Improving Drug Utilization Review Controls (Part D) 2022

Organization Name: Contract Number: Reporting Section:

Improving
Drug
Utilization
Review
Controls (Part

Last Updated:

Date of Site Visit (on-site or virtual):

Name of Reviewer:

Name of Peer Reviewer:

Instructions:

1) In the "Data Sources and Review Results:" column, enter the review results and/or data sources used for each

standard or sub-standard.

2) Enter "Y" if the requirements for the standard or substandard have been completely met. If any requirement for the standard or sub-standard has not been met, enter "N". If any standard or sub-standard does not apply, enter "N/A".

3) For standards 1c, 1d, 1e, 1g, 1h, and 2e, enter 'Findings' as follows based on the five-point scale: Select "1" if plan data has more than 20% error, select "2" if plan data has between 15.1% - 20.0% error, select "3" if plan data has between 15.1% - 10.0% error, select "4" if plan data has between 15.1% - 10.0% error, select "5" if plan data has between 15.1% - 10.0% error, select "5" if plan data has less than or equal to a 5% error. Enter "N/A" if standard does not apply.

Standard/Sub-standard ID	Reporting Section Criteria ID	Standard/Sub-standard Description	Data Element	Data Sources and Review Results: Enter review results and/or data sources	Enter 'Findings' using the applicable choice in the appropriate cells. Cells marked with an '*' should not be edited.
1		A review of source documents (e.g., programming code, spreadsheet formulas, analysis plans, saved data queries, file layouts, process flows) indicates that all source documents accurately capture required data fields and are properly documented.		Data sources:	·
1.a		Source documents and output are properly secured so that source documents can be retrieved at any time to validate the information submitted to CMS via HPMS.		Review Results:	
1.b		Source documents create all required data fields for reporting requirements.		Review Results:	
1.c		Source documents are error-free (e.g., programming code and spreadsheet formulas have no messages or warnings indicating errors).		Review Results:	
1.d		All data fields have meaningful, consistent labels (e.g., label field for patient ID as Patient ID, rather than Field1 and maintain the same field name across data sets).		Review Results:	
1.e		Data file locations are referenced correctly		Review Results:	
1.f		If used, macros are properly documented.		Review Results:	
1.g		Source documents are clearly and adequately documented.		Review Results:	
1.h		Titles and footnotes on reports and tables are accurate.		Review Results:	
1.i		Version control of source documents is appropriately applied.		Review Results:	
2		A review of source documents (e.g., programming code, spreadsheet formulas, analysis plans, saved data queries, file layouts, process flows) and census or sample data, whichever is applicable, indicates that data elements for each reporting section are accurately identified, processed, and calculated.		Data sources:	·

Standard/Sub-standard ID	Reporting Section	Standard/Sub-standard Description	Data Element	Data Sources and Review Results: Enter review results and/or data sources	Enter 'Findings' using the applicable choice in the appropriate cells. Cells marked with an '*' should not be edited.
2.a	Criteria ID RSC-1	The appropriate date range(s) for the reporting period(s) is captured.		Review Results:	John St.
2.a	K3C-1	Organization reports data based on the required reporting period of 1/1 through 3/31, 1/1 through 6/30, 1/1 through 9/30,		neview nesults.	
2.b	RSC-2	1/1 through 12/31. Data are assigned at the applicable level (e.g., plan benefit package or contract level).		Review Results:	
		Organization properly assigns data to the applicable CMS contract and plan.			
2.c	RSC-3	Appropriate deadlines are met for reporting data (e.g., quarterly).		Review Results:	
		Organization meets deadline for reporting annual data to CMS by 02/27/2023.			
		[Note to reviewer: If the organization has, for any reason, re-submitted its data to CMS for this reporting section, the reviewer should verify that the organization's original data submissions met the CMS deadline in order to have a finding of "yes" for this reporting section criterion. However, if the organization re-submits data for any reason and if the resubmission was completed by 3/31 of the data validation year, the reviewer should use the organization's corrected data			
2.d	RSC-4	Terms used are properly defined per CMS regulations, guidance, Reporting Requirements, and Technical Specifications.		Review Results:	
		Organization complies with drug utilization management (DUM) requirements of 42 C.F.R §423.153 et seq. to prevent overutilization of opioids as well as other DUM requirements according to guidelines specified by CMS. This includes but is not limited to:			
		a. Applying all relevant guidance to properly establish and implement a care coordination formulary-level cumulative opioid morphine milligram equivalent (MME) threshold point of sale (POS) edit, an opioid naïve days supply POS edit, and if applicable, a hard formulary-level cumulative opioid MME threshold POS edit.			
		 b. Organization provides documentation that its care coordination safety POS edit, an opioid naïve days supply POS edit, and if applicable, a hard formulary-level cumulative opioid MME threshold POS edit were properly tested and validated prior to its implementation date. 			
		c. For care coordination safety edit,			
		i. Properly reports the opioid MME threshold, provider count, and pharmacy count criteria from the Reporting Requirements submission matches the CY 2022 care coordination safety edit formulary-level cumulative opioid MME threshold submission report in HPMS.			
		d. For the hard MME edit,			
		i. Properly reports the opioid MME threshold, provider count, and pharmacy count criteria from the Reporting Requirements submission matches the CY 2022 hard MME safety edit formulary-level cumulative opioid MME threshold submission report in HPMS.			
		e. For the opioid naive days supply safety edit,			
2.e	RSC-5	i. Properly reports that the opioid naïve days supply safety edit look-back period reported matches the CY 2022 look-back		Data Sources:	·
2.6	K3C-3	The number of expected counts (e.g., number of members, claims, grievances, procedures) are verified; ranges of data fields are verified; all calculations (e.g., derived data fields) are verified; missing data has been properly addressive; reporting output matches corresponding source documents (e.g., programming code, saved queries, analysis plans); version control of reported data elements is appropriately applied; QA checks/thresholds are applied to detect outlier or erroneous data prior to data submission.		Deta Stuttes.	
		RSC-5: Organization accurately reports data by applying data integrity checks listed below and uploads it into HPMS.			
		a: For the care coordination safety edit, the following is true:			
2.e	RSC-5.ai	RSC-5.ai: The prescriber count criterion used and the pharmacy count criterion used must be reported (Data Elements A, B \neq blank).	Data Elements A, B	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-5.aii	RSC-5.aii: The number of claims rejected due to the care coordination safety edit (Element C) should be greater than or equal	Data Element C	Review Results:	
2.0	1.50 5.011	to each of the following: - the number of claim rejections overridden by the pharmacist at the pharmacy (Element D) - the number of claim rejections overridden by the pharmacy within 24 hours of the initial claim rejection (Element E)			
		- The number of claim rejections overridden by the pharmacy due to an exemption (Element F); and - the number of claim rejections overridden by the pharmacy as a result of prescriber consultation (Element G)			

