

# **Appendix L. 60-Day Federal Register Notice**

---

information needed to issue establishment and product licenses and track personnel qualifications; product permits; packaging and labeling; requests for materials; shipment authorizations; product and test reports; preparation and usage requests; development and field study summaries; stop distribution and sale notifications and inventories; due diligence petitions; and recordkeeping.

We are asking the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to approve our use of these information collection activities, as described, for an additional 3 years.

The purpose of this notice is to solicit comments from the public (as well as affected agencies) concerning our information collection. These comments will help us:

- (1) Evaluate whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (2) Evaluate the accuracy of our estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through use, as appropriate, of automated, electronic, mechanical, and other collection technologies; e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Estimate of burden:* The public burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 0.356 seconds per response.

*Respondents:* Veterinary biological product developers and producers, foreign government officials, State government officials, and private individuals.

*Estimated annual number of respondents:* 478.

*Estimated annual number of responses per respondent:* 911,710.

*Estimated annual number of responses:* 435,797,533.

*Estimated total annual burden on respondents:* 43,072 hours. (Due to averaging, the total annual burden hours may not equal the product of the annual number of responses multiplied by the reporting burden per response.)

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Done in Washington, DC, this 22nd day of July 2021.

**Michael Watson,**

*Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.*

[FR Doc. 2021-16052 Filed 7-27-21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-34-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Food and Nutrition Service

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Food Security Status and Well-Being of Nutrition Assistance Program (NAP) Participants in Puerto Rico

**AGENCY:** Food and Nutrition Service (FNS), USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice invites the general public and other public agencies to comment on this proposed information collection for the Food Security Status and Well-Being of Nutrition Assistance Program (NAP) Participants in Puerto Rico study. This is a new information collection request. This study informs the U.S. Department of Agriculture's (USDA) Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) about household food security, health, and well-being among Puerto Rico's population.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before September 27, 2021.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be sent to Kristen Corey, Food and Nutrition Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1320 Braddock Place, Alexandria, VA 22314. Comments may also be submitted via email at [kristen.corey@usda.gov](mailto:kristen.corey@usda.gov). Comments will also be accepted through the Federal eRulemaking Portal. Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and follow the online instructions for submitting comments electronically.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval. All comments will be a matter of public record.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of this information collection should be directed to Kristen Corey at (703) 305-2517.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Comments are invited on (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including

whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions that were used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

*Title:* Food Security Status and Well-Being of Nutrition Assistance Program (NAP) Participants in Puerto Rico.

*Form Number:* Not Applicable.

*OMB Number:* 0584-NEW.

*Expiration Date:* Not Yet Determined.

*Type of Request:* New Information Collection Request.

*Abstract:* Following Hurricane Maria, Congress appropriated additional disaster relief funds provided by section 309 of Public Law 115-72 that were distributed through the Nutrition Assistance Program (NAP) to program participants in Puerto Rico. Under H.R. 2157, section 105, funds were appropriated for the Secretary of Agriculture to conduct an independent study, including a survey of NAP participants, to examine the food security, health status, and well-being of NAP participants and low-income residents in Puerto Rico.

FNS is conducting this study to establish baseline estimates of household food security status in Puerto Rico. FNS has identified five objectives for this study:

1. Produce descriptive statistics on key sociodemographic and economic variables, including household food security, in a representative sample of Puerto Rico households.
2. Produce descriptive statistics on key sociodemographic and economic variables, including household food insecurity, in multiple representative subsamples in Puerto Rico stratified according to the following classifications: NAP participants and low-income nonparticipants, adults aged 60 and older, disability status, employment status, and educational level.
3. Produce descriptive statistics for each subsample in Puerto Rico on key social, geospatial, and other policy-relevant elements of health and well-being associated with household food security.
4. Characterize the social context of food insecurity through in-depth interviews with individuals within the

NAP participant and low-income nonparticipant subgroups. Each interview will ask the individual to consider the household or family, community and Federal food assistance, and disaster relief contexts.

5. Develop a detailed concept/problem map of the systemic factors that shape the implementation of the NAP program, particularly as a disaster relief tool. The concept mapping process will include data collection from key informants with knowledge of one or more of the stages of the Puerto Rican food and nutrition system: production, processing, distribution, acquisition, preparation, consumption, digestion, transport, and metabolism.

