Discussion questions for Community of Practice feedback

The purpose of this voluntary information collection is to solicit feedback from participants in monthly virtual web meetings. These meetings are held as part of a Community of Practice for the 2019 cohort of the Child Care Policy Research Partnership (CCPRP) grant recipients. This feedback will help the government understand the grant recipients' experiences and preferences and will be used to improve future Community of Practice sessions. Some of the information gathered may be used in public resources designed to support research and evaluation capacity of Lead Agencies more broadly, if appropriate.

Participation in the information collection is voluntary and information will be kept private. The estimated time per response is 20 minutes. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB # is 0970-0401 and the expiration date is 06/30/2024. If you have any comments on this collection of information, please contact <u>llsaacs@urban.org</u> (Julia Isaacs, Project Director).

These are sample questions, and during the discussion, we may follow up with additional prompts.

1A Questions for CCDF Lead Agency staff:

- 1) If you were giving advice to a *CCDF Lead Agency* thinking about entering into a researcher-agency partnership, what 1-2 things would you share as:
 - a. the most rewarding and worthwhile reason to participate in the partnership
 - b. cautions about expectations

Participants would jot down on a Jamboard, with one page for rewarding reasons and one page for cautions about expectations, and then there would be open discussion among the CCDF Lead Agency staff.

- 2) We also would like to know what advice you would give to *researchers* who are in an existing partnership or thinking about entering one.
 - Please complete the following phrase. I would like researchers to know that those of us in the CCDF Lead Agency benefit when

Participants would jot down responses on another page of the Jamboard, and then there would be open discussion among the CCDF Lead Agency staff.

1B. Questions for Researchers:

- 1) If you were giving advice to researchers thinking about entering into a researcheragency partnerships, what 1-2 things would you share as:
 - a. the most rewarding and worthwhile reason to participate in the partnership
 - b. cautions about expectations

Participants would jot down on a Jamboard, with one page for rewarding reasons and one page for cautions about expectations, and then there would be open discussion among the researchers.

- 2) We also would like to know what advice you would give to *CCDF Lead Agency staff* who are in an existing partnership or thinking about entering one.
 - a. Please complete the following phrase. I would like CCDF Lead Agency staff to know that those of us on research teams benefit when

Participants would jot down responses on another page of Jamboard, and then there would be open discussion among the researchers.

<u>1C.</u> Advice for OPRE. (used for a mixed group of agency staff and researchers with the CCPRP community of practice):

- 1) OPRE aims to support the work of researcher-agency partnerships. The community of practice is one avenue for providing this support.
 - a. What do you see as a key benefit to participating in this CoP?
 - b. What about this CoP has best supported this benefit?

Participants would jot down responses to 1a on Jamboard. Then there would be discussion, including further discussion of the question, "What about this CoP has best supported this benefit?

2) What suggestions do you have for OPRE for supporting this and future researcher-agency partnerships? We welcome suggestions about any part of the process, including the notice of funding opportunity (NOFO)?