

# Stakeholder Input – Annual Report

Plan of Work (POW) and REEpor... x USDA NIFA x +

https://tnrs.nifa.usda.gov/reports/13/stakeholder-input

NIFA REPORTING SYSTEM

LOG OUT SOFTWARE TEST EIGHTY

DASHBOARD CRITICAL ISSUES PROJECTS & PROGRAMS

Table of Contents

- Executive Summary
- Merit and Scientific Peer Review Processes
- Stakeholder Input
- All Results by Project or Program
- Review

Back to Last Section Stakeholder Input To Next Section

**Actions taken to seek stakeholder input that encouraged their participation with a brief explanation**

Describe significant changes, if any, to your actions taken to seek stakeholder input from the description provided in the relevant approved Plan of Work. If none, please state "None."

body p

Saved. 0 / 8000

**Methods to identify individuals and groups and brief explanation**

Describe significant changes, if any, to your methods to identify individuals and groups from the description provided in the relevant approved Plan of Work. If none, please state "None."

body p

Saved. 0 / 8000

Plan of Work (POW) and REEpor... x USDA NIFA x +

https://tnrs.nifa.usda.gov/reports/13/stakeholder-input

NIFA REPORTING SYSTEM

LOG OUT SOFTWARE TEST EIGHTY

DASHBOARD CRITICAL ISSUES PROJECTS & PROGRAMS

Table of Contents

- Executive Summary
- Merit and Scientific Peer Review Processes
- Stakeholder Input
- All Results by Project or Program
- Review

body p

Saved. 0 / 8000

**Methods to identify individuals and groups and brief explanation**

Describe significant changes, if any, to your methods to identify individuals and groups from the description provided in the relevant approved Plan of Work. If none, please state "None."

body p

Saved. 0 / 8000

**Methods for collecting stakeholder input and brief explanation**

Describe significant changes, if any, to your methods to collect stakeholder input from the description provided in the relevant approved Plan of Work. If none, please state "None."

body p

Saved. 0 / 8000

Plan of Work (POW) and REEpor... x USDA NIFA x USDA NIFA x +

https://tnrs.nifa.usda.gov/reports/13/stakeholder-input

**NIFA REPORTING SYSTEM** LOG OUT SOFTWARE TEST EIGHTY

DASHBOARD | CRITICAL ISSUES | PROJECTS & PROGRAMS

**Table of Contents**

- Executive Summary
- Merit and Scientific Peer Review Processes
- Stakeholder Input
- All Results by Project or Program
- Review

body p

Saved. 0 / 8000

**A statement of how the input will be considered and brief explanation of what you learned from your stakeholders**

Provide a brief statement on how you used your stakeholder input this past year to guide implementation of the relevant approved Plan of Work.

body p

Saved. 0 / 8000

Additional Resources

OMB Control No: 0524-0036  
Expires: 09/30/2022

## Merit and Scientific Peer Review – Annual Report

Plan of Work (POW) and REEpor... x USDA NIFA x USDA NIFA x +

https://tnrs.nifa.usda.gov/reports/13/merit-and-scientific-process

**NIFA REPORTING SYSTEM** LOG OUT SOFTWARE TEST EIGHTY

DASHBOARD | CRITICAL ISSUES | PROJECTS & PROGRAMS

[Back to Report Builder Home](#)

**California (Regents of the University of California) Annual Report - FY2021** Please complete the report before submitting.

**Table of Contents**

- Executive Summary
- Merit and Scientific Peer Review Processes
- Stakeholder Input
- All Results by Project or Program
- Review

[Back to Last Section](#)    **Merit and Scientific Peer Review Process**    [To Next Section](#)

**Updates**

Describe significant changes, if any, to your merit and peer review processes from the description provided in the relevant approved Plan of Work. If none, please state "None."

body p

Saved. 0 / 8000

Additional Resources

OMB Control No: 0524-0036  
Expires: 09/30/2022

# Add a result for a project or program

**NIFA REPORTING SYSTEM** LOG OUT JENNIFER SMITH

DASHBOARD | CRITICAL ISSUES | PROJECTS & PROGRAMS | MANAGE RESULTS

[Back to Projects & Programs Overview](#) View Edit History

### The genetics of natural variation in photoperiodic flowering

Regents of the University of California  
Approved as of 08/18/2021

|   |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| <b>Funding Source</b><br>Research Capacity Fund<br>(Hatch Multistate) | <b>Primary Critical Issue</b><br>Advancing Agricultural and Food Systems                                      | <b>Accession Number</b> 1234567<br><b>DUNS/UEI</b> 1257862156<br><b>Org Project Number</b> CA-D- Z123456790A198765432<br><small>Prefix Number</small> |
| <b>Project Director</b><br>Samantha Summers                           | <b>Performing Department</b><br>2873 Agriculture and Research Economics, Berkeley<br><small>Code Name</small> |   |
| <b>Start Date</b><br>01/01/2021                                       | <b>End Date</b><br>01/01/2025   |   |

| Results | Amount | Fiscal Year(s) |   |
|---------|--------|----------------|---|
|         | 1      | 2021           | ▼ |

