

United States Environmental Protection Agency Washington DC 20460

MOBINA	wasningtoi	ii, DC 204	00		
Section 4 Submission					
This is an original submission	n:	This is an ame	endment:		
CERTIFICATION					
complete and accur protection for any c substantiate such c the claim has: (i) taken reasonal (ii) determined the the public under a (iii) a reasonable harm to the comp (iv) a reasonable engineering.	rate. I further certify that, pursual confidential information made with claims is true and correct, and the ble measures to protect the contact the information is not required any other Federal law; basis to conclude that disclosure that the person; a basis to believe that the information is	ant to 15 leith this sub nat it is true ofidentiality d to be dis re of the in nd ation is no	Ill information entered on this form is U.S.C. § 2613(c), for all claims for emission, all information submitted to e and correct that the person submitting of the information; sclosed or otherwise made available to information is likely to cause substantial at readily discoverable through reverse and penalty pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §		
Signature: ES/William Brigman		Official Title: consultant			
Contact Person: William K. Brigman Date Signed:		Email Address: william.brigman@cgifederal.com			
PART 1	Contact Information				
Submission Information	Submission Type: ECA		Form Alias: ECA-20230105-14:51:53 EST		
	Federal Register Notice: 70 FR 39630 EPA-HQ-OPP 0071	T-2003-	Chemical Name: Fluoropolymer Composite Substance 1		
Date Submitted:					

Address:

123 Main St

Submitter

Information

Company Name:

CDX Test Org

Paperwork Reduction Act: This collection of information is approved by the United States Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq. (OMB Control No. 2070-0033). Responses to this collection of information are mandatory for certain persons, as specified at 15 U.S.C. 2601 et. seq. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to be 137 hours per response. Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden to the Regulatory Support Division Director, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2821T), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20460. Include the OMB control number in any correspondence. Do not send the completed form to this address.

	Contact Person: William K. Brigman	Virginia Beach, VA, 23462	
	Phone Number:	Email Address:	
	8289191634	william.brigman@cgifederal.com	
Technical Contact Information	Yes: ✓ No:		
	Company Name:	Address:	
	CDX Test Org	123 Main St	
	Contact Person:	Virginia Beach, VA, 23462	
	Mr William K. Brigman	◆United States	
	Phone Number:	Email Address:	
	8289191634	william.brigman@cgifederal.com	
PART 2	Study Plans & Conduct of Testing		
Principal Test	СВІ		
Sponsor	Yes: No:	*	
	Contact Person:	Address:	
	William Brigman	12601 Fairlakes Cir.	
	Phone Number:	Fairfax, VA, 22033	
	8281111234	United States	
	Email Address:		
	william.brigman@cgifederal.com		
Sponsor Organizations	Yes No:		
*	Contact Person:	Address:	
×	Mr William Brigman	12601 Fairlakes Cir.	
	Phone Number:	Fairfax, VA, 22033	
10	8281111234	United States	
1	Email Address:		
	william.brigman@cgifederal.com		
Testing Facilities	Testing Facility:	Address:	
3	CGI Federal	12601 Fairlakes Cir.	
	Phone Number:	Fairfax, VA, 22033	
	8281111234	United States	
	CBI Yes: No: ✓		
	Contact Person:	Address:	
	William Brigman	12601 Fairlakes Cir.	

	Contact Role: ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICIAL	Fairfax, VA, 22033	
	Phone Number: 8281111234	Email Address: william.brigman@cgifederal.com	
PART 3	Test Results		
Attached Document(s)	File Name: Attachment for Testing_1.docx	Attachment Date: 01/05/2023	
	Yes: ✓ No:	Sanitized Document Upload: Attachment for Testing_2.docx	
	Effects: Ecotoxicity	Toxicity to aquatic plants other than algae	
PART 4	CBI Substantiations		
Substantiation Questions	Below are a list of Substantiation questions and the responses filled out in the CBI Substantiation page.		
	Data Identifier: Technical Contact Information		
&	1. Please specifically explain what harm to the competitive position of your business would be likely to result from the release of the information claimed as confidential. How would that harm be substantial? Why is the substantial harm to your competitive position likely (i.e., probable) to be caused by release of the information rather than just possible? If you claimed multiple types of information to be confidential (e.g. site information, exposure information, environmental release information, etc.), explain how disclosure of each type of information would be likely to cause substantial harm to the competitive position of your business. CBL NO Response: Yes		
40%	Response Text: test		
	2. Has your business taken precautions to protect the confidentiality of the disclosed information? If yes, please explain and identify the specific measures, including but not limited to internal controls, that your business has taken to protect the information claimed as confidential. If the same or similar information was previously reported to EPA as non-confidential (such as in an earlier version of this submission), please explain the circumstances of that prior submission and reasons for believing the information is nonetheless still confidential.		
	CBI: No		
	Response: Yes		
	Response Text: test		
	3A. Is any of the information claimed as confidential required to be publicly disclosed under any other Federal law? If yes, please explain.		
	CBI: No		
	Response: Yes		
	Response Text: test		

