was helpful in identifying areas of continuous quality improvement for our grant. The feedback was consistent with the expectations outlined in	continuous improvement for our grant. The feedback was consistent with the expectations outlined in the NOFO. The feedback received was timely. A Continuation Application idance The guidance was clear and easy to understand. The guidance was provided within sufficient time. Disagree The feedback was clear and easy to understand. The feedback was provided within sufficient time. Disagree The feedback was clear and easy to understand. The feedback was helpful in identifying areas of continuous quality improvement for our grant. The feedback was consistent with the expectations outlined in

- 6. Did your organization participate in a OPA site visit within the past grant year?
 - a. Yes
 - b. No

Note: For Title X programs, the phrase "OPA Program Review (In-person or Virtual)" will be used instead of OPA site visit for these questions.

If yes, respondents will see these questions:

	Strongly		Neutra		Strongly	Not
OPA Site Visit	agree	Agree	I	Disagree	disagree	applicable

a.	The notice provided for the site visit allowed adequate time to prepare.			
b.	The TA and support provided during the site visit were helpful.			
C.	OPA recommendations were consistent with what was discussed during the site visit.			
d.	OPA recommendations resulting from the site visit were clear and easy to understand.			
e.	OPA recommendations following the site visit were helpful for the continuous quality improvement of our grant.			

7. **Other OPA Staff/Contractor Support:** Please rate your satisfaction with the guidance and support received from other OPA staff (i.e., Evaluation Team, MAX Core Team). *EAA grantees will not see these questions*. Qualifying question – pipe responses into matrix question

Sat	isfaction	Very		Not		Very	Not
wit	:h support from:	satisfied	Satisfied	Sure	Dissatisfied	dissatisfied	applicable
a.	OPA Evaluation Technical						
	Assistance					П	П
	(Mathematica) (TPP	Ш	Ш			⊔	⊔
	only)						
b.	OPA MAX Core Team						
c.	Reproductive Health						
	National Training Center						
	(RHTNC) TA Liaison (1:1)						
d.	RHTNC Support						
e.	National Clinical						
	Training Center for						
	Family Planning						
	(NCTCFP) Team (Title X						
	only)						
f.	OPA Performance						

	Measures Contractor						
	(OPA Performance						
	Measures Support team)						
	(Title X will not see the						
	question)						
g.	OPA FPAR 2.0 Contractor	П	П	П	П	П	П
	(Title X only)					Ш	L
h.	GAM (Grants and						
	Acquisitions	П				П	
	Management Office and					Ц	
	Specialist)						

8. Overall, how satisfied are you with the *support* you've received from OPA (inclusive of OPA TA contractors/training centers) for your project?

a. Very satisfied

b. Satisfied

c. Not Sure

- d. Dissatisfied
- e. Very Dissatisfied

9. Please indicate how often you participated during this past grant year; and overall, how satisfied you were with the support: *Question will repeat for each grant*.

OPA Webinars						
	Always	Very often	Often	Sometimes	Never	Not applicable
a. How often did you participate?						
	Very		Not		Very	Not applicable
	satisfied	Satisfied	Sure	Dissatisfied	dissatisfied	
b. How satisfied were you?						
OPA Technical						
Assistance						
Opportunities						
	Always	Very often	Often	Sometimes	Never	Not applicable
a. How often did you participate?						
	Very		Not		Very	
	satisfied	Satisfied	Sure	Dissatisfied	dissatisfied	Not applicable
b. How satisfied were you?						
OPA Virtual						
Conference						
and/or Trainings						

	Always	Very often	Often	Sometimes	Never	Not applicable
a. How often did you participate?						
, , ,	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Not Sure	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	Not applicable
b. How satisfied were you?						
RHTNC webinars						
	Always	Very often	Often	Sometimes	Never	Not applicable
a. How often did you participate?						
	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Not Sure	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	Not applicable
b. How satisfied were you?						
NCTCFP webinars (Title X only)						
	Always	Very often	Often	Sometimes	Never	Not applicable
a. How often did you participate?						
	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Not Sure	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	Not applicable
b. How satisfied were you?						
RHTNC customized (individual) TA						
	Always	Very often	Often	Sometimes	Never	Not applicable
a. How often did you participate?						
	Very satisfied	Satisfied	Not Sure	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	Not applicable
b. How satisfied were you?						
NCTCFP on-site (in-person) training (Title X only)						

