**SUPPORTING STATEMENT**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**NSPS for Magnetic Tape Coating Facilities (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart SSS) (Renewal)**

**1. Identification of the Information Collection**

**1(a) Title of the Information Collection**

NSPS for Magnetic Tape Coating Facilities (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart SSS) (Renewal), EPA ICR Number 1135.14, OMB Control Number 2060-0171.

**1(b) Short Characterization/Abstract**

The New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) for Magnetic Tape Coating Facilities (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart SSS) were proposed on January 22, 1986; promulgated on October 3, 1988; and most-recently amended on February 12, 1999. These regulations apply to existing and new coating operation and coating mixing equipment at magnetic tape coating facilities. New facilities include those that commenced either construction, modification, or reconstruction after the date of proposal. This information is being collected to assure compliance with 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart SSS.

In general, all NSPS standards require initial notifications, performance tests, and periodic reports by the owners/operators of the affected facilities. They are also required to maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any startup, shutdown, or malfunction in the operation of an affected facility, or any period during which the monitoring system is inoperative. These notifications, reports, and records are essential in determining compliance, and are required of all affected facilities subject to NSPS.

Any owner/operator subject to the provisions of this part shall maintain a file of these measurements and retain the file for at least two years following the date of such measurements, maintenance reports, and records. All reports required to be submitted electronically are submitted through the EPA's Central Data Exchange (CDX), using the Compliance and Emissions Data Reporting Interface (CEDRI), where the delegated state or local authority can review them. If there is no such delegated authority, the EPA’s regional offices can review them. All other reports are sent to the delegated state or local authority. If there is no such delegated authority, the reports are sent directly to the EPA’s regional offices. The use of the term "Designated Administrator" throughout this document refers to the U.S. EPA or a delegated authority such as a state agency. The term "Administrator" alone refers to the U.S. EPA Administrator.

The “Affected Public” are owners or operators of magnetic tape coating facilities. The burden to the “Affected Public” may be found below in Table 1: Annual Respondent Burden and Cost – NSPS for Magnetic Tape Coating Facilities (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart SSS) (Renewal). There are approximately four magnetic tape coating facilities. None of the four facilities in the United States are owned by either state, local, or tribal entities or by the Federal government. They are all owned and operated by privately-owned, for-profit businesses. We assume that they will all respond to EPA’s inquiries.

Based on our consultations with industry representatives, there are an average of one affected facility at each plant site and each plant site has only one respondent (i.e., the owner/operator of the plant site).

Over the next three years, approximately four respondents per year will be subject to these standard, and no additional respondents per year will become subject to these same standards. The adjustment decrease in the number of respondents from the currently approved ICR is due to a review of the EPA’s Enforcement and Compliance History Online (ECHO) database, a prior list of facilities from a 2003 EPA Residual Risk Analysis, and facility permits.

**2. Need for and Use of the Collection**

**2(a) Need/Authority for the Collection**

The EPA is charged under Section 111 of the Clean Air Act (CAA), as amended, to establish standards of performance for new stationary sources that reflect:

**. . .** application of the best technological system of continuous emissions reduction which (taking into consideration the cost of achieving such emissions reduction, or any non-air quality health and environmental impact and energy requirements) the Administrator determines has been adequately demonstrated. Section 111(a)(l).

The Agency refers to this charge as selecting the best demonstrated technology (BDT). Section 111 also requires that the Administrator review and, if appropriate, revise such standards every eight years.

In addition, section 114(a) states that the Administrator may require any owner/operator subject to any requirement of this Act to:

(A) Establish and maintain such records; (B) make such reports; (C) install, use, and maintain such monitoring equipment, and use such audit procedures, or methods; (D) sample such emissions (in accordance with such procedures or methods, at such locations, at such intervals, during such periods, and in such manner as the Administrator shall prescribe); (E) keep records on control equipment parameters, production variables or other indirect data when direct monitoring of emissions is impractical; (F) submit compliance certifications in accordance with Section 114(a)(3); and (G) provide such other information as the Administrator may reasonably require.

In the Administrator's judgment, volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from magnetic tape coating operations either cause or contribute to air pollution that may reasonably be anticipated to endanger public health and/or welfare. Therefore, the NSPS were promulgated for this source category at 40 CFR Part 60,Subpart SSS.