3B. Does any of the information claimed as confidential otherwise appear in any public documents, including (but not limited to) safety data sheets; advertising or promotional material; professional or trade publications; state, local, or Federal agency files; or any other media or publications available to the general public? If yes, please explain why the information should be treated as confidential.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

3C. Has a patent been published for the chemical identity you claim confidential? What chemical identity information is not revealed by the patent? How is release of that specific information likely to cause substantial competitive harm? And failure to explain this harm may lead to denial of your confidentiality claim.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

4. Is the claim of confidentiality intended to last less than 10 years (see TSCA section 14(e)(1)(B))? If yes, please indicate the number of years (between 1-10 years) or the specific date after which the claim is withdrawn.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

5. Has EPA, another federal agency, or court made any confidentiality determination regarding information associated with this chemical substance? If yes, please provide the circumstances associated with the prior determination, whether the information was found to be entitled to confidential treatment, the entity that made the decision, and the date of the determination,

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

ata Identifier:

Principal Test Sponsor

1. Please specifically explain what harm to the competitive position of your business would be likely to result from the release of the information claimed as confidential. How would that harm be substantial? Why is the substantial harm to your competitive position likely (i.e., probable) to be caused by release of the information rather than just possible? If you claimed multiple types of information to be confidential (e.g. site information, exposure information, environmental release information, etc.), explain how disclosure of each type of information would be likely to cause substantial harm to the competitive position of your business.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

2. Has your business taken precautions to protect the confidentiality of the disclosed information? If yes, please explain and identify the specific measures, including but not limited to internal controls, that your business has taken to protect the information claimed as confidential. If the same or similar information was previously reported to EPA as non-confidential (such as in an earlier version of this submission), please explain the circumstances of that prior submission and reasons for believing the information is nonetheless still confidential.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

3A. Is any of the information claimed as confidential required to be publicly disclosed under any other Federal law? If yes, please explain.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

3B. Does any of the information claimed as confidential otherwise appear in any public documents, including (but not limited to) safety data sheets; advertising or promotional material; professional or trade publications; state, local, or Federal agency files; or any other media or publications available to the general public? If yes, please explain why the information should be treated as confidential.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: tes

3C. Has a patent been published for the chemical identity you claim confidential? What chemical identity information is not revealed by the patent? How is release of that specific information likely to cause substantial competitive harm? And failure to explain this harm may lead to denial of your confidentiality claim.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

4. Is the claim of confidentiality intended to last less than 10 years (see TSCA section 14(e)(1)(B))? If yes, please indicate the number of years (between 1-10 years) or the specific date after which the claim is withdrawn.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

5. Has EPA, another federal agency, or court made any confidentiality determination regarding information associated with this chemical substance? If yes, please provide the circumstances associated with the prior determination, whether the information was found to be entitled to confidential treatment, the entity that made the decision, and the date of the determination.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

Data Identifier:

Results Document Management - Attachment for Testing_1.docx

1. Please specifically explain what harm to the competitive position of your business would be likely to result from the release of the information claimed as confidential. How would that harm be substantial? Why is the substantial harm to your competitive position likely (i.e., probable) to be caused by release of the information rather than just possible? If you claimed multiple types of information to be confidential (e.g. site information, exposure information, environmental release information, etc.), explain how disclosure of each type of information would be likely to cause substantial harm to the competitive position of your business.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

