Comment #	Commenter ID	Comment	USCIS Response
1.		Commenter: jean publieee	
	0058	there shoujdl be no relief for any foreigner. they should be found illegal if they committed an illegal act of entering this country without proper adherence to americanlaws. there is no reason to allow lawbreakers like the filthy biden is dong in ignoring laws that are on our books on immigation and how you may enter the country legally. we have so many illegals here now we should have a moritorium to keep all foreigners out of this counytry. we are being overrrun with the world population because they get freebies whent hey get here. american taxpaeeyrrs are being bankrupted by the costs of these lawbfreaking invaders coming here and gettnig free food, free rent, free medical care and frees school, all of which we pay throught he hose for, what the hell is ghoing on here where a country penbalizes its own citizens for foreign freeloaders. get them all out. eveyr single onew of them that invaded this coutnry. get them out on their bcksides. throw thgem out., there is no reason to make any amendments of any kind.	Response: This comment is out of scope for the proposed revision.
2.		Commenter: Hikmat Agha Sadat	
	0059	pleas help with me thanks for you	<b>Response:</b> This comment is out of scope for the proposed revision.
3.		Commenter: Neighborhood Defender Service of Harlem	
	0060 (see attachment)	See attached file(s)	Response: See Comment Responses below labeled with Commenter ID: 0060. The information in the attachment from the public comment (0060) was separated into different sections in this comment matrix to

			address each portion of information individually.  See Comment # 4. – 6.
4.		Commenter: Neighborhood Defender Service of Harlem	
	0060	Form I-191, Part 9.:  NDS Recommendation: First, the proposed revisions to Form I-191 Sections 9, 10, and 11 promote clarity that will reduce the burden on applicants and enhance accessibility for immigrants like NDS's clients. First, the proposed revisions to Part 9 of Form I-191, "Contact Information, Certification, and Signature" and the corresponding instructions should be adopted because it minimizes the burden to applicants, by eliminating confusing language and promotes utility by focusing the requested information on the applicant, collecting only information that is both useful and necessarily for capturing the signature and contact information of the applicant or their guardian. The purpose of Part 9 is to collect the signature and person information of the application. However, current language confuses this simple purpose in that it uses complex language and references a preparer and interpreter, despite the fact that this information is collected in Parts 10 and 11 respectively. Removing this redundant, confusing information and streamlines the provision of information for the applicant, while ensuring relevant information is still collected.	Response: Part 9. Applicant's Contact Information, Certification, and Signature references the interpreter to provide the applicant the ability to certify that they understood the information contained in and submitted with their application and provided and authorized all responses, and that all responses and information are complete, true, and correct through the use of an interpreter in the language in which the applicant is fluent in. The person preparing the application, if other than the applicant, is not referenced in the applicant's certification in Part 9.

	Commenter: Neighborhood Defender	
	Service of Harlem	
0060	Form I-191, Part 11.:	Response:
		The note regarding the possible
	NDS Recommendation: While the	obligation to submit a G-28 in the
	proposed revisions to Form I-191 are in	preparer section was removed as
	large part beneficial to applicants like	duplicative because the applicability
	NDS's client, the one proposed revision	of a G-28 to be completed by an
	NDS opposes is the elimination, in Part	Attorney or Accredited
	11, of the warning to attorneys who act	Representative (if any) is notated on
		page 1 of 18.
	•	
	•	
	_	
0060		Response: USCIS cannot expand the
<u> </u>		opportunity for section 212(c) relief
		through this form revision.
	• •	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	<u> </u>	
	site of a drug sweep; whether they	
1	1 Site of a diag sweep, which they	1
	even have the <i>chance</i> to apply for relief	
	0060	NDS Recommendation: While the proposed revisions to Form I-191 are in large part beneficial to applicants like NDS's client, the one proposed revision NDS opposes is the elimination, in Part 11, of the warning to attorneys who act as a preparer for an applicant. This warning instructs attorneys and accreditive representatives that a G-28 Notice of Appearance may be required. Failure to include this warning may result in the unnecessary denial of applications, creating an additional administrative burden for USCIS and unfairly prejudicing applicants.  Commenter: Neighborhood Defender Service of Harlem  NDS Recommendation: In addition to the proposed revisions, NDS calls on DHS to propose a new regulation that adopts the language of former Section 212(c) to provide relief for Lawful Permanent Residents convicted of a crime after April 1, 1997. Currently, Section 212(c) relief is limited to Lawful Permanent Residents who were convicted of or pled guilty to a crime before April 1, 1997. For those who qualify, this is an effective avenue of relief. For example, many of our clients are decades-long Lawful Permanent Residents, parents and grandparents of U.S. citizens, who have only a single drug conviction from the 1990's, sometimes only due to being at the wrong place at the wrong time near the