Standard/Sub-standard ID	Reporting Section	Standard/Sub-standard Description	Data Element	Data Sources and Review Results:	Enter 'Findings' using the applicable choice in the appropriate
	Criteria ID			Enter review results and/or data sources	cells. Cells marked with an '*' should not be edited.
2.e	RSC-5.aiii	RSC-5.aiii: The number of unique beneficiaries with at least one claim rejected due to the care coordination safety edit (Element H) should be greater than or equal each of the following: - the number of unique beneficiaries with at least one claim rejection overridden by the pharmacy (Element I) - The number of unique beneficiaries with at least one claim rejection overridden by the pharmacy within 24 hours of the initial claim rejection (Element I) - The number of unique beneficiaries with at least one claim rejection overridden by the pharmacy due to an exemption (Element K) - The number of unique beneficiaries with at least one claim rejection overridden by the pharmacy as a result of prescriber consultation (Element L)	Data Element H	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-5.b	RSC-5: Organization accurately reports data by applying data integrity checks listed below and uploads it into HPMS.		Data Sources:	·
2.e	RSC-5.bi	b: If the organization had a hard MME safety edit (Data Element M = Yes), the following is true: RSC-5.bi: The number of unique beneficiaries with at least one claim rejected due to the hard MME safety edit (Element R) should be greater than or equal to each of the following: - the number of unique beneficiaries with at least one claim rejection overriden by the pharmacy due to an exemption (Element S); - the number of beneficiairies who requested a coverage determination for the prescription(s) subject to the edit (Element	Data Element R	Review Results:	
		T); - the number of unique beneficiaries that had a favorable (either full or partial) coverage determination for the prescription(s) subject to the edit (Element U).			
2.e	RSC-5.bii	RSC-5.bii: The cumulative MME threshold (Element N) must be reported (Data Element N ≠ blank)	Data Element N	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-5.c	RSC-5: Organization accurately reports data by applying data integrity checks listed below and uploads it into HPMS. c: If the organization does not have hard MME safety POS edits (Data Element M = No), Data Elements N, O, P Q, R, S, T, and L should equal 0.		Data Sources:	•
2.e	RSC-5.c		Data Elements N, O, P Q, R, S, T, U	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-5.d	RSC-5: Organization accurately reports data by applying data integrity checks listed below and uploads it into HPMS. d: For the opioid naïve days supply safety edit, the following is true:		Data Sources:	•
2.e	RSC-5.di	RSC-5.di: The look-back period used to identify an initial opioid prescription fill for the treatment of acute pain must be reported (Data Element V ≠ blank).	Data Element V	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-5.dii	RSC-5.dii: The number of claims rejected due to the opioid naïve days supply edit (Element W) should be greater than or equal to each of the following: - the number of claim rejections overridden by the pharmacy due to an exemption (Element X); - the number of rejected claims overridden by the pharmacy because the beneficiary was not opioid naïve (Element Y); and - the number of rejected claims for which up to a 7-day supply (covered by the plan) was dispensed by the pharmacy (Element Z)	Data Element W	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-5.diii	RSC-5.diii: The number of unique beneficiaries with at least one claim rejected due to the opioid naïve days supply edit (Element AA) should be greater than or equal to: - the number of unique beneficiaries with at least one rejected claim overridden by the pharmacy due to an exemption (Element BB); -the number of unique beneficiaries with at least one rejected claim overridden by the pharmacy because the beneficiary was not opioid naïve (Element CC); - the number of unique beneficiaries for whom up to a 7-day supply (covered by the plan) was dispensed by the pharmacy (Element DD); - the number of unique beneficiaries with an opioid naïve days supply edit claim rejection who requested a coverage determination for the prescription(s) subject to the edit (Element EE); and - the number of unique beneficiaries with an opioid naïve days supply edit claim rejection who had a favorable (either full or nartial) coverage determination for the prescription(s) subject to the edit (Element EE).	Data Element AA	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-5.e	RSC-5: Organization accurately reports data by applying data integrity checks listed below and uploads it into HPMS. Rsc-6: Organization accurately reports data by applying data integrity checks listed below and uploads it into HPMS. Rsc-1: If the organization received an outlier/data integrity notice for the Improving Drug Utilization Review Controls section validate whether or not an internal procedure change was warranted or resubmission through HPMS. Data Elements: A-L, N-U, and V-FF.		Data Sources:	•
2.e	RSC-5.e		Data Elements A-L, N- U, and V-FF	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-6	RSC-6: Organization can accurately identify and create a Part D data set of POS claim rejects related to its care coordination safety edit, hard MME safety edit, and/or opioid naïve days supply safety edit and correctly calculate and report counts to CMS via HPMS, including the following criteria:		Data Sources:	·

Comment of the commen	Standard/Sub-standard ID	Reporting Section	Standard/Sub-standard Description	Data Element	Data Sources and Review Results:	Enter 'Findings' using the applicable choice in the appropriate
where part and and All and stopping and part All and any of the part and pa					Enter review results and/or data sources	cells. Cells marked with an '*' should not be edited.
La CC-Car RC Car	2.e	RSC-6.a	safety edit, hard MME safety edit, and/or opioid naïve days supply safety edit and correctly calculate and report counts to		Data Sources:	
PEC-Sul PEC-Sul Personal report of process of the company to the personal process of the personal proc						
Section 1 Request operation count due to the circ coordination patricy cold is not associated with an early refit rejection 2.6 Section 1 Request operation count due to the circ coordination patricy cold is not associated with an early refit rejection 2.6 Section 1 Request operation count due to the care coordination patricy cold is not associated with an early refit rejection 2.6 Section 1 Represent operation cold and in the care coordination patricy cold is not associated with an early refit rejection 2.6 Section 1 Represent operation cold many to cold patricins of the cold patrici	2.e	RSC-6.ai		Data Element C	Review Results:	
Transaction. 2 a SC Call 3 C Call 4 C Call	2.e	RSC-6.ai		Data Element H	Review Results:	
Contention Con	2.e	RSC-6.aii		Data Element C	Review Results:	
Social formation of various (DOS and formating violes (MAR FDS edit. Social Rejected opinid claims are counted at the surges contract, beneficiary, personal, plantace, drug (strength and Dos at Cement of Social Rejected opinid claims are counted at the surges contract, beneficiary personal, personal, or surgestions (prompting of the care coordination safety edit. Social Rejected opinid claims are counted at the number of unique beneficiaries by contract that triggered the care coordination safety edit. Social Rejected opinid claims are counted at the number of unique beneficiaries by contract that triggered the care coordination safety edit. Social Rejected opinid claims are counted in the surgest of surgestion opinion opinion in the surgestion opinion opinion in the surgestion opinion opinion in the surgestion opinion in the surgesti	2.e	RSC-6.aii		Data Element H	Review Results:	
Section Sect	2.e	RSC-6.aiii		Data Element C	Review Results:	
2.e RSC-6ab RS	2.e	RSC-6.aiii		Data Element H	Review Results:	
and, if applicable, a provider and/or pharmacy christon. 2.e RSC-6.D	2.e	RSC-6.aiv		Data Element C	Review Results:	
active edit. hard MME sifety edit. and/or opioid naive days supply safety edit and correctly calculate and report counts to OxS via PMS, Including the following criteria: b. Properly identifies and counts the number of POS rejects triggered and unique beneficiaries related to the established hard MME safety edit threshold and, if applicable, a provider and pharmacy criterion. 85-6-BI RS-6-BI Includes pharmacy transactions for Part D opioid drugs with a fill date (not batch date) that falls within the reporting period. 2-e RS-6-BI RS-6-BI Includes pharmacy transactions for Part D opioid drugs with a fill date (not batch date) that falls within the reporting period. 3-c Review Results: 3-c RS-6-BI RS-6-BI Includes pharmacy transactions for Part D opioid drugs with a fill date (not batch date) that falls within the reporting period. 3-c RS-6-BI RS-6-BI Includes pharmacy transactions for Part D opioid drugs with a fill date (not batch date) that falls within the reporting period. 3-c RS-6-BI RS-6-BI Includes pharmacy transactions for Part D opioid drugs with a fill date (not batch date) that falls within the reporting period. 3-c RS-6-BI RS-6-BI Includes pharmacy transactions for Part D opioid drugs with a fill date (not batch date) that falls within the reporting rejection Transaction. 3-c Review Results: 3-c RS-6-BI RS-6-BI Includes pharmacy transactions for Part D opioid drugs with a fill date (not batch date) that falls within the reporting rejection Transaction. 3-c Review Results:	2.e	RSC-6.aiv		Data Element H	Review Results:	
hard MME safety edit threshold and, if applicable, a provider and pharmacy criterion. 2 e RSC-6 bit RSC-6 bit Includes pharmacy transactions for Part D opioid drugs with a fill date (not batch date) that falls within the reporting period. 2 e RSC-6 bit RSC-6 bit Includes pharmacy transactions for Part D opioid drugs with a fill date (not batch date) that falls within the reporting period. 2 e RSC-6 bit RSC-6 bit Includes pharmacy transactions for Part D opioid drugs with a fill date (not batch date) that falls within the reporting period. 2 e RSC-6 bit RSC-6 bit The rejected opioid claim due to the hard MME safety edit is not associated with an early refill rejection transaction. 2 e RSC-6 bit RSC-6 bit RSC-6 bit The rejected opioid claim due to the hard MME safety edit is not associated with an early refill rejection transaction. 2 e RSC-6 bit RSC-6 bit RSC-6 bit RSC-6 bit Rejected opioid claims are counted at the unique contract, beneficiary, prescriber, pharmacy, drug (strength and dosage form), quantity, DOS and formulary-level opioid MME POS edit. 2 e RSC-6 bit RSC-6	2.e	RSC-6.b	safety edit, hard MME safety edit, and/or opioid naïve days supply safety edit and correctly calculate and report counts to CMS via HPMS, including the following criteria:		Data Sources:	