To address these objectives, the study will employ a mixed-methods approach with three data collection components:

1. Household survey to measure and describe food security status among Puerto Rico residents and multiple representative subsamples; for each subsample, the survey will assess elements of health and well-being associated with household food security status in Puerto Rico.

2. In-depth interviews with NAP participants and low-income nonparticipants to gain a deeper understanding of factors that affect their food security status, particularly following natural disasters.

3. Development of a concept map of Puerto Rico's food system to identify policies that influence the delivery and effectiveness of NAP and gaps in knowledge of how NAP protects against low food security, particularly when natural disasters strike.

The household survey will use a dual-frame approach to identify a representative sample and collect data on food security and well-being among Puerto Rico's population. To build the household sample frames, the study team will use an administrative list of NAP participants provided by Administración de Desarrollo Socioeconómico de la Familia (ADSEF), the agency that administers NAP, and an area probability sample using address-based sampling. The key subgroups of interest are NAP participants and low-income nonparticipants; households with children; households with at least one person aged 60 and older; and households with at least one person with a disability. Prior to administration, the survey instrument will be pretested with 8 Puerto Rico residents representing the subgroups of interest.

The study sample for the in-depth interviews will be drawn from survey respondents who agree to be contacted

for an interview. In-depth interviews will be conducted with NAP participants and low-income nonparticipants. The study team will use survey responses to select an approximately equal number of households with and without children and an approximately equal number of households that are food secure, experiencing low food security, or experiencing very low food security. If too few survey respondents agree to be contacted for an in-depth interview, the study team will work with local organizations to recruit members of the target population to participate in interviews. The in-depth interviews will examine the social context of food security and the ways in which difficult life experiences, such as natural disasters, and positive experiences, such as community engagement, influence households' ability to cope with adverse life events. Prior to administration, the interview protocol will be pretested with 8 Puerto Rico residents representing the subgroups of interest.

The concept-mapping component will engage stakeholders who are knowledgeable about policies that affect food security in Puerto Rico and represent the primary interest groups engaged in food security issues. Stakeholders will include representatives from human service providers, public agencies, advocacy organizations, private businesses, and academia. The study team will convene five to six stakeholder groups with five to seven members each. Data collection will involve four stages, including two 1-hour virtual meetings with the stakeholder groups: (1) A first set of meetings with stakeholders to brainstorm initial policy and research recommendations, (2) prioritization and sorting of the recommendations, (3) a second set of meetings with stakeholders to gather qualitative feedback on the prioritized recommendations, and (4) feedback from the technical working group and FNS on the draft recommendations.

Data collected in all three components will be kept private; it will not be shared with anyone outside the study team and FNS research and administrative staff.

*Affected Public:* (1) Puerto Rican government; (2) business and nonprofit organizations; and (3) individuals

Respondent groups identified include the following:

1. *Puerto Rican government:* Staff from public agencies, such as human services, education, and healthcare agencies.

2. *Business and nonprofit organizations:* Staff from private

businesses, such as agribusiness and food retailers; academia, such as nutritionists, economists, and political scientists; advocacy organizations, such as neighborhood associations, civic groups, and the faith community; human service providers, such as food banks, workforce development organizations, and community action agencies.

3. *Individuals:* Residents of Puerto Rico, including NAP participants and low-income nonparticipants.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* The total estimated number of respondents is 12,497 (18 Puerto Rican government staff; 36 business and nonprofit stakeholder staff; and 12,443 individuals). Of the 12,497 contacted, 3,745 are estimated to be responsive, and 8,752 are estimated to be nonresponsive. The breakout of respondents follows:

1. *Puerto Rican government staff:* Of the 18 concept mapping respondents from Puerto Rican government agencies contacted, 14 are estimated to be responsive and 4 will be nonresponsive.

2. *Business and nonprofit organization stakeholder staff:* Of the 36 business and nonprofit staff contacted to participate in concept mapping, 28 are estimated to be responsive and 8 will be nonresponsive.