2. Has your business taken precautions to protect the confidentiality of the disclosed information? If yes, please explain and identify the specific measures, including but not limited to internal controls, that your business has taken to protect the information claimed as confidential. If the same or similar information was previously reported to EPA as non-confidential (such as in an earlier version of this submission), please explain the circumstances of that prior submission and reasons for believing the information is nonetheless still confidential.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

3A. Is any of the information claimed as confidential required to be publicly disclosed under any other Federal law? If yes, please explain.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: tes

3B. Does any of the information claimed as confidential otherwise appear in any public documents, including (but not limited to) safety data sheets; advertising or promotional material; professional or trade publications; state, local, or Federal agency files, or any other media or publications available to the general public? If yes, please explain why the information should be treated as confidential.

CBI: NO

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

3C. Has a patent been published for the chemical identity you claim confidential? What chemical identity information is not revealed by the patent? How is release of that specific information likely to cause substantial competitive harm? And failure to explain this harm may lead to denial of your confidentiality claim.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

4. Is the claim of confidentiality intended to last less than 10 years (see TSCA section 14(e)(1)(B))? If yes, please indicate the number of years (between 1-10 years) or the specific date after which the claim is withdrawn.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

5. Has EPA, another federal agency, or court made any confidentiality determination regarding information associated with this chemical substance? If yes, please provide the circumstances associated with the prior determination, whether the information was found to be entitled to confidential treatment, the entity that made the decision, and the date of the determination.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

Data Identifier:

Submitting Official Information

1. Please specifically explain what harm to the competitive position of your business would be likely to result from the release of the information claimed as confidential. How would that harm be substantial? Why is the substantial harm to your competitive position likely (i.e., probable) to be caused by release of the information rather than just possible? If you claimed multiple types of information to be confidential (e.g. site information, exposure information, environmental release information, etc.), explain how disclosure of each type of information would be likely to cause substantial harm to the competitive position of your business.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

2. Has your business taken precautions to protect the confidentiality of the disclosed information? If yes, please explain and identify the specific measures, including but not limited to internal controls, that your business has taken to protect the information claimed as confidential. If the same or similar information was previously reported to EPA as non-confidential (such as in an earlier version of this submission), please explain the circumstances of that prior submission and reasons for believing the information is nonetheless still confidential.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

A. Is any of the information claimed as confidential required to be publicly disclosed under any other Federal law? If ves. please explain.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

3B. Does any of the information claimed as confidential otherwise appear in any public documents, including (but not limited to) safety data sheets; advertising or promotional material; professional or trade publications; state, local, or Federal agency files; or any other media or publications available to the general public? If yes, please explain why the information should be treated as confidential.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

3C. Has a patent been published for the chemical identity you claim confidential? What chemical identity information is not revealed by the patent? How is release of that specific information likely to cause substantial competitive harm? And failure to explain this harm may lead to denial of your confidentiality claim.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

4. Is the claim of confidentiality intended to last less than 10 years (see TSCA section 14(e)(1)(B))? If yes, please indicate the number of years (between 1-10 years) or the specific date after which the claim is withdrawn.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

5. Has EPA, another federal agency, or court made any confidentiality determination regarding information associated with this chemical substance? If yes, please provide the circumstances associated with the prior determination, whether the information was found to be entitled to confidential treatment, the entity that made the decision, and the date of the determination.

CBI: No

Response: Yes

Response Text: test

Paperwork Reduction Act: The Government Paperwork Elimination Act (GPEA) (44 U.S.c. 3501) provides that, when practicable, Federal organizations use electronic forms, electronic filings, and electronic signatures to conduct official business with the public. EPA's Cross-Media Electronic Reporting Regulation (CROMERR) (40 CFR part 3), published in the Federal Register on October 13, 2005 (70 FR 59848) (FRL-7977-1)(Ref. 2), provides that any requirement in title 40 of the Gode of Federal Regulations (CFR) to submit a report directly to EPA can be satisfied with an electronic submission that meets certain conditions once the Agency published a notice in the Federal Register announcing that EPA is prepared to receive certain documents in electronic form. For more information about CROMERR, go to http://www.epa.gov/cromert/.