RSC-6.bi RSC-6.bi RSC-6.bi RSC-6.bi Includes pharmacy transactions for Part D opioid drugs with a fill date (not batch date) that falls within the reporting period. 2.e RSC-6.bi RSC-6.bii RSC-6.bii RSC-6.bii The rejected opioid claim due to the hard MME safety edit is not associated with an early refill rejection transaction. 2.e RSC-6.bii RSC-6.bii RSC-6.bii RSC-6.bii The rejected opioid claim due to the hard MME safety edit is not associated with an early refill rejection transaction. 2.e RSC-6.bii RSC-6.bii RSC-6.bii RSC-6.bii: Rejected opioid claim due to the hard MME safety edit is not associated with an early refill rejection transaction. 2.e RSC-6.bii RSC-6.bii Rejected opioid claims are counted at the unique contract, beneficiary, prescriber, pharmacy, drug (strength and dosage form), quantity, DOS and formulary-level opioid MME POS edit. 2.e RSC-6.biii RSC-6.biii Rejected opioid claims are counted at the unique contract, beneficiary, prescriber, pharmacy, drug (strength and dosage form), quantity, DOS and formulary-level opioid MME POS edit. 2.e RSC-6.bii RSC-6.bii RSC-6.bii: Rejected opioid daims are counted at the unique contract, beneficiary, prescriber, pharmacy, drug (strength and dosage form), quantity, DOS and formulary-level opioid MME POS edit. 2.e RSC-6.bii RSC-6.bii RSC-6.bii Rejected opioid MME POS edit. 3.e Review Results: 4.e Review Results: 4.e Review Results: 4.e Review Results: 5.e Review Results: 5.e Review Results: 5.e Review Results: 6.e Review Results: 6.e Review Results: 6.e Review Results: 7.e Review Results: 8.e Review Results: 8.e Review Results: 8.e Review Results: 8.e Review Results:						
RSC-6.bii RSC-6.	2.e	RSC-6.bi		Data Element Q	Review Results:	
transaction. 2.e RSC-6.bii RSC-6.bii: The rejected opioid claim due to the hard MME safety edit is not associated with an early refill rejection transaction. 2.e RSC-6.biii: Rejected opioid claims are counted at the unique contract, beneficiary, prescriber, pharmacy, drug (strength and dosage form), quantity, DOS and formulary-level opioid MME POS edit. 2.e RSC-6.biii: Rejected opioid claims are counted at the unique contract, beneficiary, prescriber, pharmacy, drug (strength and dosage form), quantity, DOS and formulary-level opioid MME POS edit. 2.e RSC-6.biii: Rejected opioid claims are counted at the unique contract, beneficiary, prescriber, pharmacy, drug (strength and dosage form), quantity, DOS and formulary-level opioid MME POS edit. 2.e RSC-6.bii: RSC-6.bii: Properly counts the number of unique beneficiaries by contract that triggered the established hard MME safety edit threshold and if applicable, a provider and/or pharmacy criterion. Review Results: Review Results:	2.e	RSC-6.bi		Data Element R	Review Results:	
transaction. 2.e RSC-6.biii Rejected opioid claims are counted at the unique contract, beneficiary, prescriber, pharmacy, drug (strength and dosage form), quantity, DOS and formulary-level opioid MME POS edit. 2.e RSC-6.biii Rejected opioid claims are counted at the unique contract, beneficiary, prescriber, pharmacy, drug (strength and dosage form), quantity, DOS and formulary-level opioid MME POS edit. 2.e RSC-6.biii Rejected opioid claims are counted at the unique contract, beneficiary, prescriber, pharmacy, drug (strength and dosage form), quantity, DOS and formulary-level opioid MME POS edit. 2.e RSC-6.biv: Properly counts the number of unique beneficiaries by contract that triggered the established hard MME safety edit threshold and if applicable, a provider and/or pharmacy criterion. Bate Element Q Review Results: Data Element Q Review Results:	2.e	RSC-6.bii		Data Element Q	Review Results:	
dosage form), quantity, DOS and formulary-level opioid MME POS edit. 2.e RSC-6.biii RSC-6.biii: Rejected opioid claims are counted at the unique contract, beneficiary, prescriber, pharmacy, drug (strength and dosage form), quantity, DOS and formulary-level opioid MME POS edit. 2.e RSC-6.biv: Properly counts the number of unique beneficiaries by contract that triggered the established hard MME safety edit threshold and if applicable, a provider and/or pharmacy criterion. Review Results: Data Element Q Review Results:	2.e	RSC-6.bii		Data Element R	Review Results:	
dosage form), quantity, DOS and formulary-level opioid MME POS edit. 2.e RSC-6.biv RsC-6.biv Properly counts the number of unique beneficiaries by contract that triggered the established hard MME safety edit threshold and if applicable, a provider and/or pharmacy criterion. Review Results:	2.e	RSC-6.biii		Data Element Q	Review Results:	
edit threshold and if applicable, a provider and/or pharmacy criterion.	2.e	RSC-6.biii		Data Elements R	Review Results:	
	2.e	RSC-6.biv		Data Element Q	Review Results:	
2.e RSC-6.biv Properly counts the number of unique beneficiaries by contract that triggered the established hard MME safety edit threshold and if applicable, a provider and/or pharmacy criterion. Data Element R Review Results:	2.e	RSC-6.biv	RSC-6.biv: Properly counts the number of unique beneficiaries by contract that triggered the established hard MME safety edit threshold and if applicable, a provider and/or pharmacy criterion.	Data Element R	Review Results:	

