

0008, 1 item, 1 temporary item). Records related to technical inspection of products.

5. Department of Defense, Defense Logistics Agency (DAA-0361-2017-0010, 1 item, 1 temporary item). Records related to inventory management.

6. Department of Defense, Defense Logistics Agency (DAA-0361-2017-0011, 2 items, 2 temporary items). Master files and outputs of an electronic information system used to manage energy-related products.

7. Environmental Protection Agency, Agency-wide (DAA-0412-2017-0003, 1 item, 1 temporary item). Electronic copies of email records dated prior to 2007.

8. Federal Maritime Commission, Office of Consumer Affairs and Dispute Resolution Services (DAA-0358-2017-0004, 5 items, 5 temporary items). Records related to dispute resolution case files.

9. Office of Personnel Management, Retirement Services (DAA-0478-2017-0001, 2 items, 1 temporary item). Records related to retirement case files. Proposed for permanent retention are case files of high profile individuals.

10. Office of Personnel Management, Agency-wide (DAA-0478-2017-0008, 4 items, 4 temporary items). Records of the Voting Rights Program, including guidance, procedures, personnel and travel records, observer reports, and training records.

11. Securities and Exchange Commission, Agency-wide (DAA-0266-2017-0002, 1 item, 1 temporary item). Electronic data copied or downloaded from electronic information systems maintained in data marts and data warehouses.

Laurence Brewer,
Chief Records Officer for the U.S.
Government.

[FR Doc. 2017-22543 Filed 10-17-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7515-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2016-0094]

Agreement State Program Policy Statement; Correction

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Revision to policy statement; correction.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is correcting a notice that was published in the **Federal Register** (FR) on October 6, 2017, regarding consolidation of two policy

statements on the NRC's Agreement State programs. This action is necessary to provide the policy statement revision which was inadvertently left out of the previously published FRN.

DATES: The correction is effective October 18, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2016-0094 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Web site:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2016-0094. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301-415-3463; email: Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "ADAMS Public Documents" and then select "*Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.*" For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced (if it is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that it is mentioned in this document.

- *NRC's PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lance Rakovan, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone: 301-415-2589, email: Lance.Rakovan@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the FR on October 6, 2017, in FR Doc. 2017-21542, please add the Agreement State Program Policy statement.

The text of the Agreement State Program Policy statement is attached.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 12th day of October 2017.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Helen Chang,

Acting Branch Chief, Rules, Announcements and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration.

Attachment

Agreement State Program Policy Statement

A. Purpose

The purpose of this policy statement for the Agreement State Program is to describe the respective roles and responsibilities of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and Agreement States in the administration of programs carried out under Section 274 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (AEA).¹ Section 274 provides broad authority for the NRC to establish a unique Federal and State relationship in the administration of regulatory programs for the protection of public health and safety in the industrial, medical, commercial, and research uses of agreement material. This policy statement supersedes the September 1997 "Policy Statement on Adequacy and Compatibility of Agreement State Programs" and "Statement of Principles and Policy for the Agreement State Program."

This policy statement addresses the Federal-State interaction under the AEA to (1) establish and maintain agreements with States under Subsection 274b, that provide for discontinuance by the NRC, and the assumption by the State, of responsibility for administration of a regulatory program for the safe use of agreement material; (2) ensure that post-agreement interactions between the NRC and Agreement State radiation control programs are coordinated; and (3) ensure Agreement States provide adequate protection of public health and safety and maintain programs that are compatible with the NRC's regulatory program.

Although not defined in the AEA, the National Materials Program (NMP) is a term used to describe the broad collective effort within which both the NRC and the Agreement States function in carrying out their respective regulatory programs for agreement material. The vision of the NMP is to provide a coherent national system for the regulation of agreement material with the goal of protecting public health and safety through compatible regulatory programs. Through the NMP, the NRC and Agreement States function as regulatory partners.

