*OMB#0925-0648*

*Expiration Date: 06/30/2024*

**NCCIH’s BRIM Investigator Post-Meeting Survey**

Thank you for attending NCCIH’s Behavioral Research to Improve Medication-Based Treatment (BRIM) Investigator Meeting that was held on May 3, 2022. NCCIH has an interest in learning if the meeting achieved its intended purpose (e.g., sharing information and group discussion) and was informative for investigators. Information gathered will help structure next year’s BRIM investigator meeting in order for the meeting to be useful for attendees. All responses will be kept confidential, and no identifiers are being collected, ensuring all responses are anonymous.

Special Accommodations: Individuals with disabilities who need reasonable accommodation to participate in this survey should contact Edgar Dews at Edgar.Dews@nih.gm, 301-402-1366, and/or the Federal Relay (1-800-877-8339).

1. Presentations/Discussions were informative.
* Strongly agree
* Somewhat agree
* Neither agree nor disagree
* Somewhat disagree
* Strongly disagree
1. Presentations/Discussions were clear.
* Strongly agree
* Somewhat agree
* Neither agree nor disagree
* Somewhat disagree
* Strongly disagree
1. The meeting achieved its intended purpose, (e.g., sharing of information, group discussion).
* Strongly agree
* Somewhat agree
* Neither agree nor disagree
* Somewhat disagree
* Strongly disagree
1. How would you rate the duration of the event?
* About right
* Too long
* Too short
1. Overall, I am satisfied with this meeting and I feel my time was well spent.
* Strongly agree
* Somewhat agree
* Neither agree nor disagree
* Somewhat disagree
* Strongly disagree
1. Overall, I believe that the meeting will advance the program in the right direction.
* Strongly agree
* Somewhat agree
* Neither agree nor disagree
* Somewhat disagree
* Strongly disagree
1. I would look forward to another meeting like this one in the near future.
* Strongly agree
* Somewhat agree
* Neither agree nor disagree
* Somewhat disagree
* Strongly disagree
1. Items that were exceptionally good or deserve recognition, please list:
2. What would have made the event better?
3. Additional comments:

