**Fitness-for-Duty Programs for Commercial Power Reactor and Category I SPECIAL NUCLEAR MATERIAL LICENSEes**

**A. INTRODUCTION**

**Purpose**

 This regulatory guide (RG) describes methods and procedures the staff of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) considers acceptable for certain nuclear facility applicants and licensees to demonstrate compliance with NRC regulations pertaining to the collection of urine specimens and the review of test results in accordance with Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Part 26, “Fitness for Duty Programs” (Ref. 1).

**Applicability**

 This RG applies to all nuclear facilities subject to the regulatory requirements in 10 CFR 26.3, “Scope.”

**Applicable Regulations**

* The regulations at 10 CFR Part 26 establish, in part, requirements for specimen collection; drug and alcohol testing; policies, procedures, and other program elements; audits and corrective actions; quality assurance and verification; recordkeeping and reporting; evaluation of drug test results; determinations of fitness; and sanctions, inspections, violations, and penalties.

**Related Guidance**

* RG 5.84, “Fitness-For-Duty Programs at New Reactor Construction Sites” (Ref. 2), endorses the methods used to develop a fitness-for-duty (FFD) program at new reactor construction sites as described in the industry guidance document Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) 06‑06, “Fitness‑for‑Duty Guidance for New Nuclear Power Plant Constructions Sites,” Revision 6, issued April 2013 (Ref. 3). The guidance applies to licensees, applicants, and contractor/vendors who implement FFD programs.
* U.S. Department of Health and Human Services’ (HHS) “Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs” (HHS Guidelines) (Ref. 4).

**Purpose of Regulatory Guides**

The NRC issues regulatory guides to describe to the public methods that the staff considers acceptable for use in implementing specific parts of the NRC’s regulations, techniques that the staff uses in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents, and information that the staff needs in its review of applications for permits and licenses. Regulatory guides are not substitutes for regulations, and compliance with them is not required. Methods and solutions that differ from those set forth in regulatory guides will be deemed acceptable if they provide a basis for the findings required for the issuance or continuance of a permit or license by the Commission.

**Paperwork Reduction Act**

This RG provides voluntary guidance for implementing the mandatory information collections in 10 CFR Parts 26 that are subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et. seq.). These information collections were approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), approval numbers 3150-0146. Send comments regarding this information collection to the FOIA, Library, and Information Collections Branch (T6-A10M), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555‑0001, or by e-mail to Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov, and to the OMB reviewer at: OMB Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs 3150-0146, Attn: Desk Officer for the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 725 17th Street, NW Washington, DC 20503; e-mail: oira\_submission@omb.eop.gov.

**Public Protection Notification**

The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a request for information or an information collection requirement unless the requesting document displays a currently valid OMB control number.

**B. DISCUSSION**

**Reason for Issuance**

This RG describes methods that the NRC staff considers acceptable for complying with the urine specimen collection and test results review requirements in 10 CFR Part 26. The intent of this RG is to assist the licensees and other entities implementing 10 CFR Part 26 by describing processes and procedures that the NRC finds acceptable for the collection of urine specimens and test result reviews by the Medical Review Officer (MRO). The staff has issued this RG in conjunction with a revision of 10 CFR Part 26, which incorporates enhanced guidance from the HHS Guidelines.

Specifically, the RG provides guidance on (1) the monitoring of a donor during the 3‑hour hydration period, (2) the optional use of mirrors to assist in conducting observed collections, and (3) the conduct of an additional review by the MRO for urine specimens with invalid test results due to high pH values (in the range of 9.0 to 9.5).