B. Background

This policy statement is intended solely as guidance for the NRC and the Agreement States in the implementation of the Agreement State Program. This policy statement does not itself impose legally binding requirements on the Agreement States. In addition, nothing in this policy

¹ Subsection 274b. of the AEA authorizes the NRC to enter into an agreement by which the NRC discontinues and the State assumes regulatory authority over some or all of these materials. The material over which the State receives regulatory authority under such agreement is termed "agreement material."

statement expands the legal authority of Agreement States beyond that already granted to them by Section 274 of the AEA and other relevant legal authority; nor does this policy statement diminish or constrain the NRC's authority under the AEA. Implementation procedures adopted pursuant to this policy statement shall be consistent with the legal authorities of the NRC and the Agreement States.

This policy statement presents the NRC's policy for determining the adequacy and compatibility of Agreement State programs. This policy statement clarifies the meaning and use of the terms "adequate to protect public health and safety" and "compatible with the NRC's regulatory program" as applied to Agreement State programs. The terms "adequate" and "compatible" represent fundamental concepts in the Agreement State programs authorized in 1959 by Section 274 of the AEA. Subsection 274d. states that the NRC shall enter into an Agreement under Subsection 274b. that discontinues the NRC's regulatory authority over specified AEA radioactive materials and activities within a State, provided that the State's program is adequate to protect public health and safety and is compatible with the NRC's regulatory program. Subsection 274g. authorizes and directs the NRC to cooperate with States in the formulation of standards to assure that State and NRC programs for protection against hazards of radiation will be coordinated and compatible. Subsection 274j.(1) requires the NRC to periodically review the Agreements and actions taken by States under the Agreements to ensure compliance with the provisions of Section 274.

The NRC and Agreement State radiation control programs maintain regulatory authority for the safe and secure handling, use, and storage of agreement material. These programs have always included the security of agreement material as an integral part of their health and safety mission as it relates to controlling and minimizing the risk of exposure to workers and the public. Following the events of September 11, 2001, the NRC and Agreement States developed and implemented enhanced security measures. For the purposes of this policy statement, public health and safety includes the physical protection of agreement material.

C. Statement of Legislative Intent

In 1954, the AEA did not initially specify a role for the States in regulating the use of nuclear material. Many States were concerned as to what their responsibilities in this area might be and expressed interest in clearly defining the boundaries of Federal and State authority over nuclear material. This need for clarification was particularly important in view of the fact that although the Federal Government retained sole responsibility for protecting public health and safety from the radiation hazards of AEA radioactive materials—defined as byproduct, source, and special nuclear material—the States maintained the responsibility for protecting the public from the radiation hazards of other sources such as x-ray machines and naturally occurring radioactive material.

Consequently, in 1959, Congress enacted Section 274 of the AEA to establish a statutory framework under which States could assume, and the NRC could discontinue, regulatory authority over byproduct, source, and small quantities of special nuclear material insufficient to form a critical mass. The NRC continued to retain regulatory authority over the licensing of certain facilities and activities, including nuclear reactors, quantities of special nuclear material sufficient to form a critical mass, the export and import of nuclear materials, and matters related to common defense and security.

The legislation did not authorize a wholesale, immediate relinquishment or abdication by the Commission² of its regulatory responsibilities but only a gradual, carefully considered turnover. Congress recognized that the Federal Government would need to assist the States to ensure that they developed the capability to exercise their regulatory authority in a competent and effective manner. Accordingly, the legislation authorized the NRC to provide training, with or without charge, and other services to State officials and employees as the Commission deems appropriate. However, in rendering this assistance, Congress did not intend that the NRC would provide any grants to a State for the administration of a State regulatory program. This was fully consistent with the objectives of Section 274 to qualify States to assume independent regulatory authority over certain defined areas under their Agreement and to permit the NRC to discontinue its regulatory responsibilities in those areas.