		T	1	1	1
Standard/Sub-standard ID	Reporting Section Criteria ID	Standard/Sub-standard Description	Data Element	Data Sources and Review Results: Enter review results and/or data sources	Enter 'Findings' using the applicable choice in the appropriate cells. Cells marked with an '*' should not be edited.
2.e	RSC-6.c	RSC-6: Organization can accurately identify and create a Part D data set of POS claim rejects related to its care coordination safety edit, hard MME safety edit, and/or opioid naïve days supply safety edit and correctly calculate and report counts to CMS via HPMS, including the following criteria: c: Properly identifies and counts the number of POS rejects triggered and unique beneficiaries related to the opioid naïve days supply safety edit.		Data Sources:	•
2.e	RSC-6.ci	RSC-6.ci: Includes pharmacy transactions for Part D opioid drugs with a fill date (not batch date) that falls within the reporting period.	Data Element W	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-6.ci	RSC-6.ci: Includes pharmacy transactions for Part D opioid drugs with a fill date (not batch date) that falls within the reporting period.	Data Element AA	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-6.cii	RSC-6.cii: The rejected opioid claim due to opioid naïve days supply safety edit is not associated with an early refill rejection transaction.	Data Element W	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-6.cii	RSC-6.cii: The rejected opioid claim due to opioid naïve days supply safety edit is not associated with an early refill rejection transaction.	Data Element AA	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-6.ciii	RSC-6.ciii:Rejected opioid claims are counted at the unique contract, beneficiary, prescriber, pharmacy, drug (strength and dosage form), quantity, and DOS.	Data Element W	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-6.ciii	RSC-6.ciii:Rejected opioid claims are counted at the unique contract, beneficiary, prescriber, pharmacy, drug (strength and dosage form), quantity, and DOS.	Data Element AA	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-6.civ	RSC-6.civ: Properly counts the number of unique beneficiaries by contract that triggered the opioid naïve days supply safety edit.	Data Element W	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-6.civ	RSC-6.civ: Properly counts the number of unique beneficiaries by contract that triggered the opioid naïve days supply safety edit.	Data Element AA	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-7	RSC-7: From the data set of POS rejects (RSC 6a) related to the care coordination safety edit the organization accurately identifies and counts the number of overridden rejected claims and correctly uploads the counts into HPMS, including the following criteria:		Data Sources:	·
2.e	RSC-7.a	RSC-7: From the data set of POS rejects (RSC 6a) related to the care coordination safety edit the organization accurately identifies and counts the number of overridden rejected claims and correctly uploads the counts into HPMS, including the following criteria: a: Properly identifies and counts the number of pharmacist-overridden care coordination safety edit POS rejected claims.		Data Sources:	·
2.e	RSC-7.ai	RSC-7.ai: Rejected claims are counted at the unique contract, beneficiary, prescriber, pharmacy, drug (strength and dosage form), quantity, date of service (DOS) and formulary-level cumulative opioid MME POS edit. For example, if multiple transactions are submitted and rejected by the sponsor for the same formulary-level cumulative opioid MME POS edit from the same pharmacy for the same beneficiary, prescription (drug, quantity and prescriber) and DOS, this would count as one rejected claim. However, if a beneficiary attempted to fill the same prescription at 3 different pharmacies, either on the same day or on different days, and the prescription was rejected each time for the same formulary-leval unaltative opioid MME POS edit, this would count as 3 rejected claims. The same claim and beneficiary should be reported in multiple reporting sections of the opioid safety edits, if a claim triggers multiple safety edits at POS.	Data Element D	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-7.ai	RSC-7.ai: Rejected claims are counted at the unique contract, beneficiary, prescriber, pharmacy, drug (strength and dosage form), quantity, date of service (DOS) and formulary-level cumulative opioid MME POS edit. For example, if multiple transactions are submitted and rejected by the sponsor for the same formulary-level cumulative opioid MME POS edit from the same pharmacy for the same beneficiary, prescription (drug, quantity and prescriber) and DOS, this would count as one rejected claim. However, if a beneficiary attempted to fill the same prescription at 3 different pharmacies, either on the same day or on different days, and the prescription was rejected each time for the same formulary-level cumulative opioid MME POS edit, this would count as 3 rejected claims. The same claim and beneficiary should be reported in multiple reporting sections of the opioid safety edits, if a claim triggers multiple safety edits at POS.	Data Element H	Review Results:	