**Funding Source** Research Capacity Fund (Hatch Multistate) **Primary Critical Issue** Advancing Agricultural and Food Systems

**Results** ▲

No Results Added

[ADD RESULT](#)

### Add Results

|  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <b>Organization</b><br>Regents of the University of California | <b>To Project / Program</b><br>"The genetics of natural variation in photoperiodic flowering" | <b>Primary Critical Issue</b><br>[Critical issue here.....] |
|--|---|---|

Nothing Significant to Report

**Title**

**Fiscal Year**  
Select Fiscal Year ▼

In 2-3 sentences, briefly describe the issue or problem that your project addresses.

**B I U S x<sup>2</sup> x<sub>2</sub> NORMAL 16 FONT** [Rich text editor toolbar with icons for bold, italic, underline, strikethrough, superscript, subscript, font color, background color, link, unlink, redo, undo, list, and indent]

Remaining Characters: 10,000/ 10,000

Briefly describe in non-technical terms how your major activities helped you achieve, or make significant progress toward, the goals and objectives described in your non-technical summary.

**B I U S x<sup>2</sup> x<sub>2</sub> NORMAL 16 FONT** [Rich text editor toolbar]

Remaining Characters: 10,000/ 10,000

Briefly describe how your target audience benefited from your project's activities.

**B I U S x<sup>2</sup> x<sub>2</sub> NORMAL 16 FONT** [Rich text editor toolbar]

Remaining Characters: 10,000/ 10,000

Briefly describe how the broader public benefited from your project's activities.

**B I U S x<sup>2</sup> x<sub>2</sub> NORMAL 16 FONT** [Rich text editor toolbar]

Remaining Characters: 10,000/ 10,000

#### Comments (optional)


Describe and explain any major changes or problems encountered in approach. Additionally, note opportunities for training and professional development provided, how results have been disseminated to communities of interest, and any new details regarding what the project or program plans to do during the next reporting period to accomplish the goals.

**B I U S x<sup>2</sup> x<sub>2</sub> NORMAL 16 FONT** [Rich text editor toolbar]

Remaining Characters: 10,000/ 10,000

SAVE

SUBMIT FOR ORG REVIEW



Add Results

|  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <b>Organization</b><br>Regents of the University of California | <b>To Project / Program</b><br>"The genetics of natural variation in photoperiodic flowering" | <b>Primary Critical Issue</b><br>[Critical issue here.....] |
|--|---|---|

Nothing Significant to Report

**Title**

**Fiscal Year**  
Select Fiscal Year

Comments

Describe and explain any major changes or problems encountered in approach. Additionally, note opportunities for training and professional development provided, how results have been disseminated to communities of interest, and any new details regarding what the project or program plans to do during the next reporting period to accomplish the goals.

Rich text editor toolbar with icons for Bold, Italic, Underline, Strikethrough, Superscript, Subscript, Font color, Background color, Bulleted list, Numbered list, Indent, Outdent, Undo, and Redo.

Remaining Characters: 10,000/ 10,000

SAVE

SUBMIT FOR ORG REVIEW

# Remaining Sections of Annual Report of Accomplishments – Executive Summary

# All Results – Research Projects

NIFA REPORTING SYSTEM

← Back to Report Builder Home
Please complete the report before submitting

## Georgia - Annual Report 2021

**Table of Contents**

- Executive Summary
- Merit and Scientific Peer Review Processes
- Stakeholder Input
- All Results by Project or Program
  - Research Projects
    - Water Quality
    - Childhood Obesity
    - Pesticide Safety
    - Natural Resources
  - Extension Programs
  - Other Projects/Programs
- Review

← Back to Last Section

### Research Projects

All Projects & Programs

To Next Section >

[SEARCH](#)

View Projects with 0 Results

| Critical Issue       | Projects |
|----------------------|----------|
| <b>Water Quality</b> | <b>8</b> |