**2(b) Practical Utility/Users of the Data**

The recordkeeping and reporting requirements in these standards ensure compliance with the applicable regulations which were promulgated in accordance with the Clean Air Act. The collected information is also used for targeting inspections and as evidence in legal proceedings.

Performance tests are required in order to determine an affected facility’s initial capability to comply with these emission standards. Continuous emission monitors are used to ensure compliance with these same standards at all times. During the performance test a record of the operating parameters under which compliance was achieved may be recorded and used to determine compliance in place of a continuous emission monitor.

The notifications required in the standards are used to inform the Agency or delegated authority when a source becomes subject to the requirements of these regulations. The reviewing authority may then inspect the source to check if the pollution control devices are properly installed and operated, leaks are being detected and repaired, and that the standards are being met. The performance test may also be observed.

The required quarterly and semiannual reports are used to determine periods of excess emissions, identify problems at the facility, verify operation/maintenance procedures, and for compliance determinations.

**3. Non-duplication, Consultations, and Other Collection Criteria**

The requested recordkeeping and reporting are required under 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart SSS.

**3(a) Non-duplication**

For reports required to be submitted electronically, the information is sent through the EPA's CDX, using CEDRI, where the appropriate EPA regional office can review it, as well as for state and local agencies that have been delegated authority. If a state or local agency has adopted under its own authority its own standards for reporting or data collection, adherence to those non-Federal requirements does not constitute duplication.

For all other reports, if the subject standards have not been delegated, the information is sent directly to the appropriate EPA regional office. Otherwise, the information is sent directly to the delegated state or local agency. If a state or local agency has adopted its own standards to implement the Federal standards, a copy of the report submitted to the state or local agency can be sent to the Administrator in lieu of the report required by the Federal standards. Therefore, duplication does not exist.

**3(b) Public Notice Required Prior to ICR Submission to OMB**

An announcement of a public comment period for the renewal of this ICR was published in the *Federal Register* (87 FR 20847) on April 8, 2022. No comments were received on the burden published in the *Federal Register* for this renewal.

**3(c) Consultations**

The Agency has consulted industry experts and internal data sources to project the number of affected facilities and industry growth over the next three years.The primary source of information as reported by industry, in compliance with the recordkeeping and reporting provisions in these standards, is the Integrated Compliance Information System (ICIS). ICIS is EPA’s database for the collection, maintenance, and retrieval of compliance data for industrial and government-owned facilities. The growth rate for the industry is based on our consultations with the Agency’s internal industry experts. Approximately four respondents will be subject to these standards over the three-year period covered by this ICR. A decrease in the number of respondents is due to a review of previously collected data. This information includes EPA’s Enforcement and Compliance History Online (ECHO) database, a list of facilities from a 2003 EPA Residual Risk Test (Residual Risk Test in Support of the Section 112(f)(2) Residual Risk Analysis for Magnetic Tape Manufacturing Source Category), and permits for available facilities.

Industry trade associations and other interested parties were provided an opportunity to comment on the burden associated with these standards as they were being developed and these same standards have been reviewed previously to determine the minimum information needed for compliance purposes. In developing this ICR, we contacted both the Transcontinental Advanced Coatings, at (844) 937-2640, and the American Coatings Association, at (202) 462-6272.

It is our policy to respond after a thorough review of comments received since the last ICR renewal, as well as for those submitted in response to the first *Federal Register* notice. In this case, no comments were received.

**3(d) Effects of Less-Frequent Collection**

Less-frequent information collection would decrease the margin of assurance that facilities are continuing to meet these standards. Requirements for information gathering and recordkeeping are useful techniques to ensure that good operation and maintenance practices are applied and emission limitations are met. If the information required by these standards was collected less-frequently, the proper operation and maintenance of control equipment and the possibility of detecting violations would be less likely.

**3(e) General Guidelines**

These reporting or recordkeeping requirements do not violate any of the regulations promulgated by OMB under 5 CFR Part 1320, Section 1320.5.

**3(f) Confidentiality**

Any information submitted to the Agency for which a claim of confidentiality is made will be safeguarded according to the Agency policies set forth in Title 40, chapter 1, part 2, subpart B - Confidentiality of Business Information (CBI) (see 40 CFR 2; 41 FR 36902, September 1, 1976; amended by 43 FR 40000, September 8, 1978; 43 FR 42251, September 20, 1978; 44 FR 17674, March 23, 1979).

**3(g) Sensitive Questions**

The reporting or recordkeeping requirements in these standards do not include sensitive questions.

**4. The Respondents and the Information Requested**

**4(a) Respondents/SIC Codes**

The respondents to the recordkeeping and reporting requirements are magnetic tape coating facilities. The United States Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code for the respondents affected by these standards is SIC 3695, which corresponds to North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) 334613 for blank magnetic and optical recording media manufacturing.

**4(b) Information Requested**

**(i) Data Items**

In this ICR, all the data that are recorded or reported is required by the NSPS for Magnetic Tape Coating Facilities (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart SSS).

A source must make the following reports:

| **Notifications** | |
| --- | --- |
| Notification of construction/reconstruction | §60.7(a)(1) |
| Notification of actual startup | §60.7(a)(3) |
| Initial performance test results | §60.717(a), §60.8(a) |
| Notification of initial performance test | §60.8(d) |
| Notification of demonstration of continuous monitoring system | §60.7(a)(5) |
| Notification of physical or operational change | §60.7(a)(4) |

| **Reports** | |
| --- | --- |
| Excess emissions report | §60.7(c), §60.717(d) |
| Report of no excess emissions | §60.7(c)(4), §60.717(e) |
| Reports of when size cutoff is exceeded | §60.717(c) |

A source must keep the following records:

| **Recordkeeping** | |
| --- | --- |
| Startups, shutdowns, malfunctions, periods where the continuous monitoring system is inoperative | §60.7(b) |
| Record of projected/actual solvent use | §60.714(a) |
| Records of monthly liquid material balance | §60.714(b) |
| Records of control device operating parameters | §§60.714(c)-(h) |
| Records of periods when no emission control device is used | §60.714(i) |
| Monthly determination of average VOC content | §60.714(j) |
| Records are required to be retained for two years. Records must be kept onsite. | §60.714(k) |

Electronic Reporting

Some of the respondents are using monitoring equipment that automatically records parameter data. Although personnel at the affected facility must still evaluate the data, internal automation has significantly reduced the burden associated with monitoring and recordkeeping at a plant site.

**(ii) Respondent Activities**

| **Respondent Activities** |
| --- |
| Familiarization with the regulatory requirements. |
| Install, calibrate, maintain, and operate CMS for pressure drop and liquid supply pressure for control device. |
| Perform initial performance test, Reference Method 24 test, and repeat performance tests if necessary. |
| Write the notifications and reports listed above. |
| Enter information required to be recorded above. |
| Submit the required reports developing, acquiring, installing, and utilizing technology and systems for collecting, validating, and verifying information. |
| Develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for processing and maintaining information. |
| Develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for disclosing and providing information. |
| Train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information. |
| Transmit, or otherwise disclose the information. |

**5. The Information Collected: Agency Activities, Collection Methodology, and Information Management**

**5(a) Agency Activities**

EPA conducts the following activities in connection with the acquisition, analysis, storage, and distribution of the required information:

|  |
| --- |
| **Agency Activities** |
| Review notifications and reports, including performance test reports, and excess emissions reports, required to be submitted by industry. |
| Audit facility records. |
| Input, analyze, and maintain data in the Enforcement and Compliance History Online (ECHO) and ICIS. |

**5(b) Collection Methodology and Management**

Following notification of startup, the reviewing authority could inspect the source to determine whether the pollution control devices are properly installed and operated. Performance test reports are used by the Agency to discern a source’s initial capability to comply with the emission standards and note the operating conditions under which compliance was achieved. Data and records maintained by the respondents are tabulated and published for use in compliance and enforcement programs. The semiannual reports are used for problem identification, as a check on source operation and maintenance, and for compliance determinations.

Information contained in the reports is reported by state and local governments in the ICIS Air database, which is operated and maintained by EPA's Office of Compliance. The EPA uses ICIS for tracking air pollution compliance and enforcement by local and state regulatory agencies, EPA regional offices, and EPA headquarters. The EPA and its delegated Authorities can edit, store, retrieve and analyze the data.

The records required by this regulation must be retained by the owner/operator for two years.

**5(c) Small Entity Flexibility**

There are no small entities (i.e., small businesses) affected by this regulation and no small businesses are expected to become subject to this regulation. The impact on small entities was taken into consideration during the development of this same regulation. Any new coating operation that utilizes less than 38 m3 of solvent or any modified or reconstructed coating operation that utilizes less than 370 m3 of solvent for the manufacture of magnetic tape per calendar year is subject only to the monitoring and reporting requirements of 60.714(a), 60.717(b), and 60.717(c).