**Background**

The requirements in 10 CFR Part 26, in part, provide reasonable assurance that individuals subject to the 10 CFR Part 26 regulations are trustworthy and reliable, as demonstrated by the avoidance of substance abuse; are not under the influence of drugs or alcohol while performing their duties; and are not mentally or physically impaired from any other cause that would in any way adversely affect their ability to perform their duties safely and competently. The NRC uses the HHS Guidelines as part of its regulatory and technical basis for 10 CFR Part 26 because these guidelines are used by all Federal employee workplace drug testing programs, comparable Federal agency drug testing programs that test civilians in safety- and security‑sensitive positions (e.g., U.S. Department of Transportation), and the testing programs of many private companies. The HHS Guidelines also are vetted by independent organizations and the public and subjected to review by experts within the drug testing profession. Further, the HHS Guidelines are periodically updated to incorporate changes in specimen collection guidance, drug testing technology, laboratory procedures, and the panel of drugs to be tested. This RG provides guidance on matters addressed in 10 CFR Part 26 that are based on changes to the HHS Guidelines in 2008 and 2017, in part, to enhance the collection and testing of urine specimens and standards for collectors and evaluations conducted by MROs.

**Consideration of International Standards**

The International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) works with member states and other partners to promote the safe, secure, and peaceful use of nuclear technologies. The IAEA develops Safety Requirements and Safety Guides for protecting people and the environment from harmful effects of ionizing radiation. This system of safety fundamentals, safety requirements, safety guides, and other relevant reports, reflects an international perspective on what constitutes a high level of safety. To inform its development of this RG, the NRC considered IAEA Safety Requirements and Safety Guides pursuant to the Commission’s International Policy Statement (Ref. 5) and Management Directive and Handbook 6.6, “Regulatory Guides” (Ref. 6).

The following IAEA Safety Guide was considered in the development of this RG:

* IAEA Safety Guide No. NS G 2.8, “Recruitment, Qualification and Training of Personnel for Nuclear Power Plants,” issued 2004 (Ref. 7), is pertinent to this RG. The safety guide states, “A programme to identify personnel with a tendency towards drug or alcohol abuse should be established. Personnel prone to drug or alcohol abuse should not be employed for safety related tasks.” This RG describes a method for implementing the NRC’s requirements for specific elements of such a program.

**C. STAFF REGULATORY GUIDANCE**

**1. Monitoring a donor during the hydration process**

**A. General guidance**

1. The NRC intends the term “monitoring” to mean that the collector or hydration monitor maintains visual (i.e., direct line of sight) and aural contact with the donor to ensure that the donor is adhering to the hydration process instructions and is not attempting to subvert the collection process.
2. The licensee has sole discretion on whether to only use qualified specimen collectors (10 CFR 26.85(a)) or also use hydration monitors to observe donors during the hydration process (10 CFR 26.109(b)(1)).
3. The hydration monitor shall receive instruction on the duties and responsibilities of this position and acceptable donor behavior during the collection process.
4. A hydration monitor may watch more than one person in the hydration process; however, the FFD program manager should ensure that the hydration monitor is able to effectively perform hydration monitor duties and responsibilities for all donors.
5. A communication protocol should be established between the hydration monitor and the collector to facilitate the timely completion of a specimen collection.
6. If a collector transfers responsibility for monitoring a donor to a hydration monitor, the collector should verbally confirm that the hydration monitor does not have a personal relationship with the donor(s).
7. Upon transferring responsibility for monitoring the donor to the hydration monitor, the collector shall enter the name of the hydration monitor on the Federal custody and control form (Federal CCF) (10 CFR 26.109(b)(1)(ii)). The area used for hydration should include a time-tracking method (e.g., clock, countdown timer) that displays to the donor and the collector or hydration monitor the time remaining in the hydration period. The licensee’s time-tracking method should ensure that the 3‑hour hydration period begins at the time that the collector identifies that an insufficient quantity of urine was provided by the donor on the initial attempt.
8. The Federal CCF should be controlled during the hydration process to protect privacy information and to prevent loss or unauthorized revision. “Controlled,” as used in this paragraph, means that the Federal CCF is under the direct observation of the collector or access to the Federal CCF is limited to the collector.
9. Only the collector should print or type information onto the Federal CCF (10 CFR 26.85(a)(1)).

