



# Quarterly Hogs and Pigs Methodology and Quality Measures

ISSN: 2166-9813

---

Released December 23, 2019, by the National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS), Agricultural Statistics Board, United States Department of Agriculture (USDA).

## Quarterly Hogs and Pigs Survey Methodology

**Scope and Purpose:** The Hogs and Pigs Survey is conducted quarterly in December, March, June, and September. The survey targets hog and pig producers in the United States. The survey collects data for total hog inventory and other components including breeding herd, market hog inventory, market hogs by weight group, farrowings, pig crop, and litter rate. Additional data is collected for death loss, on-farm and custom slaughter, inventory values, and hogs raised under contract. Data is published for 16 major states every quarter except December when every state is published.

**Survey Timeline:** The reference date for the Hogs and Pigs Survey is the first day of the quarterly month with a data collection period of 15 days. Regional Field Offices (RFO) may begin data collection one day prior to the reference date. Data collection continues until a scheduled ending date and RFO have about four or five business days to complete editing and analysis, execute the summary, and interpret the survey results. The Agricultural Statistics Board (ASB) must perform the national review, reconcile state estimates to the national estimates, and prepare the official estimates for release in five or six business days. The estimates are usually released to the public by the last week in the quarterly month. The publication date may change due to the timing of federal holidays.

**Sampling:** The target population for the Hogs and Pigs Survey is all agricultural establishments with one or more hogs or pigs owned by the operation. NASS uses a dual frame approach, consisting of list frame and area frame components, to provide complete coverage of this target population. The Hog Survey is conducted for every state.

The list frame includes all known agricultural establishments. A profile, known as control data, of each establishment is maintained on the list frame to allow NASS to define list frame sampling populations for specific surveys and to employ efficient sampling designs. Only list frame records with recent positive hog control data are included in the list frame population. In December, a base sample is selected for all states in the survey. During the follow-on quarters, the list sample is split into five replicates and only a partial number of replicates are contacted. This is done to reduce the burden of multiple survey contacts on the respondents in one calendar year. The list frame hog population covers approximately 97 percent of hog inventory in the United States.

The area frame contains all land in the state and, as such, is complete. The land is stratified according to intensity of agriculture using satellite imagery. The land in each stratum is divided into segments of roughly one square mile. Segments are optimally allocated and sampled to effectively measure crops and livestock. The sampled segments are fully enumerated in June. All farms and ranches found operating tracts in these segments are checked to see if they are included in the list frame hog population. The farms and ranches that are not included in the list frame hog population, called nonoverlap tracts, are sampled for the December Hogs and Pigs Survey so that the target population is completely represented. The area frame component of the December Hogs and Pigs Survey covers approximately one percent of the December hog inventory in the United States. The area frame component is modeled for the other three quarters to reduce respondent burden.

The Hogs and Pigs Survey list frame sample is selected using a hierarchical stratified sampling design with strata defined by total hogs and pigs. The sample is a panel sample and is designed to achieve a standard error of one percent of the point estimate for total hogs and pigs at a national level. The United States list frame sample size for the Hogs and Pigs Survey in recent years is approximately 6,500 in December and 5,500 in March, June, and September. The Hogs and Pigs Survey nonoverlap sample uses a stratified sample design based on data collected in the June Area Frame Survey. The

area frame sample size is approximately 1,000. Each list frame and area frame sampling unit is assigned a sampling weight which is used to create the survey estimates.

**Data Collection and Editing:** For consistency across modes, the paper version is considered the master questionnaire and the web and Computer Assisted Telephone Interview (CATI) instruments are built to model the paper instrument. Questionnaire content and format are evaluated annually through a specifications process where requests for changes are evaluated and approved or disapproved. Input may vary from question wording or formatting to a program change involving the deletion or modification of current questions or addition of new ones. If there are significant changes to either the content or format proposed, a NASS survey methodologist will pre-test the changes for usability. Prior to the start of data collection, all modes of instruments are reviewed and web and CATI instruments are thoroughly tested.

All federal data collections require approval by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). NASS must document the public need for the data, apply sound statistical practice, prove the data does not already exist elsewhere, and ensure the public is not excessively burdened. The Hogs and Pigs Report questionnaire must display an active OMB number that gives NASS the authority to conduct the survey, a statement of the purpose of the survey and the use of the data being collected, a response burden statement that gives an estimate of the time required to complete the form, a confidentiality statement that the respondent's information will only be used for statistical purposes in combination with other producers, and a statement saying that response to the survey is voluntary and not required by law.

In addition to asking the specific hog inventory items, all instruments collect information to verify the sampled unit, determine any changes in the name or address, identify any partners to detect possible duplication, verify the farm still qualifies for the target population, and identify any additional operations operated by the sampled operator.

Sampled farms and ranches receive a pre-survey letter explaining the survey and informing them that they will be contacted for survey purposes only. The letter provides the questions to be asked to allow respondents to prepare in advance and also provides a pass code they can use to complete the survey on the internet. All modes of data collection are utilized for hog surveys. RFO are given the option of conducting a mail out/mail back phase. While mail is the least costly mode of collection, the short data collection period and the uncertainty of postal delivery times limit its effectiveness. Most of the data are collected by computer-assisted telephone interviews (CATI) by RFO and Data Collection Centers. Limited personal interviewing is done, generally for large operations or those with special handling arrangements. A program is run to determine if any sampled farms are in multiple on-going surveys, so data collection can be coordinated.

**Survey Edit:** As survey data are collected and captured, they are edited for consistency and reasonableness using automated systems. The edit logic ensures the coding of administrative data follows the methodological rules associated with the survey design. Relationships between data items on the current survey are verified and in certain situations those items may be compared to data from earlier surveys to make sure certain relationships are logical. The edit will determine the status of each record to be either "dirty" or "clean". Dirty records must be updated and reedited or certified by an analyst to be clean. If updates are needed, they are reedited interactively. Only clean records are eligible for analysis and summary.

**Analysis Tools:** Edited hog data are processed through an interactive analysis tool which displays data for all reports by item. The tool provides scatter plots, tables, charts, and special tabulations that allow the analyst to compare an individual record to other similar records within their state. Outliers and unusual data relationships become evident and RFO staff will review them to determine if they are correct. The tool also allows comparison to an operation's previously reported data to detect large changes. Suspect data found to be in error are corrected, while data found to be correct are kept.

**Nonsampling Errors:** Nonsampling errors are present in any survey process. These errors include reporting, recording, editing, and imputation errors. Steps are taken to minimize the impact of these errors, such as questionnaire testing, comprehensive interviewer training, validation and verification of processing systems, detailed computer edits, and the analysis tool.

**Estimators:** Each farm and ranch in the sample has an initial sampling weight. This is the inverse of the sampling fraction. For example, if a stratum has 1,000 farms in the population and 200 are sampled for this survey, each sampled

farm has a weight of 5. In other words, each sampled farm represents 5 farms. The nonoverlap tracts sampled to measure the hogs and pigs not accounted for by the list have a weight determined by adjusting their original area frame weight by any second stage sampling weight.

Response to the Hogs and Pigs Survey is voluntary. Some producers refuse to participate in the survey. Others cannot be located during the data collection period and some submit incomplete reports. These nonrespondents must be accounted for if accurate estimates of hogs are to be made. For the Hogs and Pigs Survey, nonrespondents are accounted for by adjusting the weights of the respondents. The adjustment occurs by stratum as the bounded strata represent homogeneous groupings of similar sized farms. The largest stratum is unbounded and is made up of large and, often unique, farms. Nonrespondents in this stratum and the nonoverlap tracts must be manually imputed by RFO statisticians and their weights are not adjusted. The adjustment is performed by individual item on the questionnaire (total hogs, market hogs, pig crop) so adjustments for item nonresponse (partial reports) and unit nonresponse (refusals and inaccessibles) are done in a single calculation.

Two estimators are used to compute direct measures of the hog inventory items. The “reweighted” estimator and the “adjusted” estimator are computationally identical except in how the nonresponse adjustments are made. The reweighted estimator uses a global weight adjustment across all usable reports. Using the previous example, if 180 of the original 200 respond, the weights of the 180 will be adjusted to 1,000 divided by 180, or 5.56. The nonresponse weight adjustment for the adjusted estimator uses an additional piece of information. When a sampled farm refuses to cooperate, interviewers will probe to determine the presence of hogs even though the number is not known. This presence/absence indicator is used in the weight adjustment.

Point estimates, also called direct expansions, for both estimators are calculated by multiplying the reported value by the nonresponse weight and summing to a stratum total. A variance estimate is also computed at the stratum level. The nonoverlap tracts are treated as an additional stratum. Totals and variances are additive across strata to form a state estimate and states are additive to a national estimate.

Ratio estimates are also computed for many items. For example, market hogs can be estimated as a percent of total inventory. A matched record ratio of current quarter data to previous quarter data is used to indicate change. Ratio indications use the reweighted estimator described above for the numerator and denominator direct expansions. Both the numerator and denominator must be complete in order for that record to be used in the ratio estimator.

**Estimation:** When all samples are accounted for, all responses fully edited, and the analysis material is reviewed, each RFO executes summaries for their states. When all states have been summarized, Headquarters executes the national summary. Since all states conduct identical surveys, the samples can be pooled and national survey results computed. The summary results provide multiple point estimates and their standard errors for each data series being estimated. It also provides information used to assess the performance of the current survey and evaluate the quality of the survey estimates, such as strata level expansions, response rates, and percent of the expansion from usable reports.

RFO are responsible for performing a detailed review of their survey results. Any irregularities revealed by the summary must be investigated and, if necessary, resolved. Using the historical relationship of the survey estimates to the official estimate, RFO must interpret the survey results and submit a recommended estimate to Headquarters for all data series for which they are in the NASS program. The data are viewed in tabular and graphical form and a consensus estimate is established. RFO see their survey results only and do not have access to other Regions’ results. For some data series, information from other sources is also utilized in the process of establishing estimates. This includes commercial slaughter data, imports, and exports.

For the national estimates, NASS assembles a panel of statisticians to serve as the ASB which reviews the national results and establishes the national estimates. Since larger sample sizes yield more precise results, NASS employs the “top-down” approach by determining the national estimates first and reconciling the state estimates to the national number for hog inventory, pig crop, and farrowings. The ASB has the advantage of being able to examine results across states, compare the state recommendations, and utilize administrative data available only at the United States level. The same estimators used in the state summaries are produced by the national summary. The Board follows the same approach the states do in determining the national estimate. The historical relationship of the survey estimates to the official estimate is

evaluated over time to determine accuracy and bias using tables and graphs. Every 5 years NASS conducts the Census of Agriculture, which is an exhaustive data collection effort for all known farm operations across the United States. The information gathered from the Census of Agriculture is used to establish “bench mark” levels by which the survey estimators can be compared and bias determined. Survey based estimators can also be impacted by outliers – individual reports that have excessive influence on the results due to either improper classification or extremely unusual data for a given operation (i.e. the operation is not representative of other operations). NASS thoroughly reviews the survey data to identify these situations and considers their impact on the survey results when establishing the official estimates.

External information (administrative data) is also utilized in the process of setting estimates. In order to be considered fit for use, these data must be deemed to be reliable and come from unbiased sources. The most common administrative data is commercial slaughter. NASS employs a balance sheet approach whenever possible to ensure that estimates are as accurate as possible. This approach typically is limited to national-level estimates. A balance sheet and its components are reviewed when the inventory numbers are established. Commercial slaughter is an important element of the balance sheet at the national level since its high degree of reliability is based on a near-actual count of animals slaughtered. Live United States imports and exports to other countries are also considered.

Subtracting the disposition components of the balance sheet from supply components should, theoretically, give the current inventory. However, each component of the balance sheet has varying degrees of possible estimation error. To be most useful as an indication of inventory, therefore, each component should be estimated on the basis of all available information. The supply components of the United States balance sheet are the beginning inventory, births, and imports (inshipments for State balance sheets). From this supply, the disposition components – commercial slaughter (marketings at State level), farm slaughter, deaths, and exports – are subtracted. The result is the indicated number on hand at the end of the period or year.

## Quality Metrics for Hogs and Pigs

**Purpose and Definitions:** Under the guidance of the Statistical Policy Office of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), the United States Department of Agriculture’s National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS) provides data users with quality metrics for its published data series. The metrics tables below describe the performance data for all surveys contributing to the publication. The accuracy of data products may be evaluated through sampling and non-sampling error. The measurement of error due to sampling in the current period is irrelevant for a fully enumerated data series. Non-sampling error is evaluated by response rates and the percent of the estimate from usable reports.

**Sample size** is the number of observations selected from the population to represent a characteristic of the population. Operations that did not have the item of interest or were out of business at the time of data collection have been excluded.

