QUESTIONS REMOVED FROM PRODUCTION PRACTICES REPORT - JULY 2022

Below are the questions that were removed from previous Production Practices Report versions. They were previously requested by the USDA Office of Pest Management Policy (OPMP). This applies to the 2022 Potato Production Practices Report.

17. For the selected field, were any of the following pesticide spraying practices or activities used in 2019? Pesticides include insecticides, fungicides, herbicides and plant growth regulators (PGR).

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | Was this used in 2019? | Was it specifically used to keep pesticide application(s) ontarget (i.e., reduce pesticide drift)? | (Complete column for every YES in Column 1) Considering labor, training, capital expenditures, and other costs, how easy or difficult was it to implement this practice or activity? | (Complete column for every NO in Column 1) Why was this practice or activity NOT used? Check all that apply. |
| Pesticide Spraying Practice or Activity | Yes = 1 No = 3 Don't Know = 2 | Yes = 1 No = 3 Don't Know = 2 | 1 – Very Easy 2 – Somewhat Easy 3 – Somewhat Difficult 4 – Very Difficult | 1 - Cost of labor/training 2 - Cost of associated equipment/products 3 - Incompatible with current production practices (e.g., topography, equipment limitations) 4 - General time management issues/too busy 5 - Unfamiliar with activity or practice 6 - Other, specify: |
| a. Altering spray time(s) depending on weather conditions (e.g., wind speed, wind | | | | |
| h Drift reducing adjuvant(s) | | | | |
| c. Drift reducing nozzle(s) | | | | |
| d. Increased GPA spray solution | | | | |
| e. Calibrate sprayer before the season | | | | |
| f. Calibrate sprayer during the season | | | | |
| g. Manually altering sprayer settings to improve the spray precision (e.g., altering spray pressure, ground speed, and/or boom | | | | |
| h. Adopting the use of technologies to improve the spray precision (e.g., on/off nozzle spray technology, GPS boom section controls, automatic boom height etablization, and/or infrared technology) | | | | |
| i. Shielded sprayers | | | | |

| j. Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) (e.g. Aim | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Command, Raven's Hawk Eye, John Deere's | 1 | | |
| Exact Apply, etc.) | | | |
| . Other - Specify: | | | |

[Enumerator Notes: Question 18 pertains to pre-emergence pesticide applications, regardless of pesticide type. Questions 19 and 20 are specific to post-emergence applications, Question 19 addressing herbicide applications and Question 20 addressing insecticide/fungicide applications.]

| nd | Question 20 addressing insecticide/fungicio | de applications.] | | |
|-----|--|--|--|------|
| 18. | Pre-emergence pesticide applications are pestemergence of the wheat for early-season pest emergence pesticide applications using aerial | management. For the select | ted field, did this operation m | |
| | ☐ Yes, made pre-emergence pesticide applicate ☐ Yes, made pre-emergence pesticide applicate ☐ No, did not make pre-emergence pesticide applicate | tions using ground boom sp | | w |
| | | | plications Using Ground Boom ayers | Code |
| | a. What was the typical spray volume (gallons per acre-GPA) for pre-emergence pesticide applications? | 1□ < 5 GPA 2□ 5 to < 7.5 GPA 3□ 7.5 to < 10 GPA 4□ 10 to < 15 GPA | 5□ 15 to < 20 GPA 6□ 20 to < 25 GPA 7□ 25 GPA or greater 99□ Don't know | |
| | b. What is the typical operating pressure for pre-emergence pesticide applications (PSI)? | 1 | 7□ 60 to < 70 PSI 8□ 70 to < 80 PSI 9□ 80 to < 90 PSI 10□ 90 to < 100 PSI 11□ 100 PSI or greater 99□ Don't know | |
| d. | c. What nozzles were typically used most often for any pre-emergence pesticide applications? | 1□ Hollow Cone 2□ Full Cone 3□ Disc/Core Nozzle 4□ Flat (e.g., flat fan) | 5□ Air-inclusion (AI), Air-induction, Venturi 6□ Other, specify: 99□ Don't Know | |
| e. | d. At what ground speed was this ground boom sprayer(s) typically driven during pre-emergence pesticide applications? | 1□ < 5 MPH 2□ 5 to < 10 MPH 3□ 10 to <15 MPH | 4□ 15 to <20 MPH 5□ 20 MPH or greater 99□ Don't know | |
| f. | e. At what boom height above ground or crop canopy did this operation typically spray during pre-emergence pesticide applications? | 1□ < 24 inches 2□ 24 to < 36 inches | 3□ 36 inches or greater 99□ Don't know | |
| g. | f. What is the target droplet size spectrum for pre-emergence pesticide applications? | 1☐ Less than 106 microns (Extremely Fine or Very Fine) 2☐ 106-235 microns (Fine) 3☐ 236-340 microns (Medium) 4☐ 341-403 microns (Coarse) | 5□ 404-502 microns (Very Coarse) 6□ 503-665 microns (Extremely Coarse) 7□ Greater than 665 microns (Ultra Coarse) 99□ Don't Know | |

