# SUPPORTING STATEMENT FOR PROPOSD AMENDMENTS TO RULE 35d-1 Investment Company Interactive Data

This supporting statement is part of a submission under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 ("PRA"), 44 U.S.C. §3501, *et seq*.

# A. JUSTIFICATION

# 1. NECESSITY FOR THE INFORMATION COLLECTION

Certain funds have current requirements to submit to the Commission information included in their registration statements, or information included in or amended by any posteffective amendments to such registration statements, in response to certain form items in structured data language ("Investment Company Interactive Data"). This collection of information relates to regulations and forms under the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. 77a *et seq.*]. This collection of information relates to regulations and forms under the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Investment Company Act") [15 U.S.C. 80a-1 et seq.] that set forth disclosure requirements for funds and other issuers.

On May 25, 2022 the Commission proposed certain new amendments to the "names rule," rule 35d-1 of the Investment Company Act.<sup>1</sup> The Commission also proposed to amend Form N-2,<sup>2</sup> Form N-8B-2,<sup>3</sup> and Form S-6,<sup>4</sup> under the Securities Act to require certain new

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Investment Company Names, Investment Company Act Release No. 34593 (May 25, 2022) (the "2022 Names Rule Proposal").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 274.11a-1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 17 CFR 274.12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 17 CFR 239.16.

structured data reporting requirements for funds.<sup>5</sup> Specifically, the proposed amendments would include new structured data requirements that would require funds to tag the information that the proposal would require funds to include in their registration statements about their fund name using Inline eXtensible Business Reporting Language or "Inline XBRL."

The amendments affect "collection of information" requirements within the meaning of the PRA.<sup>6</sup>

### 2. PURPOSE AND USE OF THE INFORMATION COLLECTION

The purpose of the proposed new information collections is to make information regarding fund names easier for investors to analyze and to help automate regulatory filings and business information processing, and to improve consistency across all types of funds with respect to the accessibility of fund name information they provide to the market. Requiring registrants to use Inline XBRL to tag disclosures will improve the data's usefulness, timeliness, and quality, benefiting investors and other market participants, and to decrease, over time, the cost of preparing the data for submission to the Commission.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The proposed amendments would also amend rules 485 and 497 under the Securities Act and rule 11 and 405 of Regulation S-T. The amendments we proposed to rule 485 and 497 are conforming amendments that have no associated PRA burden. In addition to proposed amendments to Forms N-2, S-6, and N-8B-2, the 2022 Names Rule Proposal included proposed amendments to Form N-1A. While the new names-related information that open-end funds would be required to disclose under our proposed amendments to Form N-1A also would be required to be tagged using Inline XBRL, the proposed amendments to Form N-1A would create no additional PRA burden. Our proposal would amend Item 4 of Form N-1A; Form N-1A registrants are already required to submit the information that they provide in response to Item 4 using Inline XBRL. Therefore, the burdens associated with tagging Item 4 disclosure are already accounted for under the current Investment Company Interactive Data collection of information

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> This supporting statement relates only to the proposed amendments to Forms N-1A, N-2, N-8B-2, and Form S-6, as well as Regulation S-T. The proposed amendments would only affect investments companies that file on Forms N-1A, N-2, N-8B-2, and Form S-6, and that under the proposal would be required to use Inline XBRL to tag specified disclosures.

#### 3. CONSIDERATION GIVEN TO INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

The proposed collection of information requirements would be set forth in the respective forms and related rules. The information that would be required by these provisions would continue to be filed electronically with the Commission using the Commission's Electronic Data Gathering, Analysis, and Retrieval (EDGAR) system.

# 4. DUPLICATION

The Commission periodically evaluates rule-based reporting and recordkeeping requirements for duplication and reevaluates them whenever it proposes a rule or a form, or a change in either. The Investment Company Interactive Data requirements generally are not duplicated elsewhere.

### 5. EFFECT ON SMALL ENTITIES

The Commission reviews all rules periodically, as required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act, to identify methods to minimize recordkeeping or reporting requirements affecting small businesses. The burden on small entities to prepare and then submit Investment Company Interactive Data may be proportionally greater than for larger registrants. This burden may include the cost of software designed to prepare information in interactive data format and hiring a consultant or filing agent to prepare and file the information in interactive data format. The Commission believes, however, that imposing different requirements on smaller funds would not be consistent with investor protection and the purposes of the Investment Company Interactive Data requirements.

# 6. CONSEQUENCES OF NOT CONDUCTING COLLECTION

The proposed names rule disclosures and structured data requirements are designed to help investors make informed investment decisions. Failure to conduct these collections of information would reduce the information available to investors to make these decisions. The amendments are intended to improve disclosure about a fund's name.

