## **Attachment: Scientific Advisory Committee Members Survey**

This attachment includes screenshots for each part of the Scientific Advisory Committee Members Survey.



OMB#: 0925-0766 Exp., date: 04/2023

Evaluation of the Research Centers in Minority Institutions (RCMIs) Program

Thank you for taking the time to participate in this survey. The National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities (NIMHD) has contracted with Ripple Effect, an independent research and evaluation firm, to conduct a retrospective evaluation of the Research Centers in Minority Institutions (RCMIs) Program to determine the program's outcomes, innovations, and impact.

You have been invited to participate in this survey because you are a member of a Scientific Advisory Committee or Board (SAC/SAB) supporting an RCMI. We are interested in learning about your experience serving as a committee member and thoughts on the committee's role in supporting RCMIs by providing strategic guidance and input on the overall direction and progress achieved in supporting the development of additional research capacity, enhancing the career of early-stage investigators, advancing minority health and health disparities research, and developing sustainable academic and community partnerships.

Your name will not be attributed to any of your responses, instead, responses will be aggregated and reported by participant type. When all the surveys are complete, a summary report of the findings will be shared with NIMHD to help inform future decisions about the RCMI program.

Burden Disclosure Statement: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 10 - 15 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to: NIH, Project Clearance Branch, 6705 Rockledge Drive, MSC 7974, Bethesda, MD 20892-7974, ATTN: PRA (#0925-0766). Do not return the completed form to this address.

Thank you in advance for your willingness to participate in this survey; your responses are important and will provide valuable insight into the outcomes associated with the implementation of RCMI programs and highlight opportunities for improvement.

If you have any questions or concerns about the survey, please contact Dr. Maysaa Alobaidi at MAlobaidi@rippleeffect.com.

By clicking on the "I consent" box below, you are indicating that you consent to participate in this survey.

I consent		
I do not consent (exit survey)		

Н	How many RCMI Center Committees do you currently serve on?
	1
	2
	3
	4+

If you have or are currently serving on more than 1 RCMI Center Scientific Advisory Committee, for the remainder of the survey please answer the questions for the RCMI Center Scientific Advisory Committee that you have served on the longest.

Please select one RCMI Center for which you will answer the following survey questions for:



Approximately, for how many years have you served as a member of the Scientific Advisory Committee or Board supporting the RCMI Center you selected previously?

1 year or less
2-3 years
4-6 years
7-9 years
> 10 years
What do you see as your primary areas of contribution to the RCMI Center you are currently supporting as a member of the Scientific Advisory Committee? (select all that apply):
Providing general guidance and advice about RCMI Center management and policies
Providing general guidance on areas for growth and further development
Providing scientific oversight on research projects
Providing guidance on early-stage faculty development goals and strategies
Other (please specify)
All the above
Prefer not to answer

Please indicate the extent of your agreement with the following statements:

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
The RCMI Center leadership selected committee members who have sufficient knowledge and experience to provide relevant input and guidance on the RCMI Center strategy and operations.	0	0	0	0	0
I usually receive all the information I need ahead of time to participate effectively in committee meetings.	0	0	0	0	0
I have the opportunity to provide input and guidance to RCMI leadership outside of regular committee meetings.	0	0	0	0	0
I feel that the committee membership is balanced in terms of the range of backgrounds and expertise represented.	0	0	0	0	0

meetings?

The committee or board should meet less frequently

The frequency of the meetings is just right

The committee or board should meet more frequently

How would you describe the effectiveness of the Scientific Advisory Committee or Board meetings?

Not effective

Somewhat ineffective

Unsure

Very effective

How would you describe the frequency of the Scientific Advisory Committee or Board

In your opinion, how are the recommendations provided by the Scientific Advisory Committee or Board received by the RCMI Center leadership?

Very poorly received
Poorly received
Moderately received
Well received
Very well received
Unable to tell
In your opinion, to what extent are the committee or board's recommendations utilized by the RCMI Center leadership?
the RCMI Center leadership?
the RCMI Center leadership?  Almost never utilized
the RCMI Center leadership?  Almost never utilized  Occasionally utilized

In which of the following areas do you think the Scientific Advisory Committee has had the most noticeable impact on the RCMI Center with which you are working (please select up to three areas):

Providing general guidance and advice about RCMI Center management and policies
Providing general guidance on areas for growth and further development
Facilitating connections to external collaborators and resources
Providing guidance on RCMI research projects implementation
Providing guidance on early-stage faculty development goals and strategies
Overseeing concept and grant application development and reviewing grant applications prior to submission
Other (please specify)
Prefer not to answer

Overall, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your experience serving on the Scientific Advisory Committee?

Very dissatisfied
Dissatisfied
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
Satisfied
Very satisfied
Prefer not to answer
In your opinion, how can the Scientific Advisory Committee at the RCMI Center you are supporting be more effectively utilized by the RCMI Center leadership?
Please describe what you think is the biggest achievement or greatest contribution of the RCMI Center to the field of minority health and health disparities research.
Do you have any recommendations for how the RCMI program can be improved?
Is there anything else that you would like to share about the RCMI program that would be helpful for this assessment?

With what organization/institution are you affiliated with?	
What is your professional title?	
President	
Vice President	
Provost	
Dean/Associate Dean	
Department Chair	
Director of Research/Academic Center	
Professor	
Other (please specify)	
What is your disciplinary background?	
Using a few key words, please broadly describe the focus of your research.	

## What is your gender? Male Female Transgender Other (please specify) Prefer not to answer What is your race? American Indian or Alaska Native Asian Black or African American Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander White Prefer not to answer What is your Ethnicity? Hispanic or Latino Not Hispanic or Latino