Standard/Sub-standard ID	Reporting Section Criteria ID	Standard/Sub-standard Description	Data Element	Data Sources and Review Results: Enter review results and/or data sources	Enter 'Findings' using the applicable choice in the appropriate cells. Cells marked with an '*' should not be edited.
2.e	RSC-7.ai	RSC-7.ai: Rejected claims are counted at the unique contract, beneficiary, prescriber, pharmacy, drug (strength and dosage form), quantity, date of service (DOS) and formulary-level cumulative opioid MME POS edit. For example, if multiple transactions are submitted and rejected by the sponsor for the same formulary-level cumulative opioid MME POS edit from the same pharmacy for the same beneficiary, prescription (drug, quantity and prescriber) and DOS, this would count as one rejected claim. However, if a beneficiary attempted to fill the same prescription at 3 different pharmacies, either on the same day or on different days, and the prescription was rejected each time for the same formulary-level cumulative opioid MME POS edit, this would count as 3 rejected claims. The same claim and beneficiary should be reported in multiple reporting sections of the opioid safety edits, if a claim triggers multiple safety edits at POS.	Data Element I	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-7.b	RSC-7: From the data set of POS rejects (RSC 6a) related to the care coordination safety edit the organization accurately identifies and counts the number of overridden rejected claims and correctly uploads the counts into HPMS, including the following criteria: b: Properly identifies and counts the number of unique beneficiaries per contract with at least one claim rejection due to its care coordination safety POS edit and a pharmacist overridden care coordination safety POS edit rejected claim.		Data Sources:	•
2.e	RSC-7.bi	RSC-7.bi: Rejected claims are counted at the unique contract, beneficiary, prescriber, pharmacy, drug (strength and dosage form), quantity, date of service (DOS) and formulary-level cumulative opioid MME POS edit. For example, if multiple transactions are submitted and rejected by the sponsor for the same formulary-level cumulative opioid MME POS edit from the same pharmacy for the same beneficiary, prescription (drug, quantity and prescriber) and DOS, this would count as one rejected claim. However, if a beneficiary attempted to fill the same prescription at 3 different pharmacies, either on the same day or on different days, and the prescription was rejected each time for the same formulary-level cumulative opioid MME POS edit, this would count as 3 rejected claims. The same claim and beneficiary should be reported in multiple reporting sections of the opioid safety edits, if a claim triggers multiple safety edits at POS.	Data Element D	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-7.bi	RSC-7.bi: Rejected claims are counted at the unique contract, beneficiary, prescriber, pharmacy, drug (strength and dosage form), quantity, date of service (DOS) and formulary-level cumulative opioid MME POS edit. For example, if multiple transactions are submitted and rejected by the sponsor for the same formulary-level cumulative opioid MME POS edit from the same pharmacy for the same beneficiary, prescription (drug, quantity and prescriber) and DOS, this would count as one rejected claim. However, if a beneficiary attempted to fill the same prescription at 3 different pharmacies, either on the same day or on different days, and the prescription was rejected each time for the same formulary-level cumulative opioid MME POS edit, this would count as 3 rejected claims. The same claim and beneficiary should be reported in multiple reporting sections of the opioid safety edits, if a claim triggers multiple safety edits at POS.	Data Element H	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-7.bi	RSC-7.bi: Rejected claims are counted at the unique contract, beneficiary, prescriber, pharmacy, drug (strength and dosage form), quantity, date of service (DOS) and formulary-level cumulative opioid MME POS edit. For example, if multiple transactions are submitted and rejected by the sponsor for the same formulary-level cumulative opioid MME POS edit from the same pharmacy for the same beneficiary, prescription (drug, quantity and prescriber) and DOS, this would count as one rejected claim. However, if a beneficiary attempted to fill the same prescription at 3 different pharmacies, either on the same day or on different days, and the prescription was rejected each time for the same formulary-level cumulative opioid MME POS edit, this would count as 3 rejected claims. The same claim and beneficiary should be reported in multiple reporting sections of the opioid safety edits, if a claim triggers multiple safety edits at POS.	Data Element I	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-8	RSC-8: The organization accurately identifies claims leading to a coverage determination request and correctly uploads the count into HPMS including the following criteria:		Data Sources:	·
2.e	RSC-8.a	RSC-8: The organization accurately identifies claims leading to a coverage determination request and correctly uploads the count into HPMS including the following criteria: a: From the data set (RSC6b) of POS rejects related to the hard MME safety edits,		Data Sources:	•
2.e	RSC-8.ai	RSC-8.ai: Rejected claims are counted at the unique contract, beneficiary, prescriber, pharmacy, drug (strength and dosage form), quantity, date of service (DOS) and formulary-level cumulative opioid MME POS edit. For example, if multiple transactions are submitted and rejected by the sponsor for the same formulary-level cumulative opioid MME POS edit from the same pharmacy for the same beneficiary, prescription (drug, quantity and prescriber) and DOS, this would count as one rejected claim. However, if a beneficiary attempted to fill the same prescription at 3 different pharmacies, either on the same day or on different days, and the prescription was rejected each time for the same formulary-level cumulative opioid MME POS edit, this would count as 3 rejected claims. The same claim and beneficiary should be reported in multiple reporting sections of the opioid safety edits, if a claim triggers multiple safety edits at POS.	Data Element T	Review Results:	