		arbitrary factor of whether the	
		conviction was prior to April 1, 1997.	
		The effectiveness of this relief is	
		supported by data. In 1989 to 1995,	
		about 51.5% applicants were approved,	
		resulting in over 10,000 instances of	
		relief granted. In 2004 to 2008,	
		approximately 7,000 applications for	
		212(c) relief were granted. In that time	
		frame, 212(c) relief held about one	
		third of the relief from removal granted	
		to Lawful Permanent Residents, other	
		than grants of asylum. Reopening this	
		avenue of relief through new	
		regulation could make a significant	
		difference for longtime Lawful	
		Permanent Residents facing removal.	
		Expanding the opportunity for relief	
		under Section 212(c) is particularly	
		crucial as criminal and immigration	
		enforcement become every more	
		intertwined. Coinciding with the repeal	
		of Section 212(c), more grounds for	
		removability were applied against	
		people convicted of criminal offenses.	
		These changes in the law were a	
		response to growing national	
		resentment toward noncitizens and a	
		"tough on crime" approach which has	
		proved largely ineffective. As a result,	
		more people than ever are swept into	
		the criminal justice system, and more	
		noncitizens than ever face separation	
		from their homes, families and	
		communities as a result of these often	
		symbiotic systems. Under these	
		circumstances, the opportunity for	
		relief under an expanded 212(c) is ever	
		more pressing.	
7.		Commenter: The Legal Aid Society	
	0063	See attached file(s)	Response: See Comment Responses
	(see attachment)		below labeled with Commenter ID:
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		0063. The information in the
	1		5555. The information in the

			attachment from the public comment (0063) was separated into different sections in this comment matrix to address each portion of information individually.  See Comment # 8. – 14.
8.		Commenter: The Legal Aid Society	
	0063	Over the last two decades, the Form I-	Response: USCIS appreciates the
		191 has grown from a simple, one-page	commenter's concern regarding the
		application to the lengthy current	burden estimates. USCIS
		version, which extends eighteen	acknowledges that it may take some
		pages.1 The questions are increasingly	respondents more or less time to
		complex,	complete the Form I-191 than the
		and they call for detailed factual	current reports estimate. However,
		responses as well as legal analysis and	USCIS makes some basic assumptions
		judgment. USCIS estimates that	about how respondents are likely to
		completing the Form I-191 will take, on	interact with this information
		average, an hour and twenty-three	collection and only analyzes burden
		minutes to complete. <i>See</i> Proposed	based on the applicant's completion
		Instructions at 13 (estimating burden	of the information collection. USCIS assumes that if the form contains
		on applicants under the Paperwork Reduction Act). Based on the	simple requests for information, such
		experience of LAS attorneys, the form	as name, biographic information,
		will require much more time. For	address, then the applicant may not
		example, USCIS estimates that the	read the instructions in its entirety.
		reporting burden for the current form	Additionally, the time burden analysis
		is one hour and forty-five minutes, but	is an estimation that may not capture
		in our experience, itis not atypical for	every applicants experience when
		an attorney to spend 10 to 30 hours	completing this form and collecting
		completing this form and collecting all	evidence, as it may take some
		of the requested documentation. The	respondents less or more less time
		burden on applicants is particularly	based on the amount of information
		taxing because this form is most	required to enter into the form
		typically prepared under short 30 or	applicable to the applicant.
		60-day deadlines imposed by	
		immigration courts. The proposed	In regards to documentation
		changes are welcome, but they reduce	collection for evidence, USCIS makes
		the burden on applicants only at the	a basic assumption that this
		margins.	documentation is available to the
		La Pala a CLACIa a di	applicant. The timeline in which it
		In light of LAS's serious concerns about	takes other entities to provide the
		the burdens placed on applicants by	documentation is not accounted for
		this form, we have identified certain	in the USCIS's time burden due to the