#### 7. INCONSISTENCIES WITH GUIDESLINES IN 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2)

This collection is not inconsistent with 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2).

### 8. CONSULTATIONS OUTSIDE THE AGENCY

The Commission and the staff of the Division of Investment Management participate in an ongoing dialogue with representatives of the investment company industry through public conferences, meetings, and informal exchanges. These various forums provide the Commission and staff with a means of ascertaining and acting upon paperwork burdens confronting the industry. In addition, the Commission has requested public comment on the proposed amendments, including the collection of information requirements resulting from the proposed amendments. Before adopting these amendments, the Commission will receive and evaluate public comments on the proposed amendments and their associated collection of information requirements.

#### 9. PAYMENT OR GIFT

No payment or gift has been provided to any respondents.

### **10. CONFIDENTIALITY**

No assurance of confidentiality was provided.

#### **11. SENSITIVE QUESTIONS**

No information of a sensitive nature, including social security numbers, will be required under the following collections of information. The information collections that are currently included in the Investment Company Interactive Data collection of information (although not the proposed amendments that would affect this collection of information) include basic Personally Identifiable Information ("PII") that may include a name and job title. However, the agency has determined that the information collections do not constitute a system of records for purposes of the Privacy Act. Information is not retrieved by a personal identifier. In accordance with Section 208 of the E-Government Act of 2002, the agency has conducted a Privacy Impact Assessment ("PIA") of the EDGAR system, in connection with these collections of information. The EDGAR PIA, published on February 5, 2020, is provided as a supplemental document and is also available at https://www.sec.gov/privacy.

#### **12. BURDEN OF INFORMATION COLLECTION**

The following estimates of average burden hours and costs are made solely for purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995<sup>7</sup> and are not derived from a comprehensive or even representative survey or study of the cost of Commission rules and forms. Compliance with the Investment Company Interactive Data requirements is mandatory. Responses to the disclosure requirements will not be kept confidential.

Funds filing registration statements on Form N-2 already submit certain information using Inline XBRL format. Based on filing data as of December 30, 2020, we estimate that 626 funds filing registration statements on these forms would be subject to the proposed interactive

<sup>44</sup> U.S.C. 3501 et seq.

data amendments. UITs filing initial registration statements on Form N-8B-2 and post-effective amendments on Form S-6 are not currently subject to requirements to submit information in structured form. Because these UITs have not previously been subject to Inline XBRL requirements, we assume that these funds would experience additional burdens related to onetime costs associated with becoming familiarized with Inline XBRL reporting. These costs would include, for example, the acquisition of new software or the services of consultants, and the training of staff. Based on filing data as of December 30, 2020, we estimate that 785 filings would be subject to these proposed amendments. Compliance with the interactive data requirements is mandatory, and the responses will not be kept confidential.

In our most recent Paperwork Reduction Act submission for Investment Company Interactive Data, we estimated a total aggregate annual hour burden of 252,684 hours, and a total aggregate annual external cost burden of \$15,350,750. The table below summarizes our PRA initial and ongoing annual burden estimates associated with the proposed amendments to Form N-1A, Form N-2, Form N-8B-2, and Form S-6, as well as Regulation S-T.

# PRA Table 1. Burden Estimates for Initial and Ongoing Investment Company Interactive Data

	initial burden hours	Internal annual burden hours¹	Wage rate <sup>2</sup>	Internal time costs	Annual external cost burden				
Names rule information for current XBRL filers <sup>3</sup>	1 hour	1 hour <sup>4</sup>	\$356 (blended rate for compliance attorney and senior programmer)	\$356	\$50⁵				
Number of funds		× 626 funds <sup>6</sup>		× 626 funds	× 626 funds				
Names rule information for new XBRL filers <sup>7</sup>	9 hours	\$356 (blended rate for compliance attorney and senior programmer)		\$1,424	\$900°				
Number of filings		× 785 filings <sup>10</sup>		× 785 filings	x 785 filings				
Total new aggregate annual burden		3,766 hours <sup>11</sup>		\$1,340,696 <sup>12</sup>	\$737,800 <sup>13</sup>				
TOTAL ESTIMATED BURDENS INCLUDING AMENDMENTS									
Current aggregate annual burden estimates		+ 252,684 hours			+ \$15,449,450				
Revised aggregate annual burden estimates		256,368 hours			\$16,088,550				

#### Notes:

1. Includes initial burden estimates annualized over a 3-year period.

Internal

2. The estimated wage figure is based on published rates for the professionals described in this chart, modified to account for an 1800hour work-year and inflation. The estimates for the proposed burdens were multiplied by 5.35 to account for bonuses, firm size, employee benefits, and overhead. See Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association's Report on Management & Professional Earnings in the Securities Industry 2013.