**5(d) Collection Schedule**

The specific frequency for each information collection activity within this request is shown at the end of this document in Table 1: Annual Respondent Burden and Cost – NSPS for Magnetic Tape Coating Facilities (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart SSS) (Renewal).

**6. Estimating the Burden and Cost of the Collection**

Table 1 documents the computation of individual burdens for the recordkeeping and reporting requirements applicable to the industry for the subpart included in this ICR. The individual burdens are expressed under standardized headings believed to be consistent with the concept of ‘Burden’ under the Paperwork Reduction Act. Where appropriate, specific tasks and major assumptions have been identified. Responses to this information collection are mandatory.

The Agency may neither conduct nor sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB Control Number.

**6(a) Estimating Respondent Burden**

The average annual burden to industry over the next three years from these recordkeeping and reporting requirements is estimated to be 811 hours (Total Labor Hours from Table 1 below). These hours are based on Agency studies and background documents from the development of the regulation, Agency knowledge and experience with the NSPS program, the previously-approved ICR, and any comments received.

**6(b) Estimating Respondent Costs**

**(i) Estimating Labor Costs**

This ICR uses the following labor rates:

Managerial $157.61 ($75.05 + 110%)

Technical $123.94 ($59.02 + 110%)

Clerical $62.52 ($29.77 + 110%)

These rates are from the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, September 2021, “Table 2. Civilian Workers, by occupational and industry group.” The rates are from column 1, “Total compensation.” The rates have been increased by 110 percent to account for varying industry wage rates and the additional overhead business costs of employing workers beyond their wages and benefits, including business expenses associated with hiring, training, and equipping their employees.

**(ii) Estimating Capital/Startup and Operation and Maintenance Costs**

The type of industry costs associated with the information collection activities in these subject standards are both labor costs, which are addressed elsewhere in this ICR, and the costs associated with continuous monitoring. The capital/startup costs are one-time costs when a facility becomes subject to the regulation. The annual operation and maintenance costs are the ongoing costs to maintain the monitors and other costs such as photocopying and postage.

**(iii) Capital/Startup vs. Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Costs**

| **Capital/Startup vs. Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Costs** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| (A)  Continuous Monitoring Device | (B)  Capital/Startup Cost for One Respondent | (C)  Number of New Respondents | (D)  Total Capital/Startup Cost, (B X C) | (E)  Annual O&M Costs for One Respondent | (F)  Number of Respondents with O&M 2 | (G)  Total O&M,  (E X F) |
| CEM 1 | $29,000 | 0 | 0 | $1,200 | 2.4 | $2,880 |
| Thermocouple | $2,300 | 0 | 0 | $8,000 | 4 | $32,000 |
| **Totals** (rounded) 3 |  |  | **$0** |  |  | **$34,900** |
| 1 We assume there are 4 existing sources with no additional respondents per year.  2 We assumed 60% of respondents installed CEMs requiring O&M costs. | | | | | | | | |
| 3 Totals have been rounded to 3 significant digits. Figures may not add exactly due to rounding. | | | | | | | | |

The total capital/startup costs for this ICR are $0. This is the total of column D in the above table.

The total operation and maintenance (O&M) costs for this ICR are $34,900. This is the total of column G.

The average annual cost for capital/startup and operation and maintenance costs to industry over the next three years of the ICR is estimated to be $34,900. These are recordkeeping costs.

**6(c) Estimating Agency Burden and Cost**

The only costs to the Agency are those costs associated with analysis of the reported information. EPA's overall compliance and enforcement program includes activities such as the examination of records maintained by the respondents, periodic inspection of sources of emissions, and the publication and distribution of collected information.

The average annual Agency cost during the three years of the ICR is estimated to be $1,960.

This cost is based on the average hourly labor rate as follows:

Managerial $70.56 (GS-13, Step 5, $44.10 + 60%)

Technical $52.37 (GS-12, Step 1, $32.73 + 60%)

Clerical $28.34(GS-6, Step 3, $17.17 + 60%)

These rates are from the Office of Personnel Management (OPM), 2022 General Schedule, which excludes locality rates of pay. The rates have been increased by 60 percent to account for the benefit packages available to government employees. Details upon which this estimate is based appear at the end of this document in Table 2: Average Annual EPA Burden and Cost – NSPS for Magnetic Tape Coating Facilities (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart SSS) (Renewal).