	1		T
		issues and recommendations for the form and instructions. Additional	varying degrees of time it may take for an entity to provide requested
			1
		changes in the instructions may be needed in order to ensure consistent	evidence to the applicant or
			attorney(s).
		implementation of the	
		recommendations.	
9.		Commenter: The Legal Aid Society	
	0063	Recommendations Regarding the	Response: The updated certification
		Instructions:	language is consistent among all
			USCIS forms and instructions and the
		The instructions do not specify what	signature requirements were not
		type of signature would be accepted	detailed in the updated language for
		with the interpreter certification. This is an issue for applicants who may use	the interpreter certification section.
		telephonic or virtual language	The specific information regarding
		interpretation.	the type of signature that would be
			accepted with the interpreter section
			was not included due to the signature
			requirements already being included
			under the <b>General Instructions</b>
			section (see Signature and Validity of
			Signatures sections). It would be
			duplicative to include this
			information in the interpreter
			certification section.
10.		Commenter: The Legal Aid Society	
	0063	Recommendations Regarding the	Response: This edit has been made
		Instructions:	to remove the duplicative
			information.
		On page 10, under "What Is the Filing	
		Fee," the instructions note twice, in	
		quick succession, that the filing fee and	
		biometric services fee are not	
		refundable. One of those mentions can	
		be removed from the instructions.	
11.		Commenter: The Legal Aid Society	
	0063	Recommendations Regarding the	Response: USCIS fees can change
		Instructions:	periodically, and the instructions
			account for that possibility. USCIS
		We recommend that USCIS elaborate	notes that it has recently published a
		on Point 1 of "How to Check if the Fees	notice of proposed rulemaking that,
	i		
		Are Correct."	once implemented, would impact

		The current instructions do not easily	
		lead to clear and obvious information	
		about the latest cost of this specific	
		form. This lack of clarity could create	
		unnecessary costs for applicants and	
		complicate the filing process for both	
		applicants and the adjudicating agency.	
12.		Commenter: The Legal Aid Society	
	0063	Recommendations Regarding Part 6.	Responses:
		Information About Your Family and its	
		Instructions:	
		The instructions for this section of	The revisions proposed through this
		the form should indicate whether	action were limited to updating the
		applicants are expected to include	certification section and minor
		information about deceased family	grammar and formatting changes.
		members. If that is required, the	USCIS may consider this
		instructions should specify how to	recommendation in a separate
		indicate if the family member is	comprehensive revision action of the
		deceased.	I-191 instructions.
		To be inclusive of nonbinary	This edit has been made in Part 6. Of
		individuals, Item Numbers 156 of	the Instructions.
		the instructions should read,	
		"Provide information about your	
		spouse, all children, and your	
		parents, including <i>their</i> current	
		legal name, A-number, USCIS	
		online account number, date of	
		birth, country of birth, country of	
		citizenship or nationality, and	
		physical address", rather than	
		using "his or her".	
		asing this of ther .	
		"X" should be an option to check-	At this time, we will not make this
		off as a gender everywhere that	change. USCIS may consider this
		gender specifications are requested	update in a separate comprehensive
		on this form so that it may align	revision action of Form I-191.
		with the laws of certain states of	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
		the United States.	
		the officed states.	
		The placement of the subboadings	
		The placement of the subheadings  for "(" the principle we then the placement of the subheadings").  The placement of the subheadings of the subheadings of the subheadings of the subheadings of the subheadings.  The placement of the subheadings of the subheadings.	
		for "other information for" various	

	T		
		family members in this section of	The recommended formatting edits
		the form is confusing. The	have been made in Part 6. of Form I-
		information should be streamlined	191.
		so that all the questions regarding	
		the applicant's spouse come under	
		the sub-heading, "Information	
		About Your Spouse." The sub-	
		sections for each child and each	
		parent should be formatted the	
		same way so that all the questions	
		about Child 1 are under the sub-	
		heading, "Information for Child 1,"	
		which can be printed in pale grey	
		similarly to how "other information	
		for Child 1" currently appears All	
		information for Child 1, including	
		their legal name would then appear	
		under the subheading etc.	
13.		Commenter: The Legal Aid Society	
	0063	Recommendations for Part 3.	Response: The revisions proposed
		Information About Your Criminal	through this action were limited to
		Convictions and Part 7. Other Grounds	updating the certification section and
		For Removal:	minor grammar and formatting
			changes. USCIS may consider these
		The questions around the criminal  applications, grounds of removability.	recommendations in a separate
		convictions, grounds of removability, and discretion require legal analysis.	comprehensive revision action of the
		The formatting and phrasing of this	I-191 form and instructions.
		form may be prohibitively complicated	
		to individuals completing this form pro	
		se. This confusion may give rise to	
		discrepancies and possible	
		mischaracterizations that could be	
		detrimental to the applicant.	
		We recommend the questions	
		requiring listing criminal convictions in	
		part 3 and part 7 be streamlined into a	
		request for the applicant to attach a	
		criminal history chart. This would allow	
	1	for more clarity and ease for both the	
		applicant (particularly pro se	
		applicant (particularly pro se applicants) and the adjudicator. It	
		applicant (particularly pro se applicants) and the adjudicator. It would also shorten the length of the	
		applicant (particularly pro se applicants) and the adjudicator. It would also shorten the length of the form and, often, the time necessary to	
		applicant (particularly pro se applicants) and the adjudicator. It would also shorten the length of the	