In order to discontinue its authority, the NRC must find that the State program is adequate to protect public health and safety and compatible with the NRC program for the regulation of agreement material. In addition, the NRC has an obligation, pursuant to Subsection 274j. of the AEA, to periodically review existing Agreement State programs to ensure continued adequacy and compatibility. Subsection 274j. of the AEA provides that the NRC may terminate or suspend all or part of its agreement with a State if the NRC finds that such termination is necessary to protect public health and safety or that the State has not complied with the provisions of Subsection 274j. In these cases, the NRC must offer the State reasonable notice and opportunity for a hearing. In cases where the State has requested termination of the agreement, notice and opportunity for a hearing are not necessary. In addition, the NRC may temporarily suspend all or part of an agreement in the case of an emergency situation.

D. Program Implementation

1. Implementation of the Agreement State Program is described below and includes (a) Principles of Good Regulation; (b) performance evaluation on a consistent and systematic basis; (c) the responsibility to

ensure adequate protection of public health and safety, including physical protection of agreement material; (d) compatibility in areas of national interest; and (e) sufficient flexibility in program implementation and administration to accommodate individual State needs.

i. Principles of Good Regulation

In 1991, the Commission adopted the "Principles of Good Regulation" to serve as a guide to both agency decision making and the individual behavior of NRC employees. There are five Principles of Good Regulation: Independence, openness, efficiency, clarity, and reliability. Adherence to these principles has helped to ensure that the NRC's regulatory activities have been of the highest quality and are appropriate and consistent. The "Principles of Good Regulation" recognize that strong, vigilant management and a desire to improve performance are prerequisites for success, for both regulators and the regulated industry. The NRC's implementation of these principles has served the public, the Agreement States, and the regulated community well. Such principles are useful as a part of a common culture of the NMP that the NRC and the Agreement States share as co-regulators. Accordingly, the NRC encourages each Agreement State to adopt a similar set of principles for use in its own regulatory program. These principles should be incorporated into the day-to-day operational fabric of the NMP.

ii. Performance Evaluation

To ensure that Agreement State programs continue to provide adequate protection of public health and safety and are compatible with the NRC's regulatory program, periodic program evaluation is needed. The NRC, in cooperation with the Agreement States, established and implemented the Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP). The IMPEP is a performance evaluation process that provides the NRC and Agreement State management with systematic and integrated evaluations of the strengths and weaknesses of their respective radiation control programs and identification of areas needing improvement.

iii. Adequate to Protect Public Health and Safety

The NRC and the Agreement States have the responsibility to ensure adequate protection of public health and safety in the administration of their respective regulatory programs, including physical protection of agreement material. Accordingly, the NRC and Agreement State programs shall possess the requisite supporting legislative authority, implementing organization structure and procedures, and financial and human resources to effectively administer a radiation control program that ensures adequate protection of public health and safety.

iv. Compatible in Areas of National Interest

The NRC and the Agreement States have the responsibility to ensure that the radiation control programs are compatible. Such radiation control programs should be based on a common regulatory philosophy including the common use of definitions and standards. The programs should be effective

² For the purposes of this policy statement, "Commission" means the five member Commission or a quorum thereof sitting as a body, as provided by Section 201 of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 5841).

and cooperatively implemented by the NRC and the Agreement States and also should provide uniformity and achieve common strategic outcomes in program areas of national significance.

Such areas include aspects of licensing, inspection and enforcement, response to incidents and allegations, and safety reviews for the manufacture and distribution of sealed sources and devices. Furthermore, communication using a nationally accepted set of terms with common understanding, ensuring an adequate level of protection of public health and safety that is consistent and stable across the nation, and evaluation of the effectiveness of the NRC and Agreement State programs for the regulation of agreement material with respect to protection of public health and safety are essential to maintaining the NMP.

v. Flexibility

With the exception of those compatibility areas where programs should be essentially identical, Agreement State radiation control programs have flexibility in program implementation and administration to accommodate individual State preferences, State legislative direction, and local needs and conditions. A State has the flexibility to design its own program, including incorporating more stringent, or similar, requirements provided that the requirements for adequate protection of public health and safety are met and compatibility is maintained. However, the exercise of such flexibility should not preclude a practice authorized by the AEA, and in the national interest.