3. *Individuals:* Of the 12,280 individuals contacted to participate in the survey, 3,656 are estimated to be responsive and 8,624 will be nonresponsive. Of the nine individuals contacted to participate in the pretest of the survey instrument, nine will be responsive. Of the 865 individuals contacted to participate in an in-depth interview, 144 will be responsive and 721 will be nonresponsive. Of the nine individuals contacted to participate in the pretest of the interview guide, nine will be responsive.

*Estimated Frequency of Responses per Respondent:* 2.80487252—based on 35,052 total annual responses (7,960 responsive and 27,092 nonresponsive) made by the 12,497 respondents (3,745 responsive and 8,752 nonresponsive). See table 1 for the estimated number of responses per respondent for each type of respondent.

The breakout follows:

1. *Puerto Rican government staff (18):* The estimated number of responses per Puerto Rican government staff is 4.89: Of 18 government staff, 14 will respond to the concept mapping recruitment email. The same 14 staff will read advance materials for the first meeting, participate in the first virtual meeting, prioritize and sort results, read advance materials for the second meeting, and

participate in the second virtual meeting.

2. *Business and nonprofit stakeholder staff (36)*: The estimated number of responses per business or nonprofit stakeholder staff is 4.89:

- Of 18 business or other for-profit stakeholder staff, 14 will respond to the concept mapping recruitment email. The same 14 staff will read advance materials for the first meeting, participate in the first virtual meeting, prioritize and sort results, read advance materials for the second meeting, and participate in the second virtual meeting.

- Of 18 nonprofit stakeholder staff, 14 will respond to the concept mapping recruitment email. The same 14 staff will read advance materials for the first meeting, participate in the first virtual meeting, prioritize and sort results, read advance materials for the second meeting, and participate in the second virtual meeting.

4. *Individuals (12,443)*. The estimated number of responses per individual is 2.79582833:

- A total of 18 individuals will be invited to participate in instrument pretesting of the survey instrument and interview protocol.

- A total of nine individuals will be invited to participate pretesting of the survey instrument and all of them will complete the pretest.

- A total of nine individuals will be invited to participate pretesting of the

interview protocol and all of them will complete the pretest.

- Of 3,170 NAP participant respondents, 923 respondents will complete the survey, and 2,247 respondents will not complete the survey.

- A total of 380 NAP participants will read the first survey invitation letter and 2,790 will not read the letter. A total of 84 NAP participants will read the survey reminder postcard and 3,086 will not read the postcard. A total of 244 NAP participants will read the second survey invitation letter and 2,462 will not. A total of 149 NAP participants will read the third survey invitation letter and 2,313 will not. A total of 15 NAP participants will call to schedule a telephone-administered survey. A total of 51 NAP participants will schedule a telephone-administered survey when they are called and 615 will not.

- Of 9,110 area probability sample respondents, 2,733 respondents will complete the survey and 6,377 respondents will not complete the survey.

- A total of 2,642 will read the survey invitation package and 6,468 will not read it. A total of 91 respondents will call to take the survey via telephone interview and all of them will receive a return scheduling call.

- Of 360 NAP survey participants called to participate in an in-depth interview, 58 will participate in an in-depth interview and 302 will not participate.

- Of 145 respondents recruited through local organizations called to participate in an in-depth interview, 29 will participate in an in-depth interview and 116 will not participate.

- Of 360 area probability sample survey respondents called to participate in an in-depth interview, 57 will participate in an in-depth interview and 303 will not participate.

*Estimated Total Annual Responses:* 35,052 (7,960 annual responses for responsive participants and 27,092 annual responses for nonresponsive participants).

*Estimated Time per Response:* 0.10706233 hours (0.4145 hours for responsive participants and 0.0167 hours for nonresponsive participants). The estimated time of response varies from 0.0167 hours to 1.00 hours, depending on respondent group and activity, as shown in table 1. The estimated time per response is calculated by dividing the 3,752.8 estimated total hours for responses by the 35,052 total estimated responses. The estimated average time per response is 0.4145 for respondents and 0.0167 for non-respondents.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents and Nonrespondents:* 3,752.8016 hours (3,299.36 hours for responsive participants and 453.44 hours for nonresponsive participants). See table 1 for estimated total annual burden for each type of respondent.

