

United States Environmental Protection Agency Washington, DC 20460

No. No.				
Section 4 Submission				
This is an original subn	nission:	This is an a	mendment:	
		CERTIFICATION		
complete and a protection for an substantiate surthe claim has: (i) taken rease (ii) determined the public und (iii) a reasonal harm to the complete and the complete the public und the complete and the public under the publ	ccurate. I further certify the confidential information chick claims is true and correct onable measures to prote district the information is reder any other Federal law able basis to conclude the competitive position of the	hat, pursuant to 15 on made with this surect, and that it is trect the confidential not required to be duty; at disclosure of the person; and	all information entered on this form is U.S.C. § 2613(c), for all claims for ubmission, all information submitted to the and correct that the person submitting ty of the information; isclosed or otherwise made available to information is likely to cause substantial not readily discoverable through reverse	
Any knowing ar 1001.	nd willful misrepresentation	on is subject to crin	ninal penalty pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §	
Signature: ES/William Bi	rigman	Official Title		
Contact Person: William K. Bri	gman	Email Addre willian	ess: n.brigman@cgifederal.com	
PART 1	Contact Information	on		
Submission Information	Submission Type: CFR766		Form Alias: CFR766-20230105-14:57:37 EST	
Submitter Information	Date Submitted: Company Name: CDX Test Org Contact Person: William K. Brigma	ın	Address: 123 Main St Virginia Beach, VA, 23462 United States	

Email Address:

william.brigman@cgifederal.com

William K. Brigman

Phone Number:

8289191634

Paperwork Reduction Act: This collection of information is approved by the United States Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq. (OMB Control No. 2070-0033). Responses to this collection of information are mandatory for certain persons, as specified at 15 U.S.C. 2601 et. seq. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to be 137 hours per response. Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden to the Regulatory Support Division Director, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2821T), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20460. Include the OMB control number in any correspondence. Do not send the completed form to this address.

Technical Contact Information	Yes: ✓ No:			
	Company Name:	Address:		
	CDX Test Org	123 Main St		
	Contact Person:	Virginia Beach, VA, 23462		
	Mr William K. Brigman	United States		
	Phone Number:	Email Address:		
	8289191634	william.brlgman@cgifederal.com		
Chemical Identification	Yes: No: V			
	CASRN:	Chemical Name:		
	615-58-7	2,4-Dibromophenol		
PART 2	Letter Of Intent	3		
Sponsoring Firms	Yes: No: V			
	Company Name:	Address:		
	CGI Federal	12601 Fairlakes Cir.		
	Phone Number:	Fairfax, VA, 22033		
	8281111234	United States		
PART 3	Protocol			
Attached	File Name:	Attachment Date:		
Document(s)	TEST ATTACHMENT-	01/05/2023		
C	SANITIZED_1.docx			
	CBI	Sanitized Document Upload:		
X	Yes: No:	TEST ATTACHMENT-2.docx		
	Effects:	Endpoints:		
	Environmental Fate	Photo transformation in water		
PART 4	Test Results			
Attached	File Name:	Attachment Date:		
Document(s)	TEST ATTACHMENT-1.docx	01/05/2023		
	СВІ	Sanitized Document Upload:		
	Yes: No:	TEST ATTACHMENT-3.docx		
	Effects:	Endpoints:		
	Ecotoxicity	Long-term toxicity to fish		
PART 5	CBI Substantiations			

Substantiation Questions

Below are a list of Substantiation questions and the responses filled out in the CBI Substantiation page.