2. New Agreements

Section 274 of the AEA requires that once a decision to request Agreement State status is made by the State, the Governor of that State must certify to the NRC that the State desires to assume regulatory responsibility and has a program for the control of radiation hazards adequate to protect public health and safety with respect to the materials within the State that would be covered by the proposed agreement. This certification will be provided in a letter to the NRC that includes supporting documentation. This documentation includes the State's enabling legislation; the radiation control regulations; the radiation control program staffing plan; a narrative description of the State program's policies, practices, and procedures; and a proposed agreement.

The NRC's policy statement, "Criteria for Guidance of States and NRC in Discontinuance of NRC Regulatory Authority and Assumption Thereof by States Through Agreement" (46 FR 7540, January 23, 1981; as amended by policy statements published at 46 FR 36969, July 16, 1981; and 48 FR 33376, July 21, 1983), describes the required content of these documents. The NRC reviews the request and publishes notice of the proposed agreement in the **Federal Register** to provide an opportunity for public comment. After consideration of public comments, if the NRC determines that the proposed State program is adequate for protection of public health and safety and compatible with the NRC's regulatory program, the Governor and Chairman of the NRC sign the agreement.

3. Program Assistance

The NRC will offer training and other assistance to States, such as assistance in developing regulations and program descriptions to help individual States prepare their request for entering into an Agreement and to help them prior to the assumption of regulatory authority. Following approval of the agreement and assumption of regulatory authority by a new Agreement State, to the extent permitted by resources, the NRC may provide training and offer other assistance (such as review of proposed regulatory changes to help Agreement States administer their regulatory responsibilities). Nevertheless, it is the responsibility of each Agreement State to ensure that it has a sufficient number of qualified staff to implement its program. If the NRC is unable to provide the training, the Agreement State will need to do so.

The NRC may also use its best efforts to provide specialized technical assistance to Agreement States to address unique or complex licensing, inspection, incident response, and limited enforcement issues. In areas where Agreement States have particular expertise or are in the best position to provide immediate assistance to the NRC or other Agreement States, they are encouraged to do so. In addition, the NRC and Agreement States will keep each other informed about relevant aspects of their programs.

If an Agreement State experiences difficulty in implementing its program, the NRC will, to the extent possible, assist the State in maintaining the effectiveness of its radiation control program. Under certain conditions, an Agreement State can also voluntarily return all or part of its Agreement State program.

4. Performance Evaluation

Under Section 274 of the AEA, the NRC retains oversight authority for ensuring that Agreement State programs provide adequate protection of public health and safety and are compatible with the NRC's regulatory program. In fulfilling this statutory responsibility, the NRC will determine whether the Agreement State programs are adequate and compatible prior to entrance into a Subsection 274b. agreement and will periodically review the program to ensure it continues to be adequate and compatible after an agreement becomes effective.

To fulfill this responsibility, the NRC, in cooperation with the Agreement States, established and implemented the IMPEP. As described in Management Directive 5.6 "Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP)," IMPEP is a performance evaluation process that provides the NRC and Agreement States with systematic, integrated, and reliable evaluations of the strengths and weaknesses of their respective radiation control programs and identification of areas needing improvement. The same criteria are used to evaluate and ensure that regulatory programs are adequate to protect public health and safety and that Agreement State programs are compatible with the NRC's program. The IMPEP process employs a Management Review Board (MRB), comprised of senior NRC staff members to make a determination of program adequacy

and compatibility. An MRB also includes an Agreement State liaison, provided by the Organization of Agreement States (OAS), as a non-voting member.

As a part of the performance evaluation process, the NRC will take necessary actions to help ensure that Agreement State radiation control programs remain adequate and compatible. These actions may include more frequent IMPEP reviews of Agreement State programs and providing assistance to help address weaknesses or areas needing improvement within an Agreement State program. Monitoring, heightened oversight, probation, suspension, or termination of an agreement may be applied for certain program deficiencies or emergencies (e.g. loss of funding, natural or man-made events, pandemic). The NRC's actions in addressing program deficiencies or emergencies will be implemented through a well-defined process that is consistently and fairly applied.