BILLING CODE 3410-30-P



Respondent Category	Type of Respondent	Instruments and Activities	Sample Size	Responsive					Nonresponsive					Grand Total Annual Burden Estimate (Hours)	Hourly Wage Rate	Total Annualized Cost of Respondent Burden
				Number of Respondents	Frequency of Response	Total Annual Responses	Hours per Response	Annual Burden (Hours)	Number of Nonrespondents	Frequency of Response	Total Annual Responses	Hours per Response	Annual Burden (Hours)			
	Private business and academia staff	Concept map: Advance materials for second virtual meeting	14	14	1	14	0.2500	4	0	1	0	0.1002	0	3.5000	\$50.74	\$177.59
	Private business and academia staff	Concept map: Second virtual meeting	14	14	1	14	1.0000	14	0	1	0	0.1002	0	14.0000	\$50.74	\$710.35
	<b>Business or other for profit subtotal (unique)</b>		<b>18</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>0.7500</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.100</b>	<b>0.40</b>	<b>63.4008</b>	-	<b>\$3,216.92</b>
<i>Nonprofit Organizations</i>																
Nonprofit Organizations	Advocacy organization, human service provider staff	Concept map: Recruitment email	18	14	1	14	0.2500	4	4	1	4	0.1002	0	3.9008	\$33.37	\$130.17
	Advocacy organization, human service provider staff	Concept map: Advance materials for first virtual meeting	14	14	1	14	1.5000	21	0	1	0	0.1002	0	21.0000	\$33.37	\$700.76
	Advocacy organization, human service provider staff	Concept map: First virtual meeting	14	14	1	14	1.0000	14	0	1	0	0.1002	0	14.0000	\$33.37	\$467.18
	Advocacy organization, human service provider staff	Concept map: Summary of prioritization and sorting results	14	14	1	14	0.5000	7	0	1	0	0.1002	0	7.0000	\$33.37	\$233.59
	Advocacy organization, human service provider staff	Concept map: Advance materials for second virtual meeting	14	14	1	14	0.2500	4	0	1	0	0.1002	0	3.5000	\$33.37	\$116.79
	Advocacy organization, human service provider staff	Concept map: Second virtual meeting	14	14	1	14	1.0000	14	0	1	0	0.1002	0	14.0000	\$33.37	\$467.18
	<b>Nonprofit organizations subtotal (unique)</b>		<b>18</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>0.7500</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.100</b>	<b>0.40</b>	<b>63.4008</b>	-	<b>\$2,115.67</b>
<b>Business and Nonprofit Organizations subtotal (unique)</b>			<b>36</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>0.7500</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0.100</b>	<b>0.80</b>	<b>126.8016</b>	-	<b>\$5,332.59</b>
<i>Individuals</i>																
Individuals	Pretest participants	Pretest: In-depth interview protocol	9	9	1	9	1.5000	13.500	0	0	0	0.0000	0.000	12.0000	\$9.64	\$130.17
	Pretest participants	Pretest: Survey instrument	9	9	1	9	1.5000	13.500	0	0	0	0.0000	0.000	12.0000	\$9.64	\$130.17
	<b>Pretest participants (unique)</b>		<b>18</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>1.5000</b>	<b>27.000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0000</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>27.0000</b>	-	<b>\$260.35</b>

