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## B. COLLECTIONS OF INFORMATION EMPLOYING STATISTICAL METHODS

1. Describe (including a numerical estimate) the potential respondent universe and any sampling or other respondent selection method to be used. Data on the number of entities (e.g., establishments, State and local government units, households, or persons) in the universe covered by the collection and in the corresponding sample are to be provided in tabular form for the universe as a whole and for each of the strata in the proposed sample. Indicate expected response rates for the collection as a whole. If the collection had been conducted previously, include the actual response rate achieved during the last collection.

The number of vessel owners holding HMS Angling or Charter/Headboat permits, or Atlantic Tunas General or Harpoon category permits is presented in Table 2. Only anglers fishing on vessels permitted in these categories are allowed to fish for swordfish, billfish, or bluefin tuna outside of registered HMS tournaments. The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) does not know the actual respondent universe number, only the number of swordfish, billfish, and bluefin tuna landed and data on bluefin tuna discarded dead. The number of permit holders represents the maximum number of potential respondents, but this is not the same as a universe for sampling purposes. There is no sampling or any other method used to select respondents in this data collection. The swordfish, billfish, and bluefin tuna landings and dead discard reports are mandatory; therefore 1this collection is a census of landings and dead discards (for commercial handgear fishermen). NMFS does not know what the response rates are, nor can NMFS predict them, because NMFS is not conducting a statistical survey from a known universe of respondents.

Table 2. Number of HMS and Atlantic Tuna permits by year and category, 2011-2021.

| Year | Angling | Charter/Headboat | General | Harpoon |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | 23,138 | 4,194 | 3,764 | 24 |
| 2012 | 23,061 | 4,129 | 4,084 | 13 |
| 2013 | 21,686 | 3,968 | 3,783 | 14 |
| 2014 | 20,239 | 3,742 | 3,396 | 14 |
| 2015 | 20,566 | 3,663 | 3,230 | 23 |
| 2016 | 20,020 | 3,594 | 2,910 | 9 |
| 2017 | 20,338 | 3,618 | 2,940 | 11 |
| 2018 | 20,086 | 3,635 | 2,942 | 21 |
| 2019 | 21,407 | 3,769 | 2,721 | 20 |
| 2020 | 22,833 | 3,839 | 2,645 | 7 |


| 2021 | 23,632 | 4,055 | 2,730 | 35 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Based on the amount of quota available for bluefin tuna and past landing and discard reports for bluefin tuna and landing reports for swordfish and billfish, it is estimated that up to 17,367 individual reports could be expected each year (Table 3).

Table 3. Estimated number of annual responses (angler reports)

| Species | States | Estimated number of <br> responses |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Bluefin Tuna Recreational <br> Catch | MD \& NC | 1,131 |
| Billfish and Swordfish | Other states | 9,560 |
| Shark Catch Cards | MD | 1,502 |
| Bluefin Tuna Commercial <br> Catch | All | 128 |
| Total |  | 4,585 |
| Weekly/Biweekly and <br> Annual Reports | MD \& NC | $\mathbf{1 7 , 2 8 3}$ |
| Bluefin Tuna Verification <br> Calls | MD \& NC | 64 |
| Total Responses including <br> all requirements |  | 20 |

2. Describe the procedures for the collection, including:

- Statistical methodology for stratification and sample selection,
- Estimation procedure,
- Degree of accuracy needed for the purpose described in the justification,
- Unusual problems requiring specialized sampling procedures, and
- Any use of periodic (less frequent than annual) data collection cycles to reduce burden.

As stated above, all permitted anglers catching (e.g., landing or discarding dead) a bluefin tuna, or landing a swordfish or billfish, or commercial handgear fishermen catching a bluefin tuna are required to report within 24 hours. Reports may be made via phone, internet, phone app, or landings cards, depending on the state. Reports are mandatory and no statistical methodology or sample selection is involved. Degree of accuracy needed is not relevant since this is a mandatory reporting program that strives for 100-percent compliance. No specialized sampling procedures and no use of periodic data collection is involved since this collection is 100-percent mandatory.
3. Describe methods to maximize response rates and to deal with issues of non-response. The accuracy and reliability of information collected must be shown to be adequate for intended uses. For collections based on sampling, a special justification must be provided for any collection that will not yield "reliable" data that can be generalized to the universe studied.

Response rates and nonresponse are terms that apply to statistical surveys based on sampling.

This is not a statistical survey because the entire population is being surveyed and no generalization based on a sample of the population will be made; therefore, this question does not apply. However, to further encourage a high response rate (compliance rate), NMFS conducts an outreach program (compliance guides, mailings, listserv network, etc.) to inform permit holders of the reporting requirements, and to indicate the importance of reliable information for stock assessment purposes and social/economic analysis of potential management measures. NMFS also raises the aforementioned reporting requirements during all appropriate public hearings, discussions with leaders of the recreational community, and other meetings with the recreational angling community to encourage improved reporting.
4. Describe any tests of procedures or methods to be undertaken. Testing is encouraged as an effective means of refining collections of information to minimize burden and improve utility. Tests must be approved if they call for answers to identical questions from $\mathbf{1 0}$ or more respondents. A proposed test or set of tests may be submitted for approval separately or in combination with the main collection of information.

No tests of procedures or methods will be undertaken, although as mentioned in Part A, Question 4, the MRIP and Large Pelagics Survey (LPS) programs survey a similar group of respondents. These surveys and their resulting reports include feasibility analyses for potential modifications to the current information collection.
5. Provide the name and telephone number of individuals consulted on statistical aspects of the design and the name of the agency unit, contractor(s), grantee(s), or other person(s) who will actually collect and/or analyze the information for the agency.

Maryland and North Carolina Catch Cards: Daemian Schreiber
LPS Administrator, State Liaison, and Data
Collection
Office of Science and Technology
1315 East-West Highway
Silver Spring, MD 20910
(301) 427-8104

HMS Landings and Catch Reports:
Brad McHale
Catch Reports Data Collection, Website
Development
HMS Management Division
55 Great Republic Drive
Gloucester, MA 01930
(978) 281-9139