19. **Post-emergence** herbicide applications are made to control weeds that occur after emergence of the wheat. For this selected field, did this operation make any **post-emergence** herbicide applications using aerial sprayers and/or ground

| t | oom sprayers in 2019? | 3 | 3 i. ip | 3 |
|----|---|--|--|----------|
| [| ☐ Yes, made post-emergence herbicide applica☐ Yes, made post-emergence herbicide applica☐ No, did not make post-emergence herbicide a | ations using ground boom s | | OW |
| | | Post-emergence Herbicide Applications Using Ground Broom Sprayers Cod | | Code |
| | a. What was the typical spray volume (gallons per acre-GPA) for post-emergence herbicide applications? | 1□ < 5 GPA 2□ 5 to < 7.5 GPA 3□ 7.5 to < 10 GPA 4□ 10 to < 15 GPA | 5□ 15 to < 20 GPA 6□ 20 to < 25 GPA 7□ 25 GPA or greater 99□ Don't know | |
| | b. What is the typical operating pressure for post-emergence herbicide applications (PSI)? | 1□ < 10 PSI 2□ 10 to < 20 PSI 3□ 20 to < 30 PSI 4□ 30 to < 40 PSI 5□ 40 to < 50 PSI 6□ 50 to < 60 PSI | 7□ 60 to < 70 PSI 8□ 70 to < 80 PSI 9□ 80 to < 90 PSI 10□ 90 to < 100 PSI 11□100 PSI or greater 99□ Don't know | |
| d. | c. What nozzles were typically used most often for any post-emergence herbicide applications? | 1□ Hollow Cone 2□ Full Cone 3□ Disc/Core 4□ Flat (e.g., flat fan) | 5□ Air-inclusion (AI), Air-induction, Venturi 6□ Other, specify: 99□ Don't Know | |
| e. | d. At what ground speed was this ground boom sprayer(s) typically driven during post-emergence herbicide applications? | 1□ < 5 MPH 2□ 5 to < 10 MPH 3□ 10 to <15 MPH | 4□ 15 to <20 MPH 5□ 20 MPH or greater 99□ Don't know | |
| f. | e. At what boom height above ground or crop canopy did this operation typically spray during post-emergence herbicide applications? | 1□ < 24 inches 2□ 24 to < 36 inches | 3□ 36 inches or greater 99□ Don't know | |
| g. | f. What is the target droplet size spectrum for post-emergence herbicide applications? | 1☐ Less than 106 microns (Extremely Fine or Very Fine) 2☐ 106-235 microns (Fine) 3☐ 236-340 microns (Medium) 4☐ 341-403 microns (Coarse) | 5□ 404-502 microns (Very Coarse) 6□ 503-665 microns (Extremely Coarse) 7□ Greater than 665 microns (Ultra Coarse) 99□ Don't Know | |