	Always	Very often	Often	Sometimes	Never	Not applicable
a. How often did	П	П	П	П	П	П
you participate?				Ц		
	Very				Very	
	satisfied	Satisfied	Not Sure	Dissatisfied	dissatisfied	Not applicable
b. How satisfied	П	П	П	П	П	П
were you?	Ш	Ц	Ш	Ц		

OPA Training and TA Products

10. Have you used any OPA and/or OPA-Funded National Training Centers TA products (e.g., tip sheets, tool kits, online learning modules, TA briefs) in the current budget period? (Will not be asked of EAA grantees)

			Not
	Yes	No	applicable
Grant #1			
Grant #2			
Grant #3			

If participant answers all "No" values, the survey will automatically skip to Q13

-	ear about OPA and OPA-funded National Training Centers training & TA product(s)? Check all
that apply.	
-	MAX.gov
	Max Cohort listservs (TPP only)
	Searching the OPA website (<u>opa.hhs.gov</u>)
_	OPA Grantee Digest
_	OPA Bulletin
	OPA Twitter (@HHSPopAffairs)
	RHNTC Newsletter
	NCTCFP Newsletter
·	Project Officer
	Other (please specify)
products (click N F S	uld you say you use OPA and/or OPA-funded National Training Center training and TA here to view)? lever requently (1-2 times a month) eldom (1-2 times a quarter) arely (1-2 times a year)

13.	What type of the OPA and/or OPA-Funded National	_	
	etc.) training and TA products have you used? (Chec	к ан шасаррі	
	Printable pdfs Text from the OPA website		Webinars
			Videos
	Tip sheets		Peer Learning Group
	e-Learning modules		Individual TA
	Checklists		Other (please specify)
	Toolkits		
	Templates		
	For Title X, the following items will be add	led to the list:	
	_ Drop-in Active Learning Sessions		_ Virtual coffee breaks
	_ Clinician Cafe		_ Podcasts
	_ Interactive Case Studies		_ Articles of interest
	_ Job aids		_ LARC link
	_ Factsheets		
	a. Based on choices selected (Qualtrics will show which TA products were <i>most</i> helpful?	only the pro	ducts selected in the previous section),
	b. Based on choices selected (Qualtrics will show	only the pro	ducts selected in the previous section),
	which TA products were <i>least</i> helpful?		
	Overall Guidance and Training and Technical Assi		
14.	Please describe how the support, training, and techn		
	Contractors (Mathematica, ICF, etc.) and OPA-Funde		_
	etc.) has affected your project. [Text Box] Question	-	
15.	Use this space to provide any suggestions for impro-	ving support f	rom OPA Project Officers, staff and/or
	contractors. [Text Box]		
	Use this space to provide any suggestions for improve		
17.	Use this space to provide any suggestions for improve	ving OPA prog	rammatic guidance and/or technical
	assistance. [Text Box]		
18.	Overall, how satisfied are you with the <i>guidance</i> pro	-	
	a. Very satisfied		Dissatisfied
	b. Satisfied	e.	Very Dissatisfied
	c. Not Sure		

WEB-BASED COMMUNICATIONS/RESOURCES

MAX.gov - This section will be skipped for EAA recipients in the online survey.

MA	λΧ.gov:	Strongly agree	Agree	Not Sure	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Have not used/done yet
a.	Log-in procedures are clear.						
b.	Navigation and finding information are easy.						
c.	Visual design/user experience is pleasing.						
d.	Files upload smoothly.						
e.	MAX.gov provides useful information.						
f.	MAX.gov listservs provide valuable information (Not shown to Title X grantees)						
g.	The site is easy to use.						
h.	I understand when to use this system						
How	satisfied are you with MAX.g	ov? Very		Not		Very	Not
Sat	isfaction with MAX.gov	satisfied	Satisfied			•	
<u>Perf</u> e	ormance Measures /Title X F	amily Plant					
indi		oject's perco	eptions of these stat	f the Per	formance M about the T	easures (PMs	s).
indiction indiction in indiction indiction in indiction in indiction in indiction in indiction i	set of items is about your procate the extent to which you PMs), PM submission process Performance Measures	oject's perce agree with s, and suppe	eptions of these stat	the Pertements	formance M about the T	easures (PMs PP performar PMs:	s). nce Have not
indiction indict	set of items is about your procate the extent to which you PMs), PM submission process Performance Measures	agree with s, and suppo	eptions of these stat ort you re	tements ceive to	formance M about the T submit the	leasures (PMs PP performar PMs: Strongly	s). nce Have not used/done
indiction indict	set of items is about your process cate the extent to which you PMs), PM submission process P Performance Measures A) ributes: The PM submission process	oject's perce agree with s, and suppe	eptions of these stat	the Pertements	formance M about the T	easures (PMs PP performar PMs:	s). nce Have not
indic res (TPF (PN	set of items is about your procate the extent to which you PMs), PM submission process Performance Measures (1) ributes:	agree with s, and supposes Strongly agree	eptions of these stat ort you re Agree	tements ceive to Not Sure	formance M about the T submit the Disagree	PP performar PMs: Strongly Disagree	Have not used/done yet

19. Please indicate the extent to which you agree with these statements about the components of MAX.gov

(click here to view): Question will repeat for each grant.