Standard/Sub-standard ID	Reporting Section Criteria ID	Standard/Sub-standard Description	Data Element	Data Sources and Review Results: Enter review results and/or data sources	Enter 'Findings' using the applicable choice in the appropriate cells. Cells marked with an '*' should not be edited.
2.e	RSC-8.aii	RSC-8.aii: Includes all methods of coverage determination receipt (e.g., telephone, letter, fax, in-person).	Data Element T	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-8.aiii	RSC-8.aiii: Includes all coverage determination requests.	Data Element T	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-8.b	RSC-8: The organization accurately identifies claims leading to a coverage determination request and correctly uploads the count into HPMS including the following criteria:		Data Sources:	·
2.e	RSC-8.bi	b: From the data set (RSCGc) of POS rejects related to the opioid naïve days supply safety edits, RSC-8.bi: Rejected claims are counted at the unique contract, beneficiary, prescriber, pharmacy, drug (strength and dosage form), quantity, date of service (DOS) and formulary-level cumulative opioid MME POS edit. For example, if multiple transactions are submitted and rejected by the sponsor for the same formulary-level cumulative opioid MME POS edit from the same pharmacy for the same beneficiary, prescription (drug, quantity and prescriber) and DOS, this would count as one rejected claim. However, if a beneficiary attempted to fill the same prescription at 3 different pharmacies, either on the same day or on different days, and the prescription was rejected each time for the same formulary-level cumulative opioid MME POS edit, this would count as 3 rejected claims. The same claim and beneficiary should be reported in multiple reporting sections of the opioid safety edits, if a claim triggers multiple safety edits at POS.	Data Element EE	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-8.bii	RSC-8.bii: Includes all methods of coverage determination request receipt (e.g., telephone, letter, fax, in-person).	Data Element EE	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-8.biii	RSC-8.biii: Includes all coverage determination requests subject to the opioid naïve edit.	Data Element EE	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-9	RSC-9: The organization accurately identifies the number of unique beneficiaries with at least one POS claim rejection related to a hard MME safety edit and/or opioid naïve days supply safety edit who had a favorable (either full or partial) coverage determination for the prescription(s) subject to the edit. Correctly uploads the count, if the data set of POS rejects includes the complete reporting period, into HPMS including the following criteria:		Data Sources:	•
2.e	RSC-9.a	RSC-9: The organization accurately identifies the number of unique beneficiaries with at least one POS claim rejection related to a hard MME safety edit and/or opioid naïve days supply safety edit who had a favorable (either full or partial) coverage determination for the prescription(s) subject to the edit. Correctly uploads the count, if the data set of POS rejects includes the complete reporting period, into HPMS including the following criteria: a: From the subset of POS rejects (RSC 6b) related to the hard MME safety POS edits,		Data Sources:	
2.e	RSC-9.ai	RSC-9.ai: The beneficiary's opioid claim is also included in Data Element R.	Data Element U	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-9.b	RSC-9: The organization accurately identifies the number of unique beneficiaries with at least one POS claim rejection related to a hard MME safety edit and/or opioid naïve days supply safety edit who had a favorable (either full or partial) coverage determination for the prescription(s) subject to the edit. Correctly uploads the count, if the data set of POS rejects includes the complete reporting period, into HPMS including the following criteria: b: From the subset of POS rejects (RSC 6c) related to the opioid naïve days supply safety POS edits,		Data Sources:	·
2.e	RSC-9.bi	RSC-9.bi: The beneficiary's opioid claim is also included in Data Element AA.	Data Element FF	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-10	RSC-10: The organization accurately identifies the number of unique beneficiaries with at least one POS claim rejection related to a hard MME safety edit and/or opioid naïve days supply safety edit that was overridden due to an exemption (Elements S, BB), because the beneficiary was not opioid naïve (Element CC), or for whom up to a 7-day supply (covered by the plan) was dispensed by the pharmacy (Element DD). Correctly uploads the count, if the data set of POS rejects includes the complete reporting period, into HPMS including the following criteria:		Data Sources:	
2.e	RSC-10.a	RSC-10: The organization accurately identifies the number of unique beneficiaries with at least one POS claim rejection related to a hard MME safety edit and/or opioid naïve days supply safety edit that was overridden due to an exemption (Elements S, B8), because the beneficiary was not opioid naïve (Element CO, or for whom up to a 7-day supply (covered by the plan) was dispensed by the pharmacy (Element DD). Correctly uploads the count, if the data set of POS rejects includes the complete reporting period, into HPMS including the following criteria: a: From the subset of POS rejects (RSC 6b) related to the hard MME safety POS edits,		Data Sources:	•
2.e	RSC-10.ai	RSC-10.al:The beneficiary's opioid claim is also included in Data Element R.	Data Element S	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-10.b	RSC-10: The organization accurately identifies the number of unique beneficiaries with at least one POS claim rejection related to a hard MME safety edit and/or opioid naïve days supply safety edit that was overridden due to an exemption (Elements S, BB), because the beneficiary was not opioid naïve (Element CC), or for whom up to a 7-day supply (covered by the plan) was dispensed by the pharmacy (Element DD). Correctly uploads the count, if the data set of POS rejects includes the complete reporting period, into HPMS including the following criteria:		Data Sources:	•
		b: From the subset of POS rejects (RSC 6c) related to the opioid naïve days supply safety POS edits,			