**6(d) Estimating the Respondent Universe and Total Burden and Costs**

Based on our research for this ICR, on average over the next three years, approximately four existing respondents will be subject to the standard. It is estimated that no additional respondents per year will become subject. The overall average number of respondents, as shown in the table below, is four per year.

The number of respondents is calculated using the following table that addresses the three years covered by this ICR.

| **Number of Respondents** | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Respondents That Submit Reports | | Respondents That Do Not Submit Any Reports |  | |
| Year | (A)  Number of New Respondents 1 | (B)  Number of Existing Respondents | (C)  Number of Existing Respondents that keep records but do not submit reports | (D)  Number of Existing Respondents That Are Also New Respondents | (E)  Number of Respondents  (E=A+B+C-D) |
| 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Average | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | **4** |

1 New respondents include sources with constructed, reconstructed and modified affected facilities.

Column D is subtracted to avoid double-counting respondents. As shown above, the average Number of Respondents over the three-year period of this ICR is four.

The total number of annual responses per year is calculated using the following table:

| **Total Annual Responses** | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| (A)  Information Collection Activity | (B)  Number of Respondents | (C)  Number of Responses | (D)  Number of Existing Respondents That Keep Records But Do Not Submit Reports | (E)  Total Annual Responses  E=(BxC)+D |
| Notification of construction/ reconstruction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Notification of physical /operational change | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Notification of actual startup | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Notification of initial performance test | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Notification of CMS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Quarterly report of excess emission | 0.8 | 4 | 0 | 3.2 |
| Semiannual report of no excess emission | 3.2 | 2 | 0 | 6.4 |
|  |  |  | **Total** | **10** |

The number of Total Annual Responses is 10.

The total annual labor costs are $97,400. Details regarding these estimates may be found at the end of this document in Table 1: Annual Respondent Burden and Cost – NSPS for Magnetic Tape Coating Facilities (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart SSS) (Renewal).

**6(e) Bottom Line Burden Hours and Cost Tables**

The detailed bottom line burden hours and cost calculations for the respondents and the Agency are shown in Tables 1 and 2 at the end of this document, respectively, and summarized below.

**(i) Respondent Tally**

The total annual labor hours are 811. Details regarding these estimates may be found in Table 1: Annual Respondent Burden and Cost – NSPS for Magnetic Tape Coating Facilities (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart SSS) (Renewal).

We assume that burdens for managerial tasks take 5% of the time required for technical tasks because the typical tasks for managers are to review and approve reports. Clerical burdens are assumed to take 10% of the time required for technical tasks because the typical duties of clerical staff are to proofread the reports, make copies and maintain records.

Furthermore, the annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 84 hours per response.

The total annual capital/startup and O&M costs to the regulated entity are $34,900. The cost calculations are detailed in Section 6(b)(iii), Capital/Startup vs. Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Costs.

**(ii) The Agency Tally**

The average annual Agency burden and cost over next three years is estimated to be 38 labor hours at a cost of $1,960. See Table 2: Average Annual EPA Burden and Cost – NSPS for Magnetic Tape Coating Facilities (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart SSS) (Renewal).

We assume that burdens for managerial tasks take 5% of the time required for technical tasks because the typical tasks for managers are to review and approve reports. Clerical burdens are assumed to take 10% of the time required for technical tasks because the typical duties of clerical staff are to proofread the reports, make copies and maintain records.

**6(f) Reasons for Change in Burden**

The decrease in burden from the most recently approved ICR is due to an adjustment(s). The adjustment decrease in burden from the most recently approved ICR is due to a decrease in the number of sources. The number of respondents decreased by approximately 33%. The adjustment decrease in the number of respondents is due to a review of the EPA’s Enforcement and Compliance History Online (ECHO) database, a list of facilities from a 2003 EPA Residual Risk Analysis, and facility permits. Additionally, the previous ICR included capital/startup costs in the estimated burden due to the addition of new coating lines to existing facilities. For the next three years, we do not expect new coating lines to be added, but the existing continuous monitoring equipment are expected to have O&M costs.