**Public Comments** (regulations.gov): <u>USCIS-2006-0070</u> **30-day FRN Citation** (federalregister.gov): <u>88 FR 1087</u>

Publish Dates: January 6, 2023 - March 7, 2023

prepare a criminal Page 4 history chart. See Sample Criminal History Chart, Immigration Court Manual, Appendix O, www.justice.gov/eoir/office-chiefimmigration-judge-1. We recommend adding a question where the applicant can affirm, "I am seeking a waiver of removability for any conviction that may render me removable." This would also prevent accidental discrepancies and mischaracterizations for individuals completing this form pro se.

We also recommend narrowing the scope of the criminal contacts with law enforcement that this form requests the applicant to list in part 7 question 2. Listing and providing a full explanation of every arrest, citation, detainment, or investigation the applicant was involved in and requiring "an original official statement by the arresting or detaining agency or applicable court order confirming that no charges were filed" is unduly burdensome. The request for an original official statement regarding foreign criminal contacts may not be possible for certain applicants because of their relationship to the country where the contact took place. Applicants who fear returning to their home country may have good reason not to request such documents from their home country. Even individuals who do not have such a fear are likely to find this request very burdensome. Additionally, given the difficulty of establishing the reliability of foreign documents, it is unclear that these documents would be helpful to USCIS. This requirement can be burdensome even in U.S. jurisdictions, particularly where criminal records have been sealed, archived, or destroyed.

14.		Commenter: The Legal Aid Society	
	0063	Recommendations for Part 8.  Discretion:  The instructions should specify that the applicant is welcome to include information about the lawful status of their spouse, children and parents.  The instructions should also include anon-exhaustive list of the types of evidence that would highlight the provided list of favorable factors in the applicant's case.	Response: The revisions proposed through this action were limited to updating the certification section and minor grammar and formatting changes. USCIS may consider these recommendations in a separate comprehensive revision action of the I-191 form and instructions.
15.		Commenter: Immigrant ARC	
	0061 (see attachment)	See attached file(s)	Response: See Comment Response below labeled with Commenter ID: 0061. The information in the attachment from the public comment (0061) was separated into a different section in this comment matrix to address the information individually.
16.		Commenter: Immigrant ARC	See Comment # 16.
	0061	I-ARC Recommendation for form and instructions:  We believe Part 11 should retain the warning to attorneys who act as a preparer for an applicant as it may result in unintended consequences of a denial of an application. The statements in Part 11 of the Instructions for Form I-191 that notifies an attorney acting as a preparer that they may need to submit a completed Form G-28, Notice of Entry of Appearance as Attorney or Accredited Representative, should remain. It is a simple and clear statement that does not place undue burden on the applicant, and supports the proper	Response: See Comment # 5. for response to this recommendation.

		performance of the function of the	
		agency.	
17.		Commenter: Brooklyn Defender	
17.		Services	
	0062	Attached please find Brooklyn	Response: See Comment Response
	(see attachment)	Defender Services' comment in	below labeled with Commenter ID:
		response to the U.S. Citizenship and	0062. The information in the
		Immigrant Services' Agency	attachment from the public comment
		Information Collection Activities	(0062) was separated into a different
		relating to Form I-191, Application for	section in the comment matrix to
		Relief Under Former Section 212(c) of	address the information individually.
		the Immigration and Nationality Act,	
		published on January 4, 2023, OMB	See Comment # 18.
		Control Number 1615-0016, USCIS	
		Docket ID USCIS-2006-0070.	
18.		Commenter: Brooklyn Defender	
		Services	
	0062	B. USCIS should propose new	Response: The revisions proposed
		regulations or guidance incorporating	through this action were limited to
		former §212(c) in order to provide	updating I-191 form and instructions,
		relief to lawful permanent residents	including the certification section
		with convictions after April 1, 1997.	and minor grammar and formatting
			changes. USCIS may consider
		In addition to revising Form I-191,	suggestions not related to the form
		USCIS should propose new regulations	revision in the future through other
		or create new guidance that adopts the	actions.
		language of former section 212(c),	
		which would create a much-needed	
		opportunity for relief for noncitizens	
		who were convicted of or pled to a	
		crime after April 1, 1997.	