**Response rate** is the proportion of the above sample size that completed the survey.

**Percent of expansion from usable reports** is a ratio of survey data expanded by the original sampling weight compared to survey data expanded by the nonresponse adjusted weight .

**Coefficient of variation** provides a measure of the size for the standard error relative to the point estimate and is used to measure the precision of the results of a survey estimator.

**Hogs and Pigs Survey Sample Size and Response Rates:** To assist in evaluating the performance of the estimates in the hogs and pigs report, the sample size and response rates are displayed. Response rates overall for 2018 and 2019 are displayed.

### Hogs and Pigs Survey Sample Size and Response Rates - United States: December 1, 2018-2019

|                     | Sample size |          | Response rates |           |
|---------------------|-------------|----------|----------------|-----------|
|                     | 2018        | 2019     | 2018           | 2019      |
|                     | (number)    | (number) | (percent)      | (percent) |
| United States ..... | 6,892       | 5,808    | 58.8           | 58.5      |

### Quality Metrics for December 1 Hogs and Pigs – United States: 2018 and 2019

|                         | Percent of expansion from usable reports |           | Coefficient of variation |           |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|
|                         | 2018                                     | 2019      | 2018                     | 2019      |
|                         | (percent)                                | (percent) | (percent)                | (percent) |
| All hogs and pigs ..... | 92.0                                     | 92.4      | 1.0                      | 0.4       |
| Kept for breeding ..... | 92.1                                     | 92.9      | 0.7                      | 0.6       |
| Market .....            | 92.0                                     | 92.3      | 1.1                      | 0.5       |
| Sows farrowed .....     | 92.5                                     | 93.4      | 0.8                      | 0.8       |
| Litter rate .....       | 92.5                                     | 93.4      | 0.1                      | 0.1       |
| Pig crop .....          | 92.8                                     | 93.9      | 0.7                      | 0.7       |