3. This estimate represents the average burden for a filer on Form N-2 that is currently subject to interactive data requirements.

4. Includes initial burden estimates annualized over a three-year period, plus 0.67 hour of ongoing annual burden hours. The estimate of 1 hour is based on the following calculation: ((1 initial hour /3) + 0.67 hour of additional ongoing burden hours) = 1 hour.

5. We estimate an incremental external cost for filers on Form N-2, as they already submit certain information using Inline XBRL.

6. Based on filing data as of December 30, 2020, we estimate 626 funds, including business development companies, filing on Form N-2. 7. This estimate represents the average burden for a filer on Form N-8B-2 and Form S-6 that is not currently subject to interactive data

requirements. 8. Includes initial burden estimates annualized over a three-year period, plus 1 hour of ongoing annual burden hours. The estimate of 10 hours is based on the following calculation: ((27 initial hours /3) + 1 hour of additional ongoing burden hours) = 10 hours.

9. We estimate an external cost for filers on Form N-8B-2 and Form S-6 of \$900 to reflect one-time compliance and initial set-up costs. Because these filers have not been previously been subject to Inline XBRL requirements, we estimate that these funds would experience additional burdens related to one time-costs associated with becoming familiar with Inline XBRL reporting. These costs would include, for example, the acquisition of new software or the services of consultants, or the training of staff.

10. The Commission estimates 49 non-separate account and non-ETF UITs registered with the Commission. However, we believe using the number of filings instead of registrants would form a more accurate estimate of annual burdens. We estimate 1,047 filings based on the average number of filings made on Form S-6 from 2018 to 2020. We therefore estimate that there are approximately 785 filings for funds that have names that would be covered by the proposed rule amendments, or 75% of the filings for UITs covered by the rule amendments (1,047 filings x 75% = 785 filings). The currently-approved estimate of responses for the Investment Company Interactive Data information collection is 19,817 responses. We increase this estimate to 20,602 to reflect the additional estimated 785 filings.

11. 3,766 hours = (626 funds x 1 hour = 626 hours) + (785 filings x 4 hours = 3,140 hours).

12. \$1,340,696 internal time cost = (626 funds x \$356 = \$222,856) + (785 filings x \$1,424 = \$1,117,840).

13. \$737,800 annual external cost = (626 funds x \$50 = \$31,300) + (785 filings x \$900 = \$706,500).

# 13. COST TO RESPONDENTS

Cost burden is the cost of goods and services purchased to comply with the Investment Company Interactive Data requirements, such as for software or the services of consultants or filing agents. The cost burden does not include the cost of the hour burden discussed in Item 12 above.

As summarized in Table 1 above, in our most recent Paperwork Reduction Act submission for Investment Company Interactive Data, Commission staff estimated about \$15,350,750 in external cost burden per year. We estimate that the annual cost of outside services associated with these proposed amendments to the Names Rule is \$737,800, and therefore the revised external cost burden would be \$16,088,550.<sup>8</sup>

# 14. COSTS TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

The annual cost of reviewing and processing disclosure documents, including new registration statements, post-effective amendments, proxy statements, shareholder reports, and other filings of investment companies amounted to approximately \$30 million in fiscal year 2021, based on the Commission's computation of the value of staff time devoted to this activity and related overhead.

### **15.** CHANGE IN BURDEN

The estimated hourly burden and external cost associated with Investment Company Interactive Data has increased as follows:

<sup>8</sup> This estimate is based on the following calculation: 737,800 annual external cost = (626 funds x 50) + (7,856 filings x 900).

*Table 2: Comparison of Current and Revised Burden Hours and External Cost for Investment Company Interactive Data* 

	Annual Time Burden (hours)			External Cost Burden (dollars)			
	Currently Approved	Revised Estimate	Change	Currently Approved	Revised Estimate	Change	
Investment Company Interactive Data	252,684	256,368	3,684	\$15,449,450	\$16,088,550	\$639,100	

The changes in annual burden hours and external cost burden are due to our proposed amendments requiring a description of a fund's name and requiring funds to tag this disclosure using Inline XBRL.

# 16. INFORMATION COLLECTION PLANNED FOR STATISTICAL PURPOSES

The information collections do not employ statistical methods.

# 17. APPROVAL TO OMIT OMB EXPIRATION DATE

The Commission is not seeking approval to omit the expiration date for OMB approval.

# 18. EXCEPTIONS TO CERTIFICATION FOR PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT SUBMISSIONS

The Commission is not seeking an exception to the certification statement.

# **B.** STATISTICAL METHODS

The collection of information will not employ statistical methods.