

Form I-829-016 Revision Responses to 30-day FRN Public Comments

Public Comments (regulations.gov): [USCIS-2006-0009](https://www.regulations.gov/document/USCIS-2006-0009)

30-day FRN Citation (federalregister.gov): [88 FR 20177](https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2023/04/05/2023-07471)

Publish Dates: April 5, 2023 – May 5, 2023

Comment #	Commenter ID	Comment	USCIS Response
1.		Commenter: jean publiee	
	0083	<p>we shoudl not allow removal of conditions on permnent status at all at any time. those people who are allowed temporary residence shoudl go home tot heir home country at the end of their permitted time here. the usa has been overwhelmed by 20 million additional residents who are taking up all the usa housing so that amnericans cannot find places to live anymore with this huge allowance of foreign lawbrteakers. aldso our educatoin system is full up and the costs are skyrocketing with all th foreign kids in our schools, causing financial ruin for american taxpayers having to pay the costs for tyeacherws for all of thesue foerign students who dont belong in tyhis country in the first place. there ijs no homes left for american citizens with these foreigners,. there is less food for americnas with these 20 milllion foreigners - they have driuven up the ciost oif fioiod, thes 20 million foreigners have driven up the costs of our hospitals. some of the foreigners have medical bills of 2 million dollars that our social security medical fund is paying for., canyou imagine that. when was the last time hte u s gov paid \$20 million for medical care for an american citizen? hgave you ever heard of the feds doing that? the costs driven up by letting in all these foreigners to partake of first claim on our tax dollars is bankruptingj all of us. in these inflation times caused by biden, we simply cannot afforrd this out of control spending for foreigners. send them all home to their own country. after all some of these central american countries are already making deals with china. and russia.</p>	Response: This comment is out of scope for the proposed revision.