## Hogs and Pigs Survey Sample Size and Response Rates – United States: 2018 and 2019

|                      | Sample size      |                  | Response rate     |                   | Percent Expansion from Usable Reports |                   | Coefficient of Variation |                   |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
|                      | 2018<br>(number) | 2019<br>(number) | 2018<br>(percent) | 2019<br>(percent) | 2018<br>(percent)                     | 2019<br>(percent) | 2018<br>(percent)        | 2019<br>(percent) |
| Alabama .....        | 56               | 45               | 51.8              | 53.3              | 96.8                                  | 91.1              | 5.0                      | 4.9               |
| Alaska .....         | 25               | 21               | 64.0              | 57.1              | 86.0                                  | 81.0              | 7.6                      | 6.8               |
| Arizona .....        | 27               | 26               | 55.6              | 69.2              | 98.0                                  | 99.9              | 1.6                      | 0.4               |
| Arkansas .....       | 62               | 45               | 66.1              | 73.3              | 99.1                                  | 98.8              | 5.2                      | 1.8               |
| California .....     | 82               | 77               | 63.4              | 48.1              | 89.9                                  | 90.4              | 8.2                      | 10.6              |
| Colorado .....       | 63               | 43               | 44.4              | 53.5              | 99.6                                  | 99.4              | 0.3                      | 0.6               |
| Connecticut .....    | 51               | 41               | 35.3              | 46.3              | 49.1                                  | 45.9              | 20.6                     | 24.2              |
| Delaware .....       | 28               | 28               | 42.9              | 35.7              | 97.7                                  | 97.2              | 2.4                      | 1.6               |
| Florida .....        | 117              | 59               | 52.1              | 39.0              | 67.9                                  | 68.5              | 13.0                     | 10.6              |
| Georgia .....        | 76               | 42               | 51.3              | 50.0              | 92.3                                  | 92.2              | 3.6                      | 5.4               |
| Hawaii .....         | 42               | 41               | 47.6              | 48.8              | 65.8                                  | 46.9              | 21.1                     | 25.6              |
| Idaho .....          | 55               | 43               | 65.5              | 48.8              | 97.5                                  | 95.3              | 8.2                      | 7.9               |
| Illinois .....       | 608              | 497              | 60.2              | 60.2              | 89.8                                  | 90.9              | 1.0                      | 0.9               |
| Indiana .....        | 505              | 425              | 68.1              | 67.3              | 93.1                                  | 92.8              | 0.7                      | 1.1               |
| Iowa .....           | 924              | 885              | 53.0              | 54.0              | 87.6                                  | 89.7              | 1.2                      | 0.9               |
| Kansas .....         | 155              | 131              | 45.2              | 42.0              | 96.0                                  | 94.5              | 0.6                      | 1.7               |
| Kentucky .....       | 62               | 70               | 54.8              | 67.1              | 93.5                                  | 96.7              | 3.8                      | 1.0               |
| Louisiana .....      | 61               | 51               | 62.3              | 78.4              | 66.3                                  | 62.1              | 20.6                     | 22.3              |
| Maine .....          | 62               | 52               | 69.4              | 57.7              | 75.6                                  | 46.6              | 14.6                     | 25.3              |
| Maryland .....       | 39               | 40               | 66.7              | 50.0              | 94.4                                  | 87.1              | 4.4                      | 6.8               |
| Massachusetts .....  | 57               | 59               | 28.1              | 57.6              | 82.5                                  | 81.6              | 4.4                      | 17.5              |
| Michigan .....       | 149              | 103              | 74.5              | 71.8              | 97.6                                  | 97.0              | 1.2                      | 1.7               |
| Minnesota .....      | 590              | 565              | 59.0              | 57.0              | 84.7                                  | 85.4              | 7.9                      | 2.1               |
| Mississippi .....    | 51               | 41               | 66.7              | 75.6              | 99.9                                  | 99.7              | 0.2                      | 0.3               |
| Missouri .....       | 262              | 226              | 58.8              | 55.3              | 97.0                                  | 94.8              | 0.6                      | 1.0               |
| Montana .....        | 81               | 75               | 79.0              | 82.7              | 99.1                                  | 99.0              | 0.7                      | 0.8               |
| Nebraska .....       | 478              | 389              | 58.4              | 59.4              | 92.9                                  | 94.0              | 0.8                      | 0.6               |
| Nevada .....         | 26               | 18               | 65.4              | 55.6              | 90.4                                  | 93.8              | 4.3                      | 5.9               |
| New Hampshire .....  | 61               | 53               | 50.8              | 52.8              | 85.7                                  | 75.9              | 12.8                     | 11.2              |
| New Jersey .....     | 39               | 24               | 69.2              | 62.5              | 91.2                                  | 76.0              | 39.0                     | 19.4              |
| New Mexico .....     | 44               | 34               | 36.4              | 61.8              | 40.6                                  | 67.1              | 18.0                     | 17.9              |
| New York .....       | 89               | 63               | 53.9              | 55.6              | 83.6                                  | 84.0              | 10.1                     | 7.9               |
| North Carolina ..... | 68               | 64               | 61.8              | 62.5              | 99.8                                  | 99.4              | 0.1                      | 0.2               |
| North Dakota .....   | 87               | 55               | 62.1              | 63.6              | 92.4                                  | 99.2              | 7.0                      | 0.8               |
| Ohio .....           | 347              | 279              | 53.9              | 58.1              | 96.0                                  | 92.7              | 0.9                      | 3.9               |
| Oklahoma .....       | 74               | 52               | 54.1              | 61.5              | 99.9                                  | 99.7              | 0.1                      | 0.1               |
| Oregon .....         | 73               | 65               | 52.1              | 44.6              | 74.9                                  | 78.5              | 12.6                     | 36.0              |
| Pennsylvania .....   | 200              | 164              | 69.5              | 49.4              | 95.2                                  | 92.1              | 1.0                      | 3.4               |
| Rhode Island .....   | 25               | 20               | 24.0              | 60.0              | 87.5                                  | 85.0              | 5.7                      | 11.7              |
| South Carolina ..... | 65               | 42               | 56.9              | 50.0              | 98.1                                  | 99.2              | 0.9                      | 1.7               |
| South Dakota .....   | 209              | 184              | 70.8              | 66.8              | 91.5                                  | 89.9              | 1.5                      | 2.2               |
| Tennessee .....      | 65               | 66               | 66.2              | 69.7              | 98.9                                  | 99.1              | 1.3                      | 0.9               |
| Texas .....          | 104              | 91               | 58.7              | 62.6              | 99.1                                  | 99.3              | 0.9                      | 0.5               |
| Utah .....           | 27               | 23               | 77.8              | 87.0              | 100.0                                 | 99.9              | 0.2                      | 0.3               |
| Vermont .....        | 67               | 54               | 74.6              | 57.4              | 94.9                                  | 93.7              | 36.6                     | 44.5              |
| Virginia .....       | 40               | 29               | 70.0              | 62.1              | 98.8                                  | 97.8              | 2.3                      | 2.1               |
| Washington .....     | 69               | 45               | 59.4              | 46.7              | 75.6                                  | 87.0              | 15.1                     | 48.6              |
| West Virginia .....  | 69               | 50               | 78.3              | 74.0              | 80.6                                  | 62.8              | 26.7                     | 14.3              |
| Wisconsin .....      | 248              | 195              | 50.4              | 59.5              | 78.2                                  | 78.1              | 13.2                     | 9.8               |
| Wyoming .....        | 28               | 18               | 53.6              | 72.2              | 98.2                                  | 99.3              | 4.4                      | 1.1               |
| United States .....  | 6,892            | 5,808            | 58.8              | 58.5              | 92.0                                  | 92.4              | 1.0                      | 0.4               |