5. Program Funding and Training

Section 274 of the AEA permits the NRC to offer training and other assistance to a State in anticipation of entering into an Agreement with the NRC. Section 274 of the AEA does not allow Federal funding for the administration of Agreement State radiation control programs. Given the importance to public health and safety of having well trained radiation control program personnel, the NRC may offer certain relevant training courses and notify Agreement State personnel of their availability. These training programs also help to ensure compatible approaches to licensing and inspection and thereby strengthen the NMP.

6. Regulatory Development

The NRC and Agreement States will cooperate in the development of both new and revised regulations and policies. Agreement States will have early and substantive involvement in the development of regulations affecting protection of public health and safety and of policies and guidance documents affecting administration of the Agreement State program. The NRC and Agreement States will keep each other informed about their individual regulatory requirements (e.g., regulations, orders, or license conditions) and the effectiveness of those regulatory requirements so that each has the opportunity to make use of proven regulatory approaches to further the effective and efficient use of resources. In order to avoid conflicts, duplications, gaps, or other conditions that would jeopardize an orderly pattern in the regulation of agreement material on a nationwide basis, Agreement States should inform the NRC of, and provide the opportunity to review and comment on, proposed changes in regulations and significant changes to Agreement State programs, policies, and regulatory guidance.

Two national organizations composed of State radiation control program personnel facilitate participation and involvement with the development of regulations, guidance, and policy. The OAS provides a forum for Agreement States to work with each other and with the NRC on regulatory issues, including centralized communication on radiation protection matters between the Agreement States and the NRC. The

Conference of Radiation Control Program Directors, Inc. (CRCPD) assists its members in their efforts to protect the public, radiation workers, and patients from unnecessary radiation exposure. One product of the CRCPD is the Suggested State Regulations for use by its members. The NRC reviews Suggested State Regulations for compatibility.

E. Adequacy and Compatibility

In accordance with Section 274 of the AEA, any State that chooses to establish an Agreement State program must provide for an acceptable level of protection of public health and safety. This is the “adequacy” component. The Agreement State must also ensure that its program supports an overall nationwide program in radiation protection. This is the “compatibility” component.

By adopting the criteria for adequacy and compatibility as discussed in this policy statement, the NRC provides a broad range of flexibility in the administration of individual Agreement State programs. Recognizing the fact that Agreement States have responsibilities for radiation sources other than agreement material, the NRC allows Agreement States to fashion their programs to reflect specific State needs and preferences.

The NRC will minimize the number of NRC regulatory requirements that the Agreement States will be requested to adopt in an identical manner to maintain compatibility. At the same time, requirements in these compatibility categories allow the NRC to ensure that an orderly pattern for the regulation of agreement material exists nationwide. The NRC believes that this approach achieves a proper balance between the need for Agreement State flexibility and the need for an NMP that is coherent and compatible in the regulation of agreement material across the country.

Program elements³ for adequacy focus on the protection of public health and safety within a particular Agreement State, while program elements for compatibility focus on the impacts of an Agreement State’s regulation of agreement material on a nationwide basis or its potential effects on other jurisdictions. Some program elements for compatibility may also impact public health and safety; therefore, they may also be considered program elements for adequacy.

In identifying those program elements for adequate and compatible programs, or any changes thereto, the NRC staff will coordinate with the Agreement States.

1. Adequacy

An “adequate” program includes those program elements of a radiation control regulatory program necessary to maintain an acceptable level of protection of public health and safety within an Agreement State. An Agreement State’s radiation control program is adequate to protect public health and safety if administration of the program

³For the purposes of this policy statement, “program element” means any component or function of a radiation control regulatory program, including regulations and other legally binding requirements imposed on regulated persons, which contributes to implementation of that program.

provides reasonable assurance of protection of public health and safety in regulating the use of agreement material. The level of protection afforded by the program elements of the NRC’s materials regulatory program is presumed to be adequate to provide for reasonable assurance of protection of public health and safety. Therefore, the overall level of protection of public health and safety provided by a State program should be equivalent to, or in some cases can be greater than, the level provided by the NRC program. To provide reasonable assurance of protection of public health and safety, an Agreement State program should contain the five essential program elements, identified in items i. through v. of this section, that the NRC and Agreement States will use to define the scope of the program. The NRC and Agreement States will also consider, when appropriate, other program elements of an Agreement State that appear to affect the program’s ability to provide reasonable assurance of the protection of public health and safety.