Data Identifier:

Technical Contact Information

1. Please specifically explain what harm to the competitive position of your business would be likely to result from the release of the information claimed as confidential. How would that harm be substantial? Why is the substantial harm to your competitive position likely (i.e., probable) to be caused by release of the information rather than just possible? If you claimed multiple types of information to be confidential (e.g. site information, exposure information, environmental release information, etc.), explain how disclosure of each type of information would be likely to cause substantial harm to the competitive position of your business.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

2. Has your business taken precautions to protect the confidentiality of the disclosed information? If yes, please explain and identify the specific measures, including but not limited to internal controls, that your business has taken to protect the information claimed as confidential. If the same or similar information was previously reported to EPA as non-confidential (such as in an earlier version of this submission), please explain the circumstances of that prior submission and reasons for believing the information is nonetheless still confidential.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

3A. Is any of the information claimed as confidential required to be publicly disclosed under any other Federal law? If yes, please explain.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

3B. Does any of the information claimed as confidential otherwise appear in any public documents, including (but not limited to) safety data sheets; advertising or promotional material; professional or trade publications; state, local, or Federal agency files; or any other media or publications available to the general public? If yes, please explain why the information should be treated as confidential.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

3C. Has a patent been published for the chemical identity you claim confidential? What chemical identity information is not revealed by the patent? How is release of that specific information likely to cause substantial competitive harm? And failure to explain this harm may lead to denial of your confidentiality claim.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

4. Is the claim of confidentiality intended to last less than 10 years (see TSCA section 14(e)(1)(B))? If yes, please indicate the number of years (between 1-10 years) or the specific date after which the claim is withdrawn.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

5. Has EPA, another federal agency, or court made any confidentiality determination regarding information associated with this chemical substance? If yes, please provide the circumstances associated with the prior determination, whether the information was found to be entitled to confidential treatment, the entity that made the decision, and the date of the determination.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

Data Identifier:

Protocol Document Management TEST ATTACHMENT- SANITIZED_1.docx

1. Please specifically explain what harm to the competitive position of your business would be likely to result from the release of the information claimed as confidential. How would that harm be substantial? Why is the substantial harm to your competitive position likely (i.e., probable) to be caused by release of the information rather than just possible? If you claimed multiple types of information to be confidential (e.g. site information, exposure information, environmental release information, etc.), explain how disclosure of each type of information would be likely to cause substantial harm to the competitive position of your business.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

2. Has your business taken precautions to protect the confidentiality of the disclosed information? If yes, please explain and identify the specific measures, including but not limited to internal controls, that your business has taken to protect the information claimed as confidential. If the same or similar information was previously reported to EPA as non-confidential (such as in an earlier version of this submission), please explain the circumstances of that prior submission and reasons for believing the information is nonetheless still confidential.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

3A. Is any of the information claimed as confidential required to be publicly disclosed under any other Federal law? If yes, please explain.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Daananaa Taydud

Response Text: test

3B. Does any of the information claimed as confidential otherwise appear in any public documents, including (but not limited to) safety data sheets; advertising or promotional material; professional or trade publications; state, local, or Federal agency files; or any other media or publications available to the general public? If yes, please explain why the information should be treated as confidential.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

3C. Has a patent been published for the chemical identity you claim confidential? What chemical identity information is not revealed by the patent? How is release of that specific information likely to cause substantial competitive harm? And failure to explain this harm may lead to denial of your confidentiality claim.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

4. Is the claim of confidentiality intended to last less than 10 years (see TSCA section 14(e)(1)(B))? If yes, please indicate the number of years (between 1-10 years) or the specific date after which the claim is withdrawn.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

5. Has EPA, another federal agency, or court made any confidentiality determination regarding information associated with this chemical substance? If yes, please provide the circumstances associated with the prior determination, whether the information was found to be entitled to confidential treatment, the entity that made the decision, and the date of the determination.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

Data Identifier:

Results Document Management - TEST ATTACHMENT-1.docx

1. Please specifically explain what harm to the competitive position of your business would be likely to result from the release of the information claimed as confidential. How would that harm be substantial? Why is the substantial harm to your competitive position likely (i.e., probable) to be caused by release of the information rather than just possible? If you claimed multiple types of information to be confidential (e.g. site information, exposure information, environmental release information, etc.), explain how disclosure of each type of information would be likely to cause substantial harm to the competitive position of your business.

