

Crosswalk of Changes

Annual Reporting of the Rape Prevention and Education (RPE) Program: CE19-1902 Cooperative Agreement

OMB # 0920-1286

Exp. Date: 03/31/2023

Form	Section/Question	Requested Changes
All	All	- Provide guiding prompts and clarifications as tips/instructions for each question. - Increase character limits for open-ended questions to allow for more information by respondent who have larger scoped programs - Remove “Is there anything else we should know” questions in all sections - Bring forward more data from the last APR for multiple questions, across forms, to reduce respondent burden and unnecessary repeat reporting - Add clarification of “during this reporting period” to multiple questions across forms - Minor wording changes to increase clarity
Workplan Form	Objective and Milestone	Modify questions to streamline and improve utility - Rename “Progress Status” to “Objective Status” and “Milestone Status” and “Progress Notes” to “Comments on Objective” and “Milestone Comments” in the respective panel and table - Delete answer option for Progress Status for objective and milestone: Planned - Clarify language with timeframes for progress status per the annual continuation guidance from the CDC’s Office of Financial Resource - Remove questions from Objective Panel and Milestone Table: “Start Date”, “End Date”, “Continuation Notes”, and “Continuation Status” - Add question “Program year Milestone Completed” to milestone table
Barriers, Facilitators, and Successes Form	Barriers Encountered Section: Question set	Streamline questions to improve relevance and clarity - Add question “Did you experience barriers during this reporting period? (Yes/No)” at the beginning of section - Combine two questions “Barrier Description” and “Impact of Barrier” into “Describe the barrier and how it impacts your work” - Change “NOFO Component” to “Program Component” and add answer option: “Partnership” - Change wording for “Action Steps to Resolve” to “What actions were taken or would be helpful to address the barrier?” - Change wording for “Resources Used” to “What resources are used or would be helpful to address the barrier?” - Delete “Parties Involved” - Remove table “Anticipated additional challenges” - Add question “Barrier Comments” to allow optional reporting of any information - Delete “Do you anticipate this barrier for the next budget period?” - Add answer option for Barrier Type: “COVID-19” - Remove answer option “No barriers encountered” from Barrier Type
Barriers, Facilitators, and Successes Form	Facilitators Encountered Section: Question set	Modify questions to streamline and improve relevance, utility, and clarity - Combine two questions “Facilitator Description” and “Impact of Facilitator” into “Describe the facilitator and how it impacts your work” - Change wording for “Resources Used” to “What resources were used?” - Change “NOFO component” to “Program Component” and add answer option “Partnership” - Delete “Parties Involved” - Add “Facilitator Comments” to allow optional reporting of any information - Remove answer options from Facilitator Type: “No facilitators encountered”
Barriers, Facilitators, and Successes Form	Successes Section: Question set	Modify questions to streamline and improve relevance, utility, and clarity - Add question “Would you like to share any successes or accomplishments? (Yes/No)” - Reword question “What specific successes and accomplishments have you made during this reporting period?” to “What key accomplishments related to this NOFO has your state/territory/federal district achieved during this reporting period?”

Form	Section/Question	Requested Changes
Training and Technical Assistance Form		Delete form as a contractor is collecting this data via webinar registration records.
Continuation Narrative	Needed Resources	Remove question “What tool/resources do you need to leverage your existing partnerships and resources to increase your primary prevention efforts?”
State Action Plan Form	State Action Plan Progress Section: Changes to State Action Plan	Modify questions to streamline and improve relevance, utility, and clarity - Add question “Were there changes to the State Action Plan during this reporting period? (Yes/No)” - Merge “Describe the Change” and “Reason for Change” into one question “Describe the change, the reason for the change, and how it impacts your program’s overarching work.” - Delete answer option “No changes” from “State Action Plan Required Components” Break into two section State Action Plan Progress and Progress on Priorities
State Action Plan Form	Progress on Priorities Section: question set	Replace table with a question set - Once question for each of the State Action Required Priority: “Key Priority: Describe the key accomplishments made in this component. How did these accomplishments improve your program’s prevention efforts?” - Added a new State Action Required Priority “Public/Private Partnership”
State Action Plan Form:	Partnerships Section: Resources Table	Remove Resources table and remove Resources from section title.
State Action Plan Form	Partnerships Section: Partnerships Table	Add answer options to two questions to streamline data entry - Add options to “Special Focus/Emphasis of the Organization” - o Research and/or evaluation - o Community services and/or prevention - o Healthcare or health services - o Student and/or campus - o Children - Add option to Partner Status - o Increased engagement
State Action Plan Form	Data Use Section: Question set	Modify questions to improve clarity, relevance, and utility - Change wording “Data Source Owner (where obtained)” to “Data Collector” to improve relevance - Replace two questions select all that apply “Which of the following did you used this data to do?” and open-ended question “For what other purpose, if any, did you use this data?” with: - o Drop down question “Data Source Type” - o Drop down question “What data has your program used to...?”
Coalition Building Form and Prevention Strategy Form		Move reporting of “Community Mobilization” from Coalition Building Form to the Prevention Strategy Form because the form fits better with this type of prevention and has fewer narrative questions - Remove “Community Mobilization” from the form name - Remove Community Mobilization” from all questions in the Coalition Building Form - Break form into two sections “Description and Purpose” and “Implementation Progress”
Coalition Building Form	Description and Purpose Section: question set	Modify question set to improve relevance and Utility - Move “Were there any changes to the collation building during this reporting period? (Yes/No)” to beginning of form - Replace answer option “Mobilize Community to take ownership of issues” with “Implement community/societal strategies” - Move question “Implementers: Provide a brief description who is involved in the coalition building effort” to the Implementation Progress section - Remove “How many implementers implement the coalition building?”