## Information Contacts

| Process                          | Unit   | Telephone      | Email               |
|----------------------------------|--|----------------|---------------------|
| Estimation .....                 | Livestock Branch                                     | (202) 720-3570 | HQ_SD_LB@usda.gov   |
| Data Collection .....            | Survey Administration Branch                         | (202) 720-3895 | HQ_CSD_SAB@usda.gov |
| Questionnaires .....             | Data Collection Branch                               | (202) 720-6201 | HQ_CSD_DCB@usda.gov |
| Sampling and Editing .....       | Sampling Editing and Imputation Methodology Branch   | (202) 720-5805 | HQ_CSD_SB@usda.gov  |
| Summary and Estimators .....     | Summary Estimation and Disclosure Methodology Branch | (202) 720-4008 | HQ_SD_SMB@usda.gov  |
| Dissemination .....              | Data Dissemination Office                            | (202) 720-3869 | HQSDOD@usda.gov     |
| Media Contact and Webmaster .... | Public Affairs Office                                | (202) 720-2639 | HQOAPAO@usda.gov    |

## Access to NASS Reports

For your convenience, you may access NASS reports and products the following ways:

- All reports are available electronically, at no cost, on the NASS web site: [www.nass.usda.gov](http://www.nass.usda.gov)
- Both national and state specific reports are available via a free e-mail subscription. To set-up this free subscription, visit [www.nass.usda.gov](http://www.nass.usda.gov) and click on “National” or “State” in upper right corner above “search” box to create an account and select the reports you would like to receive.
- Cornell’s Mann Library has launched a new website housing NASS’s and other agency’s archived reports. The new website, <https://usda.library.cornell.edu>. All email subscriptions containing reports will be sent from the new website, <https://usda.library.cornell.edu>. To continue receiving the reports via e-mail, you will have to go to the new website, create a new account and re-subscribe to the reports. If you need instructions to set up an account or subscribe, they are located at: <https://usda.library.cornell.edu/help>. You should whitelist [notifications@usda-esmis.library.cornell.edu](mailto:notifications@usda-esmis.library.cornell.edu) in your email client to avoid the emails going into spam/junk folders.

For more information on NASS surveys and reports, call the NASS Agricultural Statistics Hotline at (800) 727-9540, 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. ET, or e-mail: [nass@usda.gov](mailto:nass@usda.gov).

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination against its customers, employees, and applicants for employment on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, sex, gender identity, religion, reprisal, and where applicable, political beliefs, marital status, familial or parental status, sexual orientation, or all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program, or protected genetic information in employment or in any program or activity conducted or funded by the Department. (Not all prohibited bases will apply to all programs and/or employment activities.)

If you wish to file a Civil Rights program complaint of discrimination, complete the [USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form](#) (PDF), found online at [www.ascr.usda.gov/filing-program-discrimination-complaint-usda-customer](http://www.ascr.usda.gov/filing-program-discrimination-complaint-usda-customer), or at any USDA office, or call (866) 632-9992 to request the form. You may also write a letter containing all of the information requested in the form. Send your completed complaint form or letter to us by mail at U.S. Department of Agriculture, Director, Office of Adjudication, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410, by fax (202) 690-7442 or email at [program.intake@usda.gov](mailto:program.intake@usda.gov).