On the basis of this policy statement, NRC program elements (including regulations) can be placed into five compatibility categories (A, B, C, D, and NRC). In addition, NRC program elements can also be identified as having particular health and safety significance (H&S). These six categories (A, B, C, D, NRC, and H&S) form the basis for evaluating and classifying NRC program elements.

i. Legislation and Legal Authority

Agreement State statutes shall: (a) Authorize the State to establish a program for the regulation of agreement material and provide authority for the assumption of regulatory responsibility under an Agreement with the NRC; (b) authorize the State to promulgate regulatory requirements necessary to provide reasonable assurance of protection of public health and safety; (c) authorize the State to license, inspect, and enforce legally binding requirements such as regulations and licenses; and (d) be otherwise compatible with applicable Federal statutes. In addition, the State should have existing legally enforceable measures such as generally applicable rules, orders, license conditions, or other appropriate measures, necessary to allow the State to ensure adequate protection of public health and safety in the regulation of agreement material in the State. Specifically, Agreement States should adopt legally binding requirements based on those identified by the NRC because of their particular health and safety significance. In adopting such requirements, Agreement States shall implement the essential objectives articulated in the NRC requirements.

ii. Licensing

The Agreement State shall conduct appropriate evaluations of proposed uses of agreement material, before issuing a license to authorize such use, to ensure that the proposed licensee’s need and proposed uses of agreement material are in accordance with the AEA and that operations can be conducted safely. Licenses shall provide for reasonable assurance of public health and safety protection in the conduct of licensed activities.

iii. Inspection and Enforcement

The Agreement State shall periodically conduct inspections of licensed activities involving agreement material to provide reasonable assurance of safe licensee operations and to determine compliance with its regulatory requirements. When determined to be necessary by the State, the State should take timely enforcement action through legal sanctions authorized by State statutes and regulations.

iv. Personnel

The Agreement State shall be staffed with a sufficient number of qualified personnel to implement its regulatory program for the control of agreement material.

v. Incidents and Allegations

The Agreement State shall respond to and conduct timely inspections or investigations of incidents, reported events, and allegations involving agreement material within the State’s jurisdiction to provide reasonable assurance of protection of public health and safety.

2. Compatibility

A “compatible” program consists of those program elements necessary to sustain an orderly pattern of regulation of agreement material. An Agreement State has the flexibility to adopt and implement program elements within the State’s jurisdiction (*i.e.*, those items that are not areas of exclusive NRC regulatory authority) that are not addressed by the NRC, or program elements not required for compatibility (*i.e.*, those NRC program elements not assigned to Category A, B, or C). However, such program elements of an Agreement State relating to agreement material shall (1) be compatible with those of the NRC (*i.e.*, should not create conflicts, duplications, gaps, or other conditions that would jeopardize an orderly pattern in the regulation of agreement material on a nationwide basis); (2) not preclude a practice authorized by the AEA and in the national interest; and (3) not preclude the ability of the NRC to evaluate the effectiveness of Agreement State programs for agreement material with respect to protection of public health and safety. For purposes of compatibility, the State shall adopt program elements assigned Compatibility Categories A, B, and C.

i. Category A—Basic Radiation Protection Standards

This category includes basic radiation protection standards that encompass dose limits, concentration, and release limits related to radiation protection in Part 20 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), that are generally applicable, and the dose limits for land disposal of radioactive waste in 10 CFR 61.41.⁴ Also

⁴The NRC will implement this category consistent with its earlier decision in the low-level waste area to allow Agreement States the flexibility to establish pre-closure operational release limit objectives, as low as is reasonably achievable goals, or design objectives at such levels as the State may deem necessary or appropriate, as long as the level of protection of public health and safety is essentially identical to that afforded by NRC requirements.