	Reporting			Data Sources and Review Results:	Enter 'Findings' using the applicable choice in the appropriate
Standard/Sub-standard ID	Section Criteria ID	Standard/Sub-standard Description	Data Element	Enter review results and/or data sources	enter 'Findings' using the applicable choice in the appropriate cells. Cells marked with an '*' should not be edited.
2.e	RSC-10.bi	RSC-10.bi:The beneficiary's opioid claim is also included in Data Element AA.	Data Element BB	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-10.bi	RSC-10.bi:The beneficiary's opioid claim is also included in Data Element AA.	Data Element CC	Review Results:	
2.e	RSC-10.bi	RSC-10.bi:The beneficiary's opioid claim is also included in Data Element AA.	Data Element DD	Review Results:	
3		Organization implements policies and procedures for data submission, including the following:		Data Sources:	*
3.a		Data elements are accurately uploaded into the HPMS tool and entries match corresponding source documents.	Data Element Zero Enrollment	Review Results:	
3.a			Data Element A	Review Results:	
3.a			Data Element B	Review Results:	
3.a			Data Element C	Review Results:	
3.a			Data Element D	Review Results:	
3.a			Data Element E	Review Results:	
3.a			Data Element F	Review Results:	
3.a	+		Data Element G	Review Results:	
3.a	_		Data Element H	Review Results:	
3.a				Review Results:	
			Data Element I		
3.a			Data Element J	Review Results:	
3.a			Data Element K	Review Results:	
3.a			Data Element L	Review Results:	
3.a			Data Element M	Review Results:	
3.a			Data Element N	Review Results:	
3.a			Data Element O	Review Results:	
3.a			Data Element P	Review Results:	
3.a			Data Element Q	Review Results:	
3.a			Data Element R	Review Results:	
3.a			Data Element S	Review Results:	
3.a			Data Element T	Review Results:	
3.a	+		Data Element U	Review Results:	
3.a			Data Element V	Review Results:	
3.a				Review Results:	
			Data Element W		
3.a			Data Element X	Review Results:	
3.a			Data Element Y	Review Results:	
3.a			Data Element Z	Review Results:	
3.a			Data Element AA	Review Results:	
3.a			Data Element BB	Review Results:	
3.a			Data Element CC	Review Results:	
3.a			Data Element DD	Review Results:	
3.a	1		Data Element EE	Review Results:	
3.a	1		Data Element FF	Review Results:	
3.b		All source, intermediate, and final stage data sets and other outputs relied upon to enter data into CMS systems are archived.		Review Results:	
4		Organization implements appropriate policies and procedures for periodic data system updates (e.g., changes in enrollment, provider/pharmacy status, and claims adjustments).		Review Results:	
5		Organization implements policies and procedures for archiving and restoring data in each data system (e.g., disaster recovery plan).		Review Results:	
6		If organization's data systems underwent any changes during the reporting period (e.g., because of a merger, acquisition, or upgrade): Organization provided documentation on the data system changes and, upon review, there were no issues that adversely impacted data reported.		Review Results:	
7		If data collection and/or reporting for this reporting section is delegated to another entity: Organization regularly monitors the quality and timeliness of the data collected and/or reported by the delegated entity or first tier/downstream contractor.		Review Results:	