**B. Monitoring responsibilities during the hydration process**

1. The donor shall be monitored throughout the hydration process (10 CFR 26.109(b)).
2. During the hydration process, the donor should not have access to personal belongings that were secured before the donor entered the privacy enclosure for the initial specimen collection attempt under 10 CFR 26.105(a) and 10 CFR 26.105(b), unless the items have been evaluated by the collector and have been identified as items that cannot be used to subvert the testing process (10 CFR 26.105, “Preparing for the collection of a specimen for drug testing”).
3. If during the hydration process, the collector or hydration monitor observes any action or behavior by the donor that may indicate an attempt to subvert the testing process, then a description of the donor’s conduct should be immediately documented on the Federal CCF or through another documentation method consistent with the collection procedures of the licensee or other entity (10 CFR 26.107(b)(1)). If a hydration monitor observes the donor conduct, then the hydration monitor shall immediately inform the collector of the observation (10 CFR 26.107(b)(2)). The hydration monitor should communicate this information to the collector while maintaining continuous monitoring of the donor.
4. The collector shall contact FFD program management to determine whether a directly observed collection should be performed (10 CFR 26.107(b)(1)).
5. If the donor states an intention to leave or physically leaves the hydration area (i.e., collection site), then the donor should not be prevented from leaving, but the collector or hydration monitor should inform the donor that leaving before the collection is completed will be considered a refusal to test (10 CFR 26.89(c)) and would result in the FFD sanction of the permanent denial of authorization, except for the following condition.

If the collection process has not been completed; and the collection is for a random test; and the donor is on shift to perform a duty or responsibility described in 10 CFR 26.4(a)(1)–(5) or designated to perform a duty or responsibility under 10 CFR 26.4(c) or 10 CFR 26.4(e)(1); and the donor is specifically assigned or directed to respond to a plant transient, plant/site emergency, or security contingency response event, as described in the licensee’s operating procedures, emergency plan, or security plan, respectively, then the collector or hydration monitor should do the following:

1. Stop the hydration/collection process, unless the donor voluntarily elects to complete the specimen collection process.
2. Allow the donor to respond to the plant transient, emergency, or security event.
3. Document the time the donor left the collection site and provide a short description of the occurrence on the Federal CCF or through another documentation method consistent with the collection procedures of the licensee or other entity.
4. Immediately inform FFD program management.
5. If the donor has provided a specimen of acceptable quantity (10 CFR 26.89(b)(2)), but the collection process has not been completed in accordance with 10 CFR 26.89(d), and if the individual is directed to respond to the event, then the specimen should be discarded. The collector shall document on the Federal CCF that the specimen was discarded, unless characteristics of the specimen indicate that it may have been adulterated or tampered with (e.g., color, clarity, contaminants, precipitants, and smell), in which case, the specimen should be processed according to 10 CFR 26.109(b)(4).
6. Maintain the collector’s copy of the Federal CCF in accordance with 10 CFR 26.715(b)(2).
7. If the specimen collection process was terminated and the specimen was discarded, then initiate a new unannounced specimen collection at the earliest reasonable and practical opportunity.
8. Consistent with the sequence of requirements in 10 CFR 26.89(c) and other sections, the phrase “will be considered a refusal to test” means that (1) a collector, hydration monitor, or FFD program person has identified an occurrence indicative of a refusal to test, (2) the occurrence has been communicated to, and evaluated by, FFD program management, and (3) FFD program management makes the sole determination that a refusal to test had occurred.

**C. Providing liquid for hydration**

1. During the hydration process, the donor cannot have access to or consume liquid that the donor brought to the collection site.
2. The licensee should make a known quantity of liquid available to the donor, not to exceed 40 ounces (10 CFR 26.109(b)(1)). For example, five 8‑ounce bottles of water could be made available to the donor. Providing a donor with an empty cup and access to a water fountain is not considered an acceptable way to provide a known quantity of liquid to the donor because the collector or hydration monitor would not be able to ensure that that the donor does not consume more than 40 ounces of liquid.

If the collector or hydration monitor observes the donor consuming fluid that was not assigned to the donor (e.g., drinking from a water fountain), the donor has failed to cooperate with the specimen collection process under 10 CFR 26.89(c).

1. The collector or hydration monitor should encourage, but cannot require, the donor to consume the liquid provided at a reasonable rate. A reasonable rate is about 8 ounces (237 milliliters (mL)) every 30 minutes; however, this rate is dependent on the donor. Therefore, the collector or hydration monitor should control the pace in which liquid is provided to the donor. However, if the donor requests more liquid than that provided and if the total liquid provided up to that point is less than 40 ounces, the collector or hydration monitor should provide more liquid (such as another 8 ounces), as long as the total volume of consumed liquid and liquid to be provided does not exceed 40 ounces.