Respondent Category	Type of Respondent	Instruments and Activities	Sample Size	Responsive					Nonresponsive					Grand Total Annual Burden Estimate (Hours)	Hourly Wage Rate	Total Annualized Cost of Respondent Burden
				Number of Respondents	Frequency of Response	Total Annual Responses	Hours per Response	Annual Burden (Hours)	Number of Nonrespondents	Frequency of Response	Total Annual Responses	Hours per Response	Annual Burden (Hours)			
	NAP sample	Survey: First invitation letter with QR code	3170	380	1	380	0.0501	19.058	2790	1	2790	0.0167	46.586	65.6444	\$9.64	\$632.98
	NAP sample	Survey: Reminder postcard	3170	84	1	84	0.0167	1.398	3086	1	3086	0.0167	51.541	52.9390	\$9.64	\$510.46
	NAP sample	Survey: Second invitation letter with paper survey	2706	244	1	244	0.0501	12.201	2462	1	2462	0.0167	41.122	53.3227	\$9.64	\$514.16
	NAP sample	Survey: Third invitation letter with paper survey	2462	149	1	149	0.0501	7.464	2313	1	2313	0.0167	38.634	46.0975	\$9.64	\$444.49
	NAP sample	Survey: Inbound calls to schedule survey by phone	15	15	1	15	0.0167	0.251	0	1	0	0.0000	0.000	0.2505	\$9.64	\$2.42
	NAP sample	Survey: Outbound call script for survey	666	51	1	51	0.0501	2.555	615	1	615	0.0167	10.271	12.8256	\$9.64	\$123.67
	NAP sample	Survey of health and community well-being	3170	923	1	923	0.6680	616.293	2247	1	2247	0.0167	37.532	653.8245	\$9.64	\$6,304.50
	NAP sample	In-depth interview; Outbound calls to recruit participants	360	58	1	58	0.0501	2.906	302	1	302	0.0167	5.043	7.9492	\$9.64	\$76.65
	NAP sample	In-depth interview	58	58	1	58	1.0000	58.000	0	1	0	0.0167	0.000	58.0000	\$9.64	\$559.27
	<b>NAP sample subtotal (unique)</b>		<b>3170</b>	<b>923</b>	<b>2.13</b>	<b>1961</b>	<b>0.3672</b>	<b>720.124</b>	<b>2247</b>	<b>6.15</b>	<b>13816</b>	<b>0.0167</b>	<b>230.729</b>	<b>950.8534</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>\$9,168.60</b>
	Local organization recruitment	In-depth interview; Outbound calls to recruit participants	145	29	1	29	0.0501	1.453	116	1	116	0.0167	1.937	3.3901	\$9.64	\$32.69
	Local organization recruitment	In-depth interview	29	29	1	29	1.0000	29.000	0	1	0	0.0167	0.000	29.0000	\$9.64	\$279.63
	<b>Local organization recruitment subtotal (unique)</b>		<b>145</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>2.00</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>0.5251</b>	<b>30.453</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>0.0167</b>	<b>1.937</b>	<b>32.3901</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>\$312.32</b>
	Area probability sample	Survey invitation package	9110	2642	1	2642	0.1670	441.197	6468	1	6468	0.0167	108.017	549.2146	\$9.64	\$5,295.80
	Area probability sample	Survey: Inbound calls to schedule survey by phone	91	91	1	91	0.0167	1.521	0	1	0	0.0000	0.000	1.5214	\$9.64	\$14.67
	Area probability sample	Survey: Outbound call script for survey	91	91	1	91	0.0501	4.564	0	1	0	0.0000	0.000	4.5641	\$9.64	\$44.01
	Area probability sample	Survey of health and community well-being	9110	2733	1	2733	0.6680	1825.644	6377	1	6377	0.0167	106.496	1932.1399	\$9.64	\$18,630.66

Respondent Category	Type of Respondent	Instruments and Activities	Sample Size	Responsive					Nonresponsive					Grand Total Annual Burden Estimate (Hours)	Hourly Wage Rate
				Number of Respondents	Frequency of Response	Total Annual Responses	Hours per Response	Annual Burden (Hours)	Number of Nonrespondents	Frequency of Response	Total Annual Responses	Hours per Response	Annual Burden (Hours)		
	Area probability sample	In-depth interview; Outbound calls to recruit participants	360	57	1	57	0.0501	2.856	303	1	303	0.0167	5.060	7.9158	\$9.64
	Area probability sample	In-depth interview	57	57	1	57	1.0000	57.000	0	1	0	0.0167	0.000	57.0000	\$9.64
	Area probability participant subtotal (unique)		9110	2733	2.08	5671	0.4113	2332.7825	6377	2.06	13148	0.0167	219.5733	2552.3558	-
All Individuals subtotal (unique)			12443	3703	2.08	7708	0.4035	3110.3598	8740	3.10	27080	0.0167	452.2394	3559.5992	
TOTAL			12497	3745	2.12580803	7960	0.41447743	3299.3598	8752	3.09540074	27092	0.01673698	453.4418	3749.8016	



Timothy English,  
Acting Administrator, Food and Nutrition  
Service.