TPP Performance Measures						Have not
(PM)	Strongly		Not		Strongly	used/done
Attributes:	agree	Agree	Sure	Disagree	Disagree	yet
our project's Continuous						
Quality Improvement (CQI)						
process.						

For Title X:

The next set of items is about your project's perceptions of the Family Annual Reporting (FPAR) 1.0.

Please indicate the extent to which you agree with these statements about the Family Planning Annual Report (FPAR):

	mily Planning Annual Report PAR) 1.0 Attributes:	Strongly agree	Agree	Not Sure	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Have not used/done yet
a.	The FPAR 1.0 submission process is easy.						
b.	Sufficient support is provided to submit and/or update information for FPAR 1.0						
C.	FPARs are useful for our project's Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) process.						

How satisfied are you with the <u>FPAR Data System</u> and support?

Satisfaction with FPAR Data	Very		Not		Very	Not
System	satisfied	Satisfied	Sure	Dissatisfied	dissatisfied	applicable
Satisfaction with FPAR 1.0	п	п	П	П	П	П
Data System	Ц	Ш	Ш	П	П	Ш
Satisfaction with FPAR 1.0	П	П	П	П	П	П
Data System Help Desk	Ц	Ц	Ш	Ц	Ш	Ш
Satisfaction with FPAR 2.0	п	п	П	П	П	П
Roll-out	Ц	Ш	Ш	П	П	Ш

For Title X:

The next set of items is about your project's experience with the Title X Clinic Locator Database.

Please indicate the extent to which you agree with these statements about the Clinic Locator Database (https://opa-fpclinicdb.hhs.gov/):

							Have not
Clinic Locator Databas	e	Strongly		Not		Strongly	used/done
Attributes:		agree	Agree	Sure	Disagree	Disagree	yet
a. The Clinic Locator							
Database submissi	on	П	П		п	п	
process (adding, re	moving,	Ц	Ш			Ш	
updating entries) is	clear.						
b. Sufficient support is	5						
provided by OPA fo	r the						
Clinic Locator Datak	oase.						
c. I am able to obtain	accurate						
information from	the	п	П			П	
Clinic Locator Data	abase for					Ш	
reporting purpose	s.						

How satisfied are you with Title X Clinic Locator Database and the technical assistance provided?

	Very		Not		Very	Not
	satisfied	Satisfied	Sure	Dissatisfied	dissatisfied	applicable
Satisfaction with Clinic Locator Database as a resource to promote awareness of grantee Title X services?				0		
Satisfaction with Clinic Locator Database technical assistance provided via the <u>OPA support</u> mailbox (OPAsupport@icf.com)				0		
Overall satisfaction with the Clinic Locator Database						

OPA Website

This next set of questions is about the OPA website: <u>opa.hhs.gov</u>.

21. How often have you used the OPA website in this past grant year?
Frequently/Often
Sometimes
Occasionally
Almost never
Never (SKIP to Q22)

		cate how useful resources in he past grant year. (Check "n		_				ve been for y	our
projec		efulness of the OPA Website		ery	liot u	seu ti	Somewhat	Not at all	
		sources		ıseful	Usefu	ıl	useful	useful	Not used
	a.	Adolescent Development:		isciui	OSCIC	л і	usciui	usciui	Not useu
	a.	Information on adolescent he	aalth			1			
		topics	calui	П	_	J			
	В.	Reproductive Health:							
	Б.	Information on reproductive	hoalth			1			
		topics	Health	П	_	J			
		Evaluation & Research: Infor	mation						
	c.	<u> </u>	nation	П		1			
		on expanding evidence and				J			
		advancing best practices							
	d.	Grant Programs: Information	on]			
		OPA grant programs							
24. Please	-	Occasionally Almost never Never (SKIP to Q24) eyour satisfaction with the in	formation	and reso	urces p	provid	ed in the <i>Gra</i> i	ntee Digest:	
		<u>, </u>	Very			Not		Very	Not
	Sat	isfaction with Grantee Digest	satisfied	Satisfie	ed S	Sure	Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	applicable
	a.	Organization of information		 					
	b.	Sufficiency of detail to meet							
		your program needs							
	c.	Relevance to your areas of	_	_		_	_		_
		need							
	d.	Timeliness of information							
		(e.g., "Enough notice of							
		(e.g., "Enough notice of events and activities to	П			П	П	П	П
		events and activities to							
	e.	events and activities to participate in items of							