Note the following:

* Two kidneys (in a healthy adult) produce about 946 to 1,892 mL (1 to 2 quarts) of urine per day or about 40 to 80 mL of urine per hour (Ref. 8). A normal bladder capacity is about 300 to 400 mL (Ref. 9). Once a donor provides a urine specimen of at least 30 mL, the collection must end (10 CFR 26.109(b)(2)).
* Riebl and Davy (Ref. 10) state, “Typically, healthy individuals can maintain water balance through urination when excess fluid is consumed; hyperhydration is not commonly encountered.”
1. The collector or hydration monitor should not encourage or urge the donor to attempt to provide a specimen quickly or repeatedly during the hydration process. Requesting the donor to provide a specimen before the donor is ready to do so could result in the donor providing a specimen of inadequate volume. (See paragraph 1(D)(8) of this RG).

**D. Providing a specimen during the hydration process**

1. Once the donor is ready to attempt to provide a specimen, the collector or hydration monitor should to minimize the time a donor needs to wait to attempt to provide a specimen.
2. Any subsequent failed attempt to provide a specimen of sufficient volume (10 CFR 26.109(b)(3)) during the hydration process does not restart the 3‑hour hydration period (i.e., the start of the 3‑hour hydration period begins when the donor exists the room, stall, or private area and the collector determines that the initial specimen was of insufficient quantity).
3. If the hydration monitor is not qualified as a collector, then the donor shall be transferred to an available collector when the donor is ready to attempt to provide a specimen (10 CFR 26.107(a)).
4. A donor may try to urinate as many times as necessary within the 3‑hour hydration period.
5. If the donor’s attempt to provide a specimen of sufficient volume (10 CFR 26.109(b)(2)) is not successful and the collector wants the hydration monitor to resume responsibility for monitoring the donor during the 3‑hour hydration period that has already been established, the donor should be directed to the hydration area, and the collector should make a statement transferring responsibility for monitoring the donor back to the hydration monitor.
6. At about 2 hours and 30 minutes and then at 2 hours and 45 minutes into the hydration period, the collector or hydration monitor should inform the donor when the 3‑hour hydration period will lapse.
7. The hydration monitor should inform the collector about 2 hours and 45 minutes into the hydration period that the donor’s hydration period will end in 15 minutes so that the collector can be available to complete the collection process with the donor.
8. At 3 hours into the hydration period, the donor should be offered one last opportunity to provide a specimen.
9. If the donor was unable to provide a specimen of sufficient volume and the 3-hour hydration period has ended, the following actions apply:
10. The collector should document on the Federal CCF that the donor failed to provide a specimen of sufficient volume and then shall notify the FFD program manager or the MRO of the failed collection attempt (10 CFR 26.109(b)(3)). The collector may use another documentation method consistent with the collection procedures of the licensee or other entity if additional information on the collection event cannot be documented on the Federal CCF.
11. If, during the collection process, the donor provided a specimen that the collector had reason to believe was diluted, adulterated, substituted, or otherwise tampered with, the specimen should be sent to the HHS-certified laboratory for testing (10 CFR 26.109(b)(4)).

**2. Using mirrors during specimen collections under direct observation (10 CFR 26.115, “Collecting a urine specimen under direct observation”)**

**A. General guidance**

Note the following:

* The preferred direct observation technique is to see the urine exit directly from the donor’s body into the specimen collection container without the use of a mirror; however, a directly observed collection can be conducted with the use of one or more reflective mirrors (i.e., not two way) to help ensure the effectiveness of the directly observed collection (10 CFR 26.115(f)(2)).
* During urination, the observation of all sides of the donor’s body at the same time is not required. However, the collector or observer’s ability to view all sides must be immediate and unfettered to assess whether any subversion paraphernalia is present (10 CFR 26.115(f)(1)).
* The use of the word “mirror” or “mirrors” in this RG refers to those used for directly observed collections, not to the mirrors placed on walls or doors for vanity purposes.