CBI. No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

2. Has your business taken precautions to protect the confidentiality of the disclosed information? If yes, please explain and identify the specific measures, including but not limited to internal controls, that your business has taken to protect the information claimed as confidential. If the same or similar information was previously reported to EPA as non-confidential (such as in an earlier version of this submission), please explain the circumstances of that prior submission and reasons for believing the information is nonetheless still confidential.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

3A. Is any of the information claimed as confidential required to be publicly disclosed under any other Federal law? If yes, please explain.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

3B. Does any of the information claimed as confidential otherwise appear in any public documents, including (but not limited to) safety data sheets; advertising or promotional material; professional or trade publications; state, local, or Federal agency files; or any other media or publications available to the general public? If yes, please explain why the information should be treated as confidential.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

3C. Has a patent been published for the chemical identity you claim confidential? What chemical identity information is not revealed by the patent? How is release of that specific information likely to cause substantial competitive harm? And failure to explain this harm may lead to denial of your confidentiality claim.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

4. Is the claim of confidentiality intended to last less than 10 years (see TSCA section 14(e)(1)(B))? If yes, please indicate the number of years (between 1-10 years) or the specific date after which the claim is withdrawn.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

5. Has EPA, another federal agency, or court made any confidentiality determination regarding information associated with this chemical substance? If yes, please provide the circumstances associated with the prior determination, whether the information was found to be entitled to confidential treatment, the entity that made the decision, and the date of the determination.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

ata Identifier:

Submitting Official Information

1. Please specifically explain what harm to the competitive position of your business would be likely to result from the release of the information claimed as confidential. How would that harm be substantial? Why is the substantial harm to your competitive position likely (i.e., probable) to be caused by release of the information rather than just possible? If you claimed multiple types of information to be confidential (e.g. site information, exposure information, environmental release information, etc.), explain how disclosure of each type of information would be likely to cause substantial harm to the competitive position of your business.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

2. Has your business taken precautions to protect the confidentiality of the disclosed information? If yes, please explain and identify the specific measures, including but not limited to internal controls, that your business has taken to protect the information claimed as confidential. If the same or similar information was previously reported to EPA as non-confidential (such as in an earlier version of this submission), please explain the circumstances of that prior submission and reasons for believing the information is nonetheless still confidential.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

3A. Is any of the information claimed as confidential required to be publicly disclosed under any other Federal law? If yes, please explain.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

3B. Does any of the information claimed as confidential otherwise appear in any public documents, including (but not limited to) safety data sheets; advertising or promotional material; professional or trade publications; state, local, or Federal agency files; or any other media or publications available to the general public? If yes, please explain why the information should be treated as confidential.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: tes

3C. Has a patent been published for the chemical identity you claim confidential? What chemical identity information is not revealed by the patent? How is release of that specific information likely to cause substantial competitive harm? And failure to explain this harm may lead to denial of your confidentiality claim.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

4 is the claim of confidentiality intended to last less than 10 years (see TSCA section 14(e)(1)(B))? If yes, please indicate the number of years (between 1-10 years) or the specific date after which the claim is withdrawn.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

5. Has EPA, another federal agency, or court made any confidentiality determination regarding information associated with this chemical substance? If yes, please provide the circumstances associated with the prior determination, whether the information was found to be entitled to confidential treatment, the entity that made the decision, and the date of the determination.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

Paperwork Reduction Act: The Government Paperwork Elimination Act (GPEA) (44 U.S.C. 3501) provides that, when practicable, Federal organizations use electronic forms, electronic filings, and electronic signatures to conduct official business with the public. EPA's Cross-Media Electronic Reporting Regulation (CROMERR) (40 CFR part 3), published in the Federal Register on October 13, 2005 (70 FR 59848) (FRL-7977-1)(Ref. 2), provides that any requirement in title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) to submit a report directly to EPA can be satisfied with an electronic submission that meets certain conditions once the Agency published a notice in the Federal Register announcing that EPA is prepared to receive certain documents in electronic form. For more information about CROMERR, go to http://www.epa.gov/cromert/.