

NCI Outstanding Mentor Award Application

OMB No.: 0925-0766
Expiration Date: 04/30/2023

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 15 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. **An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.** Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to: NIH, Project Clearance Branch, 6705 Rockledge Drive, MSC 7974, Bethesda, MD 20892-7974, ATTN: PRA (0925-0766). Do not return the completed form to this address.

Primary Nominator

Please fill out the application below.

Nominee Information	
First Name:*	Last Name:*
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Degree(s):*	
<input type="text"/>	
Title:*	
<input type="text"/>	
Nominee Organization Details	
Division/Office/Center:*	Branch/Lab/Program*
<input type="text" value="You must select UCH"/>	<input type="text" value="- Select -"/>
Telephone:*	
<input type="text"/>	
Email:*	
<input type="text"/>	
Verify Email*	
<input type="text"/>	
Your Information	
First Name:*	Last Name:*
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Your Relationship to the Nominee:*	
<input type="text" value="- Select -"/>	
Degree(s):*	
<input type="text"/>	
Title:*	
<input type="text"/>	

Your Organization Details*

Division/Office/Center:*

You must select UCH

Branch/Lab/Program:*

- Select -

Telephone:*

Email:*

Verify Email*

How many years has nominee been your mentor? *

- Select -

Secondary Nominator

First Name:*

Last Name:*

Relationship to the Nominee:*

- Select -

Email:*

Confirm email*

Questions About the Nominee:

Scientific Expertise: Does the nominee have state-of-the-science professional knowledge and expertise that they impart to trainees? Do they set a standard of scientific excellence through the quality, productivity, and impact of their research? Please give examples. *

Access: Is the nominee available, accessible, and accepting of trainees in the laboratory or other research settings? Please give examples. *

Communication: Does the nominee establish explicit training expectations and provide constructive feedback, verbally and in writing, so that trainees have a gauge for learning and scientific development? Please give examples. *

Environment: Does the nominee provide a training environment conducive to good science, including intellectual and tangible support for scientific development, as well as attention to the concerns of trainees? Please give examples. *

Visibility: Does the nominee give credit to trainees, and promote visibility of their work, both formally and informally, e.g. through workshops, meetings, presentations, and publications? Please give examples.

Career Development: Does the nominee demonstrate commitment to mentoring by sharing his or her knowledge, giving ownership, and actively helping trainees to prepare for and meet their career objectives? Please give examples.

Encouragement of Mentoring: Has the nominee encouraged you to mentor others? Please describe. *

Other (optional): Is there anything else you think the review committee should know about the nominee, and why you are nominating them?

Your nomination is confidential. If your nominee is selected as a winner, may we share your identity with them? *

Submit