(1) The licensee or other entity should establish a procedure for the use of permanent mirrors or temporary mirrors (i.e., those needed based on a case‑by‑case determination). (See paragraphs 2(A)(6) and 2(A)(10) of this RG.)

(2) The FFD program manager or an individual designated by the licensee should approve the positioning of any permanent mirror within the room, stall, or private area used to collect a urine specimen from a donor. The positioning of a mirror shall not infringe upon the privacy of a donor who is providing a specimen during a normal collection. (See paragraph 2(A)(10) of this RG) (10 CFR 26.87(b) and 10 CFR 26.107(a)(1).)

(3) A two-way mirror, hand‑held mirror, or installation of a mirror within the bowl of a toilet or other urine collection apparatus exceeds the reasonable expected loss of privacy associated with a directly observed collection and should not be used.

(4) All mirrors should be sufficiently affixed or secured to a wall or structure to prevent injury to the occupants in the room, stall, or private area.

(5) The collector or observer for the assigned donor may incrementally adjust the position of a mirror for a particular donor or the particular collection area, or both.

(6) Unless all directly observed collections use a mirror(s), the collector or observer should determine whether the use of a mirror is necessary to conduct a directly observed collection for a particular individual (i.e., a case‑by‑case determination).

(7) The case‑by‑case determination for use of a mirror or mirrors is met if the collector or observer cannot do the following:

1. Implement 10 CFR 26.115(f) by observing the urine exit directly from the donor’s body into the collection container and by observing the area between the donor’s waist and knees.
2. Implement 10 CFR 26.107(b) and 10 CFR 26.115(f) to ensure that the donor is not attempting to subvert the testing process by observing all sides of the donor’s body between the waist and knees (i.e., front, back, and sides).

(8) If a directly observed collection cannot be performed, the collector or observer for that particular collection should timely inform the FFD program manager or MRO (10 CFR 26.115(b)) and the following should be considered:

1. This situation could represent a rare occurrence in which the size and spatial relationship between the individual, urine collection area, and collector/observer do not facilitate an effective directly observed collection.
2. The licensee should afford an accommodation above that considered for a directly observed collection. This accommodation, in part, implements the requirements of 10 CFR 26.31(d)(5)(i) and should be conducted with approval by the FFD program manager, as authorized by the MRO (10 CFR 26.31(d)(5)(i)).

(9) If the directly observed collection cannot be performed because the collector/observer cannot observe the urine exit directly from the donor’s body into the collection container because the individual requires the use of a urostomy bag (or other similar medical appliance), the following apply:

1. This situation represents a medical condition, and an attached urostomy bag is an extension of the individual’s urinary tract.
2. If a funnel is used to facilitate the collection, it is part of the collection container.
3. The collector or observer shall observe the donor pour urine directly from the urostomy bag into the collection container. For this situation, the temperature requirement in 10 CFR 26.111(a) would not apply because this accommodation implements the requirements of 10 CFR 26.31(d)(5)(i), as authorized by the MRO. The collector should document the temperature consideration and use of the urostomy bag on the Federal CCF.

(10) If the stall, room, or private area has a mirror installed and it is also used for normal collections (i.e., collections not under direct observation), the following applies:

* The mirror(s) shall be installed in such a way that the enclosure provides the same privacy as an enclosure without a mirror (10 CFR 26.87(b) and10 CFR 26.107(a)(1)).

**3. MRO consideration of time and temperature for urine specimens with invalid test results due to pH in the range of 9.0 to 9.5 (10 CFR 26.185(f)(3))**

**A.** If an MRO receives an invalid test result from the HHS-certified laboratory due to high pH (9.0 to 9.5) and if the MRO and laboratory agree that further testing would not be useful and that no technical explanation exists for the result, the MRO shall question the donor to determine whether the donor can provide an acceptable medical explanation for this test result (10 CFR 26.185(c)).

* If the donor cannot provide such an explanation, the MRO shall consider whether elapsed time between the collection and testing of the specimen or exposure of the specimen to high temperatures during this elapsed time, or both, might have caused the high pH test result (10 CFR 26.185(f)(3)).