included in this category are a limited number of definitions, signs, labels, and scientific terms that are necessary for a common understanding of radiation protection principles among licensees, regulatory agencies, and members of the public. Such State standards should be essentially identical to those of the NRC, unless Federal statutes provide the State authority to adopt different standards. Basic radiation protection standards do not include constraints or other limits below the level associated with “adequate protection” that take into account considerations such as economic cost and other factors.

ii. Category B—Cross Jurisdictional Program Elements

This category pertains to a limited number of program elements that cross jurisdictional boundaries and that should be addressed to ensure uniformity of regulation on a nationwide basis. Some examples include sealed source and device registration certificates, transportation regulations, radiography certification, access authorization, and security plan requirements. Agreement State program elements shall be essentially identical to those of the NRC. Because program elements used in the Agreement State Program are necessary to maintain an acceptable level of protection of public health and safety, economic factors⁵ shall not be considered.

iii. Category C—Other NRC Program Elements

This category includes NRC program elements that are important for an Agreement State to implement in order to avoid conflicts, duplications, gaps, or other conditions that would jeopardize an orderly pattern in the regulation of agreement material on a nationwide basis. Such Agreement State program elements shall embody the essential objective of the corresponding NRC program elements. Agreement State program elements may be more restrictive than NRC program elements; however, they should not be so restrictive as to prohibit a practice authorized by the AEA and in the national interest without an adequate public health and safety or environmental basis related to radiation protection.

iv. Category D—Program Elements Not Required for Compatibility

This category pertains to program elements that do not meet any of the criteria listed in Compatibility Category A, B, or C above and are not required to be adopted for purposes of compatibility.

v. Category NRC—Areas of Exclusive NRC Regulatory Authority

This category consists of program elements over which the NRC cannot discontinue its regulatory authority pursuant to the AEA or provisions of 10 CFR. However, an Agreement State may inform its licensees of these NRC requirements through an

appropriate mechanism under the State’s administrative procedure laws, as long as the State adopts these provisions solely for the purposes of notification, and does not exercise any regulatory authority as a result.

F. Conclusion

The NRC and Agreement States will continue to jointly assess the NRC and Agreement State programs for the regulation of agreement material to identify specific changes that should be considered based on experience or to further improve overall safety, performance, compatibility, and effectiveness.

The NRC encourages Agreement States to adopt and implement program elements that are patterned after those adopted and implemented by the NRC to foster and enhance an NMP that establishes a coherent and compatible nationwide program for the regulation of agreement material.

[FR Doc. 2017–22514 Filed 10–17–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC–2017–0173]

Information Collection: Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Renewal of existing information collection; request for comment.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) invites public comment on the renewal of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval for an existing collection of information. The information collection is entitled, “Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities.” **DATES:** Submit comments by December 18, 2017. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the Commission is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Web site:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC–2017–0173. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301–415–3463; email: Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *Mail comments to:* David Cullison, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Mail Stop: T–2 F43, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

For additional direction on obtaining information and submitting comments, see “Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments” in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Cullison, Office of the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone: 301–415–2084; email: INFOCOLLECTS.Resource@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments

A. Obtaining Information

Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2017–0173 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information for this action. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this action by any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Web site:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC–2017–0173.

- *NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select “ADAMS Public Documents” and then select “Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.” For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The seven supporting statements associated with the part 50 information collections and the burden table are available in ADAMS under Accession Nos. ML17207A259, ML17201K067, ML17201K126, ML17201K169, ML17201J977, ML17201K214, ML17201K024, and ML17283A044, respectively.

- *NRC’s PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC’s PDR, Room O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

- *NRC’s Clearance Officer:* A copy of the collection of information and related instructions may be obtained without charge by contacting the NRC’s Clearance Officer, David Cullison, Office of the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone: 301–415–2084; email: INFOCOLLECTS.Resource@nrc.gov.

⁵ For the purposes of this policy statement, economic factors are those costs incurred by the regulated community to comply with regulations that impact more than one regulatory jurisdiction in the NMP.