**6(g) Burden Statement**

The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 84 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB Control Number. The OMB Control Numbers for EPA regulations are listed at 40 CFR Part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

To comment on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including the use of automated collection techniques, EPA has established a public docket for this ICR under Docket ID Number EPA-HQ-OAR-2022-0067. An electronic version of the public docket is available at [*http://www.regulations.gov/*](http://www.regulations.gov/) which may be used to obtain a copy of the draft collection of information, submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. When in the system, select “search,” then key in the docket ID number identified in this document. The documents are also available for public viewing at the Enforcement and Compliance Docket and Information Center in the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. Due to COVID-19 precautions, entry to the Reading Room is available by appointment only. Please contact personnel in the Reading Room to schedule an appointment. The telephone number for the Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the docket center is (202) 566-1927. Also, you can send comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA. Please include the EPA Docket ID Number EPA-HQ-OAR-EPA-HQ-OAR-2022-0067 and OMB Control Number 2060-0171 in any correspondence.

**Part B of the Supporting Statement**

This part is not applicable because no statistical methods were used in collecting this information.

**Table 1: Annual Respondent Burden and Cost – NSPS for Magnetic Tape Coating Facilities (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart SSS) (Renewal)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Burden Items** | **(A) Person hours per occurrence** | **(B) Number of occurrences per respondent per year** | **(C) Person hours per respondent per year (C=AxB)** | **(D) Number of Respondents per year a** | **(E) Technical person hours per year (E=CxD)** | **(F) Management person hours per year (F=Ex0.05)** | **(G) Clerical person hours per year (G=Ex0.1)** | **(H)**  **Total Labor Costs per Year b** |
| 1. Applications | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Surveys and Studies | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Reporting Requirements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Familiarize with regulatory requirements c | 0.87 | 1 | 0.87 | 4 | 3.48 | 0.17 | 0.35 | $480.49 |
| B. Required Activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Initial performance test d | 243.48 | 1 | 243.48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
| Repeat of performance test e | 243.48 | 0.2 | 48.70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
| Method 24 Testing | 78.26 | 12 | 939.12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
| C. Create Information | See 3B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D. Gather Existing Information | See 3E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E. Write Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notification of construction/reconstruction/modification f | 1.74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
| Notification of phys/operational change | 6.96 | 1 | 6.96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
| Notification of actual startup | 1.74 | 1 | 1.74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
| Notification of initial performance test | 1.74 | 1 | 1.74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
| Notification of CMS | 1.74 | 1 | 1.74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
| Report of performance test | See 3B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excess Emission Report g | 13.91 | 4 | 55.64 | 0.8 | 44.51 | 2.23 | 4.45 | $6,145.88 |
| Report of No Excess Emissions h | 6.96 | 2 | 13.92 | 3.2 | 44.54 | 2.23 | 4.45 | $6,150.30 |
| Report when Exceed Size Cutoff | 1.74 | 1 | 1.74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
| **Subtotal for Reporting Requirements** |  |  |  |  | **106** | | | **$12,777** |
| 4. Recordkeeping Requirements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. Familiarize with regulatory requirements c | See 3A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B. Plan Activities | See 4C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. Implement Activities | See 3B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D. Develop Record System | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E. Time to Enter Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Records of startups, shutdowns, malfunctions, etc. i | 1.3 | 50 | 65 | 4 | 260 | 13 | 26 | $35,898.85 |
| 2) Records of control device operating parameters j | 0.22 | 350 | 77 | 4 | 308 | 15.4 | 30.8 | $42,526.33 |
| 3) Records of Projected/Actual Solvent Use k | 6.96 | 2 | 13.92 | 0.8 | 11.14 | 0.56 | 1.11 | $1,537.58 |
| 4) Records for Monthly Liquid Material Balance l | 1.74 | 12 | 20.88 | 0.8 | 16.70 | 0.84 | 1.67 | $2,306.36 |
| 5) Monthly Determination of Avg VOC Content m | 1.74 | 12 | 20.88 | 0.8 | 16.70 | 0.84 | 1.67 | $2,306.36 |
| 6) Records of periods when no emission control device is used | See 4E(2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F. Train Personnel | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G. Audits | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Subtotal for Recordkeeping Requirements** |  |  |  |  | **704** | | | **$84,575** |
| **TOTAL LABOR BURDEN AND COST (rounded) n** |  |  |  |  | **811** | | | **$97,400** |
| **TOTAL CAPITAL AND O&M COST (rounded) n** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | **$34,900** |
| **GRAND TOTAL (rounded) n** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | **$132,000** |

a We have assumed that the average number of respondents that will be subject to the rule will be 4 existing sources, and no additional sources will become subject to the standard.