issues that you face

^ D	A D	lletin
(10	ΔКП	прпп

25.	How of	ten do you read the OPA Bเ	ılletin	(the OPA	e-newsle	etter t	hat con	nes out every	other month)?
		Frequently/Often								
		Sometimes								
		Occasionally								
		Almost never								
		Never (SKIP to Q26)								
26.	Please	rate your satisfaction with t	he inf	ormation	and resc	urces	provid	ed in the <i>OPA</i>	A Bulletin:	
				Very			Not		Very	Not
		Satisfaction with OPA Bulleti	in	satisfied	Satisfi	ied	Sure	Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	applicable
		a. Organization of informa	tion							
		b. Sufficiency of detail to m your program needs	neet							
		c. Relevance to your areas need	of							
		d. Comprehensiveness in addressing the scope of								
		issues that you face								
	How of	Twitter (@HHSPopAffairs) Ten do you read the tweets Frequently/Often Sometimes Occasionally Almost never Never (SKIP to Q28)								
28.	-	our organization use social r uctive health, or related info Yes No Unsure		-	formed a	about	Title X,	teen pregnar	ncy preventio	n,
29.	How us	seful do you find the inform	ation	and resou	rces sha	red or	n OPA's	Twitter accou	unt @HHSPop	Affairs?
		Usefulness of OPA Ve	ry	Useful	Not	Som	ewhat	Not at all	Not	
		Twitter Feed use	eful		Sure	usef	ul	useful	used	

Satisfaction with OPA		Very		Not		Very	Not
Twitter Feed		satisfied	Satisfied	Sure	Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	applicable
a.	Timeliness of the	П	П	П	П	П	П
	information shared	Ц	Ш		⊔ 		
b.	Usefulness of the OPA	П	П	П	П	П	П
	Twitter posts	Ц	Ш		⊔ 		
c.	Relevance to your areas of	П	П	П	П	П	
	need	Ц	Ц	Ш	Ц	Ш	Ц

OPA YouTube Channel

30. How of	ften do you watch the v	ideos pos	ted on OP	A's You	Tube c	hannel?	•				
Frequently/Often											
	Sometimes										
Occasionally											
Almost never Never (SKIP to Q32)											
31. How useful do you find the information and resources shared on OPA's YouTube channel?											
	Very		Useful	Not	Som	ewhat	Not at all	Not			
	Usefulness of OPA useful			Sure	Sure useful		useful	used			
	YouTube Channel										
	Satisfaction with OPA's YouTube		Very					Very	Not		
	Channel		satisfied	Satis	Satisfied		Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	applicable		
	a. Timeliness of the						П				
	information sharedb. Usefulness of the OPATwitter posts		Ц								
					П	П			П		
			Ц				Ц	Ц			
	c. Relevance to your areas of					п	п	П	п		
	need				Ц			Ц			

Overall Digital Communications/Resources (i.e., web, e-newsletters, and social media) Feedback

- 32. Would you like to receive OPA information or materials in a language other than English? Yes/No If yes, which of the following languages?
 - A. Amharic
 - B. Cantonese Chinese
 - **C.** French
 - **D.** Haitian Creole
 - E. Korean
 - F. Mandarin Chinese
 - **G.** Russian

	I. J. K	. v	ngalog etnamese ther languages? (wri	ite in)				-		
33.		nun	pace to provide any c cations/resources (e. ct Box]		_	-			A web-based and digitaetc.).	ıl
34.	. Overall, how satisfied are you with the <i>resources</i> provided by OPA for your project?									
		a.	Very satisfied					d.	Dissatisfied	
		b.	Satisfied					e.	Very Dissatisfied	
		c.	Not Sure							
	ov	ERA	L SATISFACTION WI	TH OPA'S	RESOU	RCES AND) SUI	PPO	RT	
35.	Over	all, h	ow satisfied are you	with OPA	for you	r grant pr	oject	?		
	a.	Vei	/ satisfied				d.	Dis	ssatisfied	
	b.	Sat	sfied				e.	Ve	ry Dissatisfied	
	c.	No	Sure							
		Thank you for your cooperation.								
						[End of Su	ırvey]		

H. Spanish