Form	Section/Question	Requested Changes
		- Reword “Briefly describe the purpose or role. What do you hope to achieve through these efforts?” to “What are the goals of the coalition building?”
Coalition Building Form	Implementation Progress Section: Activity Table	Add “Implementation Progress Notes” to allow optional reporting of any information
Prevention Strategy Form	Description Section	Modify questions to streamline and reduce unneeded data entry - Replace question “Were there any changes to the program, policy, or practice this reporting period” with “What is the status of this prevention strategy during this reporting period? If changes were made summarize them below.” and move to beginning of form - Make multiple questions conditionally required only if “Other” was selected as the “Name of the Program, Policy, or Practice.”
Prevention Strategy Form	Description Section: Name of Program, Policy, or Practice	Add eight answer options to streamline data entry - Child Sexual Abuse Program - Council for Boys and Young Men - CPTED - Dating Matters - Girls Circle - Men of Strength Clubs - Microfinance - Real Consent
Prevention Strategy Form	Description Section: “Which STOP SV approach does this program, policy, or practice address” dropdown option	Add answer option “Other” to improve relevance and utility
Prevention Strategy Form	Background and Program, Policy, or Practice Description Section: Delivery question set	Modify question set to improve relevance and Utility - Add answer option “Community Mobilization” and remove answer option “Other” from “Delivery Method” - Add clarifying language indicating that additional questions only need to be answered if community mobilization or policy are chosen as the method of delivery - Remove “Policy” as an answer option for Policy Type question - Replace “Description” with “Please further describe the focus of the effort.”
Prevention Strategy Form	Background and Program, Policy, or Practice Description Section: Evidence of Effectiveness question set	Remove option “Example approach listed in the technical package” from Evidence drop down
Prevention Strategy Form:	Population of Focus and Reach Section: Population of focus question set	Modify question set to improve relevance and Utility - Add answer options to types to streamline data entry - o Schools or universities - o School district - o Residents - Remove question: “Provide a narrative description of the population and setting of focus for this program, policy, or practice”
Prevention Strategy Form	Population of Focus and Reach Section: Reach question set	Modify question set to improve relevance and Utility - Remove questions on “Possible number” of individuals, communities, and organizations reached - Add question on “Number of Schools Reached” - Add option to select from a drop down if no integer is available under Number of Communities, Schools, and Organizations Reached labeled “No data to report?” with options:

Form	Section/Question	Requested Changes
		○ Data is missing (program unable to collect) ○ Data is not applicable (program does not collect)
Prevention Strategy Form:	Adaptation Section: Question set	Modify questions to streamline and improve relevance, utility, and clarity - Add question “Were there any changes to the adaptations during this reporting - Change wording “Essential Element (To which essential element was this adaptation made?)” to “Which element of the program did you change or adapt?” - Add answer options to make “Delivery” specific and streamline data entry ○ Design element ○ Policy component ○ Delivery or method - Add answer options to Type of adaptation to streamline data entry ○ Added policy component ○ Deleted policy component ○ Modified an environmental design element ○ Changed the type of recommended implementer - Add answer option to reason for adaptation ○ To increase relevancy to fit with context ○ To align with the implementer’s facilitation style - Change wording of “Adaptation Made (Was this adaptation planned or made during delivery?)” with “Was this adaptation made before or made during delivery?” - Change answer option wording of “planned” and “field” to “Made before implementation started” and “Made during implementation” - Combine two questions “Result of Adaptation (What was the result, impact of the adaptation)” and “Impact of Adaptation on Essential Elements” into one “What was the result or impact of the adaptation?” - Remove question “Resources Needed” - Modify the question “How you plan to track and monitor these adaptations?” to be asked once instead of for each adaptation reported to streamline data entry and improve relevance - Replace the optional question, “Is there anything else we should know about this adaptation?”, with an “Adaptation Notes” question to be asked for each adaptation to allow respondents to provide any optional information
Prevention Strategy Form	Implementation Measures Section: Implementation Progress	- Add “Comments” in activity table to allow optional reporting of any information - Added question “Were there any changes to the implementation of this prevention strategy during this reporting period? (Yes/No)”
Prevention Strategy Form	Resources Section	Modify question set to improve relevance and utility - Change answer options to improve relevance ○ Fully funded by RPE ○ Funded in part by RPE - Remove four questions ○ “If less than 100%, how many sources or partners contribute to this program, policy, or practice?” ○ “Please describe the sector of those partners.” ○ “In what ways did partners contribute?” ○ “Notes”
Evaluation Form	Evaluation Plan Progress Section	Modify question set to improve relevance, utility, and alignment with cooperative agreement requirements - Change grouping of question from the one section into two sections: “Evaluation Plan” and “Progress on addressing Evaluation Questions” - Add questions to “Evaluation Plan Section” to align with cooperative agreement requirements ○ “Were there any changes to the evaluation plan during this reporting period? (Yes/No)” ○ “How does this change impact your overall work?”

Form	Section/Question	Requested Changes
		○ “Please describe your approach to analyzing and summarizing your evaluation data including, primary focus of your analysis, methods used to analyze data, staff and consultants who will work on analysis, and how you plan to report findings to stakeholders? How will evaluation data be analyzed, synthesized, interpreted?” ○ “Please describe your progress on analysis, interpretation, and synthesis during this reporting period” ○ “Describe the process and plan for evaluation findings and how data will be used for CQI” ○ “Describe the process for engaging and promoting CQI among sub-recipients” ○ “Describe methods for CQI and how lessons learned will be shared with sub-recipients.” ○ “Please describe your progress on CQI and facilitating use of data during this reporting period.” - Remove “Notes” question - Remove answer option “No changes” from “Evaluation Plan Sections”
Evaluation Form	Progress on Addressing Evaluation Question Section	Modify question set to improve relevance and utility - Add answer options to “Evaluation Question” to streamline data entry - Remove questions: “Summary of findings” and “Planned activities for next budget year”
Evaluation Form	Process Measures Section and Outcomes Section into one Indicator Section	Streamline three tables (totaled 22 questions) in these sections into one section with two tables (totaling 11 questions) to improve data entry, clarity, relevance, and utility. Respondents will be able to report on their program using the two tables. - Streamline Outcome Table to streamline tracking and reporting and for respondents to synthesize their findings related to each outcome instead of reporting each indicator for each outcome. ○ Change wording of the “Outcome Table name” into “Risk and Protective Factors & Violence Outcomes” table ○ Change wording for question-and-answer options for “Outcome construct” with “Risk and protective factor category” to improve relevance and utility ○ Remove the two questions ▪ Type of Outcome ▪ SEM level - Streamline the questions in both tables ○ Remove “component being measured” ○ Replace the following 6 questions with 1 open-ended question “Summary of Trends for the Outcome”, deleting the following ▪ Unit ▪ Year 5 ▪ Baseline Value ▪ Description of how Baseline and Desired Values were determined. ▪ Year # value ▪ Date data pulled
Evaluation Form	Translation, Communication, Dissemination Section: Question set	Modify question set from 5 questions to 7 questions to improve relevance, utility, and alignment with cooperative agreement requirements - Add question “Please describe your approach to how you will translate evaluation findings into actionable and useful information, disseminate lessons learned, and facilitate use of data to advance program among recipients and sub-recipients and to advance the field? This may include considering your key audiences, types of products, and strategies used to communicate and disseminate them.” - Change wording for “Purpose and Main Message” to “How will you use this product in your work?” - Add multiple response question “Audience type” to streamline data entry and improve utility

Form	Section/Question	Requested Changes
		- Change wording for question "How did it improve or contribute to your state prevention efforts?" to "Impact & Contribution" to broaden relevance - Add questions "To how many are you disseminating" (Integer and unit) to allow reporting on progress with option to select from a drop down if no integer is available labeled "No data to report?" with options "Data is missing (program unable to collect)" and "Data is not applicable (program does not collect)"