b This ICR uses the following labor rates: Managerial $157.61 ($75.05 + 110%); Technical $123.94 ($59.02 + 110%); and Clerical $62.52 ($29.77 + 110%). These rates are from the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, September 2021, “Table 2. Civilian Workers, by occupational and industry group.” The rates are from column 1, “Total compensation.” The rates have been increased by 110 percent to account for varying industry wage rates and the additional overhead business costs of employing workers beyond their wages and benefits, including business expenses associated with hiring, training, and equipping their employees.

c We have assumed all respondents will have to familiarize with the regulatory requirements each year.

d We have assumed that it will take each respondent 243.48 hours to perform initial performance test.

e We have assumed 20 percent of respondents will have to repeat performance tests.

f We have assumed that there will be one modification/reconstruction line over 5 years.

g We have assumed that 20 percent of sources will report excess emissions.

h We have assumed that 80 percent of sources will report no excess emissions.

i We have assumed that each respondent will take 1.3 hours 50 times per year to record SSM reports.

j We have assumed that each respondent will take 0.22 hours 350 time per year to record the control device operating parameters information.

k We have assumed that 20 percent of respondents will enter information on records of projected/actual solvent use two times per year.

l We have assumed that 20 percent of respondents will record liquid material balance 12 times per year.

m We have assumed that 20 percent of respondents will perform monthly VOC determination.

n Totals have been rounded to 3 significant figures. Figures may not add exactly due to rounding.

**Table 2: Average Annual EPA Burden and Cost – NSPS for Magnetic Tape Coating Facilities (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart SSS) (Renewal)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Activity** | **(A) EPA Hours per Occurrence** | **(B) Number of Occurrences per Plant per Year** | **(C) EPA Hours per Year (C=AxB)** | **(D) Plants per Year a** | **(E) Technical Hours per Year (E=CxD)** | **(F) Management Hours per Year (F=Ex0.05)** | **(G) Clerical Hours per Year (G=Ex0.1)** | **(H) Total Costs per Year ($) b** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Initial Performance Tests c | 20.87 | 1 | 20.87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
| Repeat Performance Test d | 20.87 | 0.2 | 4.17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
| Report Review |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notification of construction | 1.74 | 1 | 1.74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
| Notification of actual startup | 0.43 | 1 | 0.43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
| Notification of initial test e | 0.43 | 1.2 | 0.52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
| Review test results f | 6.96 | 1.2 | 8.35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 |
| Excess emission report g | 6.96 | 4 | 27.84 | 0.8 | 22.27 | 1.11 | 2.23 | $1,308.08 |
| Report of no excess emissions h | 1.74 | 2 | 3.48 | 3.2 | 11.14 | 0.56 | 1.11 | $654.04 |
| **TOTAL LABOR BURDEN AND COST (rounded) i** |  |  |  |  | **38** | | | **$1,960** |

a We have assumed that the average number of respondents that will be subject to the rule will be 4 existing sources, and no additional sources will become subject to the standard.

b This cost is based on the average hourly labor rate as follows: Managerial $70.56 (GS-13, Step 5, $44.10 + 60%); Technical $52.37 (GS-12, Step 1, $32.73 + 60%); and Clerical $28.34 (GS-6, Step 3, $17.17 + 60%). This ICR assumes that Managerial hours are 5 percent of Technical hours, and Clerical hours are 10 percent of Technical hours. These rates are from the Office of Personnel Management (OPM), 2022 General Schedule, which excludes locality, rates of pay. The rates have been increased by 60 percent to account for the benefit packages available to government employees.

c We have assumed that it will take 20.87 hours to review each initial performance test.

d We have assumed that 20 percent of respondents will have to repeat performance tests.

e We have assumed that it will take 0.43 hours 1.2 times per year to review each initial test notification.

f We have assumed that it will take 6.96 hours 1.2 times per year to review test results.

g We have assumed that 20 percent of sources will report excess emissions.

h We have assumed that 80 percent of sources will report no excess emissions

i Totals have been rounded to 3 significant figures. Figures may not add exactly due to rounding.