| ٧ | Post-emergence insecticide and/or fungicide a wheat. For the selected field, did this operation using aerial sprayers and/or ground boom spray | make any post-emergence | | |
|----|---|--|--|--------------|
| [| ☐ Yes, made post-emergence insecticide/fungi☐ Yes, made post-emergence insecticide/fungi☐ No, did not make pre-emergence pesticide ap | cide applications using grou | | e table belo |
| | | _ | /Fungicide Applications Using om Sprayers | Code |
| | a. What was the typical spray volume (gallons per acre-GPA) for post-emergence insecticide/fungicide applications? | 1□ < 5 GPA 2□ 5 to < 7.5 GPA 3□ 7.5 to < 10 GPA 4□ 10 to < 15 GPA | 5□ 15 to < 20 GPA 6□ 20 to < 25 GPA 7□ 25 GPA or greater 99□ Don't know | |
| | b. What is the typical operating pressure for post-emergence insecticide/fungicide applications (PSI)? | 1□ < 10 PSI 2□ 10 to < 20 PSI 3□ 20 to < 30 PSI 4□ 30 to < 40 PSI 5□ 40 to < 50 PSI 6□ 50 to < 60 PSI | 7□ 60 to < 70 PSI 8□ 70 to < 80 PSI 9□ 80 to < 90 PSI 10□ 90 to < 100 PSI 11□ 100 PSI or greater 99□ Don't know | |
| d. | c. What nozzles were typically used most often for any post-emergence insecticide/fungicide applications? | 1□ Hollow Cone 2□ Full Cone 3□ Disc/Core Nozzle 4□ Flat (e.g., flat fan) | 5□ Air-inclusion (AI), Air-induction, Venturi 6□ Other, specify: 99□ Don't Know | |
| e. | d. At what ground speed was this ground boom sprayer(s) typically driven during post-emergence insecticide/fungicide applications? | 1□ < 5 MPH 2□ 5 to < 10 MPH 3□ 10 to <15 MPH | 4□ 15 to <20 MPH 5□ 20 MPH or greater 99□ Don't know | |
| f. | e. At what boom height above ground or crop canopy did this operation typically spray during post-emergence insecticide/fungicide applications? | 1□ < 24 inches 2□ 24 to < 36 inches | 3□ 36 inches or greater 99□ Don't know | |
| g. | f. What is the target droplet size spectrum for post-emergence insecticide/fungicide applications? | 1☐ Less than 106 microns (Extremely Fine or Very Fine) 2☐ 106-235 microns (Fine) 3☐ 236-340 microns (Medium) 4☐ 341-403 microns (Coarse) | 5□ 404-502 microns (Very Coarse) 6□ 503-665 microns (Extremely Coarse) 7□ Greater than 665 microns (Ultra Coarse) 99□ Don't Know | |

| 21. Which of the following spraying practices re | esulted in a sprayer re-calibration in 2019? Check all that apply. |
|---|---|
| □ a. Computer calibration alert system □ b. Change in product being applied □ c. Observed change in spray pattern or C □ d. Scheduled calibration (e.g., daily, mon □ e. When moving to a different block or cr □ f. Other, specify: □ g. None of the above | Gallons per Acre (GPA) output (e.g., from worn nozzles) athly, annually) |
| 22. For the selected field, how often did this operation clean the ground boom sprayer tank system in 2019? Check all that apply | □ 1 Before the season □ 2 After the season □ 3 Depended on the product(s) □ 4 Regularly scheduled cleaning □ 5 Other, specify: □ 6 Never |
| [Enumerator Note: If Respondent answered code | 1 - 5 for Item 22, answer Item 22a and 22b; else skip to Item 23] |
| 22a.For each time that the ground boom sprayer was cleaned, how often was a tank cleaner used? | □ 1 Always (100%) □ 2 Often (51% or more) □ 3 Sometimes (50% or less) □ 4 Never (0%) □ 99. Don't Know |
| 22b. Did this operation use separate spray rigs for herbicide applications? | ☐ 1 Yes ☐ 3 No ☐ 2 Don't Know |
| 23. For the selected field, what material were a applications made in 2019? Select one. | majority of the nozzles made of that were used across all pesticide |
| □ a. Plastic, such as Polypropylene (i.e. Poly o □ b. Aluminum, brass, or other soft metal(s) □ c. Stainless steel (including hardened stainle □ d. Porcelain or other ceramic materials □ e. Other, specify: | ess steel) |
| 24. For the selected field, what were the most c all that apply. | ommon reasons for replacing the nozzles on the sprayers in 2019? Check |
| □ b. Regularly scheduled replacement based of □ c. Sporadic replacement based on area covered of □ d. Calibration problems (i.e., too high or too look) □ e. Observed nozzle damage (e.g., change in of □ f. Availability of new nozzle technologies | ered or general intuition (i.e., it feels like the right time to change nozzles) low a flow rate) spray pattern or leaks) s (e.g., Cooperative Extension, crop consultants, etc.) |