[FR Doc. 2021-15916 Filed 7-27-21; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3410-30-C

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Food and Nutrition Service

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Assessing Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) Participants' Fitness for Work

**AGENCY:** Food and Nutrition Service  
(FNS), USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice invites the general public and other public agencies to comment on this proposed information collection. This is a new collection for: (1) Documenting the policies and guidelines used for making fitness for work determinations by all 53 State Agencies, which include the States, the District of Columbia, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and Guam; (2) describing the process State Agencies use for making fitness for work determinations; (3) determining any general patterns and trends in fitness for work and good cause determinations within and across four case study States; and (4) determining how closely caseworkers follow the States' fitness for work and good cause determination policies and requirements and the challenges they face in applying the policy in four case study States.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before September 27, 2021.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be sent to: Eric Sean Williams, Food and Nutrition Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1320 Braddock Place, Alexandria, VA 22314, 703-305-2640. Comments may also be submitted via email to [eric.williams@usda.gov](mailto:eric.williams@usda.gov). Comments will also be accepted through the Federal eRulemaking Portal. Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, and follow the online instructions for submitting comments electronically. All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval. All comments will be a matter of public record.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including

whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions that were used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**Title:** Assessing SNAP Participants' Fitness for Work.

**Form Number:** Not Applicable.

**OMB Number:** 0584-NEW.

**Expiration Date:** Not Yet Determined.

**Type of Request:** New Collection.

**Abstract:** The Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 requires that Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program (SNAP) participants between the ages 16 and 59 to meet certain work requirements, unless they are exempt or show good cause as to why they cannot work. Whether a participant is required to meet these work requirements is based upon a SNAP eligibility worker (caseworker) making a determination whether an individual is exempt from these work requirements, including a determination whether the individual is physically or mentally unfit for work. The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) offers general guidance and States develop their own policies and procedures with little input from FNS. States are given a great degree of latitude in making determinations regarding unfitness for work exemptions. FNS has contracted with MEF Associates and its subcontractor, Mathematica, to conduct a study to better understand how States determine whether individuals are exempted from work requirements or have good cause for not meeting work requirements due to a physical or mental limitation. By surveying all 53 State SNAP Agencies, which include the States, the District of Columbia, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and Guam, and conducting in-depth case studies of four States, this study will provide FNS with valuable insights into how States develop and implement policies and procedures for making fitness for work determinations. This information can help FNS assess States' needs for technical assistance around fitness for work issues and identify lessons learned to share across all State SNAP Agencies.

**Affected Public:** Members of the public affected by the data collection

include individuals and households, State and local governments, and business, not-for-profit, or other for-profit Agencies administering SNAP E&T programs.

**Survey:** After survey recruitment, FNS anticipates 100 percent participation from the State government Agencies. We will reach out to 53 State or territory SNAP directors to complete a survey and anticipate that all these SNAP directors will agree to participate in the survey. Each SNAP director may designate up to three staff to complete sections of the survey, accounting for an additional 159 State or territory staff participating as respondents (212 survey respondents total). This is the highest possible number of survey respondents; FNS expects fewer to participate in the survey.

**Case Studies:** FNS will reach out to a maximum of six States Agencies to participate in in-depth case studies and expects four to participate. The case studies will involve semi-structured interviews with program administrators and staff of State SNAP agencies, local offices, and businesses or other agencies that provide SNAP E&T services. After recruiting the four state SNAP agencies, FNS expects two selected local SNAP agencies and two local SNAP E&T providers to participate in each State. The case studies will also include observations of staff-participant interactions during eligibility interviews. The eligibility interviews that will be observed will not be recorded and no personally identifiable information will be recorded during the observations. FNS expects that approximately 25 percent of individuals/households invited to participate will choose not to participate and oversampled to account for nonresponse.

Respondent groups identified for the survey and case studies include the following:

- State Agency SNAP Directors (53 survey respondents, 0 survey nonrespondents, 4 case study recruitment respondents, 2 case study recruitment nonrespondents, 4 case study interview respondents, and 0 case study nonrespondents).
- State Agency SNAP policy staff (159 survey respondents, 24 case study interview respondents, and 0 survey or case study nonrespondents).
- Local SNAP office administrator (8 case study respondents and 0 case study nonrespondents).
- Local SNAP office supervisor (8 case study respondents and 0 case study nonrespondents).
- Local SNAP office frontline staff (64 case study interview respondents and 0