**B.** To determine whether sufficient objective information exists to conclude that time or temperature, or both, might be the cause of high pH in a specimen with an invalid validity test result, the MRO or MRO staff (10 CFR 26.183(d)) should contact the licensee or other entity, collection site, transportation company, licensee testing facility, or HHS-certified laboratory to obtain information necessary to determine the time‑temperature profile that the specimen was exposed to during the period between collection and the completion of the pH test.

(1) The MRO is the only individual who may evaluate the information on elapsed time and temperature to assess a high pH test result (10 CFR 26.185(a)).

(2) Personnel at collection sites (10 CFR 26.117(j)), licensee testing facilities (10 CFR 26.129(f)), and HHS‑certified laboratories (10 CFR 26.159(h)) are required to take appropriate and prudent actions to minimize false negative results from specimen degradation, such as promptly inspecting, testing, or refrigerating specimens. As a result, personnel at these facilities could know of conditions, such as delays, high temperatures, or other information, useful to the MRO’s evaluation and should communicate this information to the MRO.

**C.** The MRO should consider test results from other specimens that were subject to the reasonably equivalent collection, transportation, storage, and temperature conditions if results from other such specimens are available. For example, if other specimens collected at the same collection site were transported to the HHS‑certified laboratory in the same package or different packages but in the same transport vehicle, or in a different transport vehicle by the same company during reasonably equivalent weather/environmental conditions, and the specimens yielded invalid test results due to pH in the range of 9.0 to 9.5, the MRO could have sufficient objective evidence to conclude that donor action was not responsible for the invalid result.

**D.** As described in the “Medical Review Officer Handbook” (Ref. 11), the MRO may use, but is not limited to, the following guidelines in evaluating elapsed time between specimen collection and pH testing for specimens with invalid test results due to pH (9.0 to 9.5):

(1) For an elapsed time greater than 48 hours, consider cancelling the test and requiring a second unannounced collection (not observed) (10 CFR 26.185(f)(2)).

(2) For an elapsed time between 24 and 48 hours, when the urine was transferred or stored at a temperature greater than 98 degrees Fahrenheit, consider cancelling the test and requiring a second unannounced collection (not observed) (10 CFR 26.185(f)(2)).

(3) For an elapsed time less than 24 hours, consider cancelling the test and requiring a second unannounced collection under direct observation (10 CFR 26.185(f)(4)).

**E.** The MRO may also use the guidance in the HHS “Medical Review Officer Manual for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs,” effective October 1, 2017, revised March 2018, or later (Ref. 12) and the HHS “Medical Review Officer Case Studies,” March 2018, or later (Ref. 13).

**F.** If the MRO concludes that an acceptable nonmedical explanation exists for an invalid test result due to high pH, the MRO should report a cancelled test result and direct the licensee or other entity to collect a second urine specimen from the donor as soon as reasonably practicable (10 CFR 26.185(f)(3)).

**G.** The MRO shall document the information that the MRO used in making a determination on pH (10 CFR 26.185(p)). The MRO should provide sufficient information to enable reconstruction of the situation(s) that resulted in the determination.

**D. IMPLEMENTATION**

The NRC staff may use this regulatory guide as a reference in its regulatory processes, such as licensing, inspection, or enforcement. However, the NRC staff does not intend to use the guidance in this regulatory guide to support NRC staff actions in a manner that would constitute backfitting as that term is defined in 10 CFR 50.109, “Backfitting,” and as described in NRC Management Directive 8.4, “Management of Backfitting, Forward Fitting, Issue Finality, and Information Requests,” (Ref. 14), nor does the NRC staff intend to use the guidance to affect the issue finality of an approval under 10 CFR Part 52, “Licenses, Certifications, and Approvals for Nuclear Power Plants.” The staff also does not intend to use the guidance to support NRC staff actions in a manner that constitutes forward fitting as that term is defined and described in Management Directive 8.4. If a licensee believes that the NRC is using this regulatory guide in a manner inconsistent with the discussion in this Implementation section, then the licensee may file a backfitting or forward fitting appeal with the NRC in accordance with the process in Management Directive 8.4.
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