| least one and a half times the height of the crop canopy |) for drift reduction | in 2019? | , , |
|--|---|---|--|
| □ a. 0% □ b. 1% to 25% □ c. 26% to 50% □ d. 51% to 75% □ e. 76% to 100% □ f. Don't know 26. How often were the following sources of information | used to inform pe | st management | decisions in 2019? |
| | (1 |) | (2) |
| Sources of Information | How often was information used 1 - Always (100) 2 - Often (51% of a sometimes (4 - Never (0%)) 99 Don't Know | d? %) or more) | Which of these sources was this operation's PRIMARY source of pest management decisions? Select one. 1 = Primary 3 = Not Primary |
| a. Pesticide Product Labels | | | |
| b. University and/or Agricultural Cooperative Extension Resources/Recommendations | | | |
| c. Non-University literature, such as magazines or newspapers | | | |
| d. Grower/Trade Groups | | | |
| e. Pesticide Sales Representatives and/or Farm Supply Distributors | | | |
| Crop Consultants Paid for by the Operation | | | |
| a. Other Grower(s) | | | |
| b. Non-University Decision Tools | | | |
| c. Weather Forecasting Tools | | | |
| d. Other, Specify: | | | |
| 27. (If 26b, column 1 equals 1, 2, 3) Which of the following Cooperative Extension were most often used as source | 0). | nent decisions i | า 2019? |
| University and/or Agricultural Cooperative Extens | ion Services | used? 1- Always (100 2 - Often (51% | o or more) s (50% or less)) |
| a. Formal presentations (e.g., annual meetings, educ | ational trainings) | | |
| b. Field days/ demonstration workshops | | | |
| c. Farm visits and/or one-on-one consultation | | | |
| d. Email lists | | | |
| e. Newsletters | | | |
| f. Crop and/or Pest Protection Handbook | | | |

g. Other publications (e.g. Fact sheets)

h. Decision toolsi. Other, Specify:

28. For the selected field, how often were the following practices used during the season to manage herbicide, fungicide and insecticide resistance in 2019?

| | (Only complete if operation uses herbicides) | (Only complete if operation uses fungicides) | (Only complete if operation uses insecticides) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Practices to Manage Resistance for Herbicide, Fungicide and Insecticide | How often was each practice used on this field to manage herbicide resistance? 1 Always (100%) 2 Often (51% or more) 3 Sometimes (50% or less) 4 Never (0%) 99. Don't Know | How often was each practice used on this field to manage fungicide resistance? 1 Always (100%) 2 Often (51% or more) 3 Sometimes (50% or less) 4 Never (0%) 99. Don't Know | How often was each practice used on this field to manage insecticide resistance? 1 Always (100%) 2 Often (51% or more) 3 Sometimes (50% or less) 4 Never (0%) 99. Don't Know |
| Field mapping weeds and/or keeping records of field history and pesticide us assist pesticide decisions | e to | | |
| b. Field Management/Sanitation Practices: | | | |
| i. For weed control (e.g., crop rotation, tilla planting cover crops, managing field bord preventing field-to-field and within field movement of weed seed) | - | | |
| ii. For disease control (e.g., removing or incorporating field residue to reduce potential disease infestations, managing borders) | field | | |
| iii. For insect control (e.g., removing or incorporating field residue to reduce potential insect infestations, managing fi borders) | eld | | |
| c. Planting insect-resistant and/or disease- resistant varieties of wheat | | | |
| d. Pre-harvest and/or post-harvest control of weeds and/or disease to reduce the return weed seeds and/or seed-borne diseases | | | |
| e. Use of pest diagnostic tools [e.g., Integrate Pest Management (IPM) treatment threshold predictive weather models (e.g., degree damodels); pest forecasting systems, and/or assistance from diagnostic networks] | olds; | | |
| e. Pesticide Mode of Action (MOA) rotation | | | |
| f. Pesticide Mode of Action (MOA) combination (i.e., tank mix or pre-mix product) | on | | |

^{29.} In an effort to reduce off-target impacts to plants, pollinators, and/or beneficial insects, did this operation communicate with or consult any of the following sources in 2019? Check all that apply.

| □ a. Neighboring crop producers |
|--|
| ☐ b. Nearby beekeepers |
| \square c. A local expert, such as an Agricultural Cooperative Extension agent |
| ☐ d. State Managed Pollinator Protection Plans, or MP3s (MP3s are state-developed efforts that intend to reduce pesticide exposure through timely communication and coordination among beekeepers, growers, pesticide applicators, and landowners) |
| ☐ e. Driftwatch (Driftwatch is a voluntary communication tool that enables crop producers, beekeepers, and pesticide applicators to work together to protect crops and apiaries through the use of mapping programs.) |
| ☐ f. Other communication tool(s), specify: |
| □ g. Other, specify: |
| 30. Are the spraying practices for <i>other fields</i> on this operation similar to the spraying practices for <i>this selected field</i> ? □ a. Yes □ b. No − Please explain the difference: □ c. Don't Know |
| 43. Which of the following auditing systems, if any, did this operation participate in in 2019? Check all that apply. □ a. GLOBALG.A.P. |
| □ b. Safe Quality Food (<i>SQF</i>) Program |
| □ c. Other, specify: |
| ☐ d. This operation did not participate in an auditing system |
| |
| □ e. Don't know |