| Project  | Results | Organization          | Project Director                              |
|--|---------|-----------------------|---|
| The genetics of natural variation in photoperiodic flowering   | 2       | University of Georgia | #### <span style="font-size: 0.8em;">▼</span> |
| Enhancing Food Safety Capacity of Western Elderberry Producers: Best Practices for Harvest and Processing                          | 0       | University of Georgia | #### <span style="font-size: 0.8em;">▼</span> |
| Determining the Relationship between Soil Health and Stress Indicators for Plants and Soil Microbial Communities                   | 2       | University of Georgia | #### <span style="font-size: 0.8em;">▼</span> |
| Costs and Benefits of Natural Resources on Public and Private Lands: Management, Economic Valuation and Integrated Decision-Making | 2       | University of Georgia | #### <span style="font-size: 0.8em;">▼</span> |
| The genetics of natural variation in photoperiodic flowering   | 2       | University of Georgia | #### <span style="font-size: 0.8em;">▼</span> |
| Enhancing Food Safety Capacity of Western Elderberry Producers: Best Practices for Harvest and Processing                          | 2       | University of Georgia | #### <span style="font-size: 0.8em;">▼</span> |
| Determining the Relationship between Soil Health and Stress Indicators for Plants and Soil Microbial Communities                   | 2       | University of Georgia | #### <span style="font-size: 0.8em;">▼</span> |
| Costs and Benefits of Natural Resources on Public and Private Lands: Management, Economic Valuation and Integrated Decision-Making | 2       | University of Georgia | #### <span style="font-size: 0.8em;">▼</span> |

## All Results – Extension Programs

**Table of Contents**

- Executive Summary
- Merit and Scientific Peer Review Processes
- Stakeholder Input
- All Results by Project or Program
  - Research Projects
  - Extension Programs
    - Sustainable Food Systems
    - Sustainable Natural Ecosystems
    - Healthy Families and Communities
    - Endemic and Invasive Pests and Diseases
    - Water Quantity, Quality and Security
  - Other Projects/Programs
- Review

**Extension Program Results**  
All Results by Program

View Programs with 0 Results

Critical Issue: **Endemic and Invasive Pests and Diseases** Programs: 1

| Program                | Results | Organization                            | Project Director        |
|------------------------|---------|---|-------------------------|
| <b>Pest Management</b> | 0       | Regents of the University of California | Katherine Webb-Martinez |

Critical Issue: **Healthy Families and Communities** Programs: 2

| Program          | Results | Organization                            | Project Director        |
|------------------|---------|---|-------------------------|
| <b>Nutrition</b> | 0       | Regents of the University of California | Katherine Webb-Martinez |

Program: **Youth and Community Development** Results: 1

| Program                                | Results | Organization                            | Project Director        |
|--|---------|---|-------------------------|
| <b>Youth and Community Development</b> | 1       | Regents of the University of California | Katherine Webb-Martinez |

## All Results – Other Projects/Program (Integrated, Multistate, No Critical Issue Assigned)

**Table of Contents**

- Executive Summary
- Merit and Scientific Peer Review Processes
- Stakeholder Input
- All Results by Project or Program
  - Research Projects
  - Extension Programs
  - Other Projects/Programs
    - Without a Critical Issue
    - Multistate
    - Integrated
- Review

**Other Projects/Programs Results**  
All Projects & Programs

View Projects/Programs with 0 Results

Type: **Projects / Programs without a Critical Issue** Projects / Programs: 297

| Project   | Results | Organization                            | Project Director |
|---|---------|---|------------------|
| <b>"Improved understanding of clouds and precipitation for weather and climate."</b>                    | 1       | Regents of the University of California | Adele Igel       |
| <b>"The Application of Mesoscale Numerical Model"</b>   | 1       | Regents of the University of California | S Chen           |
| <b>A Systematic Study of the Effect of Cell Lipid Bilayer Composition on Alcohol Tolerance in Yeast</b> | 0       | Regents of the University of California | David Block      |
| <b>A high-resolution analysis of stem cell</b>  | -       | -                                       | -                |



# Review Report – All Sections, Director options to sign/edit

The screenshot shows a web browser window with the URL <https://unrs.nifa.usda.gov/reports/2/review>. The page title is "NIFA REPORTING SYSTEM". The main content area displays the "California (Regents of the University of California) Annual Report - FY2021" with "EDIT" and "SIGN REPORT" buttons. A "Table of Contents" sidebar lists sections like Executive Summary, Merit and Scientific Peer Review Process, Stakeholder Input, Highlighted Results by Project or Program, and Appendix. The "Review Report" section is divided into "Contributing Organizations" (Regents of the University of California) and "Directors" (Glenda Humiston - Research & Extension, Software Test sixty - Extension, Software Test-eleven - Research & Extension), each with "Not Signed" or "Signed" status indicators. Below this, the "Executive Summary" section is visible, including an "Overview" and "Critical Issue" subsections.

**Table of Contents**

- Review
  - Executive Summary
  - Merit and Scientific Peer Review Process
  - Stakeholder Input
  - Highlighted Results by Project or Program
  - Appendix

**Review Report**

**Contributing Organizations**

- Regents of the University of California

**Directors**

- Glenda Humiston - Research & Extension **Not Signed**
- Software Test sixty - Extension **Not Signed**
- Software Test-eleven - Research & Extension **Signed**

**Executive Summary**

**Overview**

- x

**Critical Issue: Endemic and Invasive Pests and Diseases**

- x

**Critical Issue: Healthy Families and Communities**

- x

**Critical Issue: Sustainable Food Systems**

- x