In-Plant Training (INPLT) Host Follow Up Questions: Implementation Data Revised 8/26/2013 ORNL

BAC	KGROUND INFORMATION
Host	Name:
Plan	t Name:
	ning Type (Select One): Steam, Process Heating, Compressed Air, Fans, Pumping ems, Other
Tota	l Number of Energy Saving Opportunities:
Orig	inal Training Date:
Cur	rent Follow-Up Date:
Cur	rent Follow-Up Period: 12 months other
Enei Text	rgy Saving Opportunity # Description
1.0	

	6 = Pro 7 = Fa 8 = Pe 9 = Pro 10 = N 11 = B 12 = L 13 = N 14 = D	practical ocess and/or equipment changes cility change rsonnel changes oduction schedule changes faterial restrictions cureaucratic restrictions ack of staff for analysis and/or implementation fot worthwhile Disagree Lisk or inconvenience to personnel	
	16 = S 17 = R 18 = U 19 = C 20 = P	uspected risk or problem with equipment or product ejected after implementation failed Inknown Could not contact plant lant Closure Other (please indicate)	
<u>2.0</u>	The original estimate of capital expense needed to implement this project was < <estimated capital="" expense="" range="">>. What is your best estimate of the total investment required to implement the energy savings opportunity? You may provide a range, if necessary. (<i>Provide Low Cost \$ and/or High Cost \$ estimate(s)</i>; <i>go to 3.0</i>)</estimated>		
<u>3.0</u>	The original estimate of energy cost savings for this opportunity was <<\$ per year>>. In your opinion, will you achieve less, the same, more or none of these annual energy cost savings? (Select one: less, same, more or none; if less or more go to 3.1, if same or none go to 4.0; "none" may consider providing comments in 6.0)		
	3.1	If less or more, what is your estimate of the difference, in percent? (+/- $\%$, go to 4.0)	
<u>4.0</u>	Was this energy <i>y go to 4.1</i> , <i>if</i> <u>4.1</u>	gy saving opportunity implemented elsewhere within your plant? (Y or N ; if N go to 5.0) If yes, please estimate additional cost savings from in-plant replication as a multiplier of the original savings ($Indicate\ multiplier\ of\ 0.1-100$; $go\ to\ 5.0$)	
<u>5.0</u>	Was this energy saving opportunity implemented at other plants within your organization? 5.1 If yes, please identify the number of replicating plants that were:		
	<u>5.1</u>	INPLT Participating Plants, Internationally Located Plants, Others	
	<u>5.2</u>	Please estimate additional cost savings from these plants as a multiplier of the original cost savings (<i>Indicate multiplier of 0.1-100</i> ; <i>Go to 1.6</i>) INPLT Participating Plants, Internationally Located Plants, Others	

6.0 Additional Comments on Energy Savings Opportunity (*Provide comment or go to next opportunity #*)

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Office of the Chief Information Officer, Records Management Division, IM-23, Paperwork Reduction Project (1910-5141), U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Ave SW, Washington, DC, 20585-1290; and to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), OIRA, Paperwork Reduction Project (1910-5141), Washington, DC 20503.