Public Comments (regulations.gov): <u>USCIS-2018-0002</u> **60-day FRN Citation** (federalregister.gov): <u>88 FR 14630</u>

Comment #	Commenter ID	Comment	USCIS Response
1.		Commenter: Jean Publiee	
	0018	Stop allowing this form at all to freeloaders. the cost of this application has bankrupted the American citizenry who are paying for free food, free school, free medical and free rent for these leaches from foreign countries. keep them out. we have done enough. taxpayers just paid for one illegal immigrant in an Atlanta hospital who had almost two million dollars of free medical care. that is what is happening to us. we get no free medical care like that. what the hell is going on anyway. the citizens of this country cannot afford this anymore. where are the goodies for the working people of America. they are vanishing. Shut down this anti American program. let them pay ten thousand times as much for this form. yes, ten thousand times as much for this form why is this agency making American citizens the dupes and jerks here. this program is a horror. you are playing Americans for suckers. we have no disposable income left and becoming penniless and homeless. do you see the homeless Americans on the streets who cannot afford a home anymore. keep the foreigners out. shut down this program of reduced anything. stop all benefits for foreigners now.	Response: This comment is out of scope for the proposed revision. The revisions proposed through this action were limited to updating the Certification section and minor grammar changes.
2.		Commenter: Coalition of Organizations within the Naturalization Working Group	
	0020	See attached file(s)	Response: See Comment
	(see attachment)	dec accuerca me(s)	Responses below labeled with Commenter ID: 0020. The information in the attachment from the public comment (0020) was separated into different

Public Comments (regulations.gov): <u>USCIS-2018-0002</u> **60-day FRN Citation** (federalregister.gov): <u>88 FR 14630</u>

			sections in this comment matrix to address each portion of information individually.
			See Comment # 3. – 5.
3.		Commenter: Coalition of	
		Organizations within the	
		Naturalization Working Group	
	0020	A. Clarifying the Instructions for Part 2,	Response: The revisions
		Item 1, Information About Family	proposed through this action
		Members Filing This Request With You	were limited to updating the
		and Part 3, Item 3, Your Household Size	Certification section and minor
		ADAG Marakaran arabarah	grammar changes. USCIS may
		NWG Members report applicants	consider these
		having difficulty understanding which family members need to	recommendations in a separate
		be included in the tables in Part 2, Item	comprehensive revision action of the I-942 form and
		1 and Part 3, Item 3. USCIS proposes no	instructions.
		revisions to the Instructions and the	mstructions.
		Form for either of these items. NWG	
		recommends USCIS clarify the	
		Instructions and accompanying text on	
		the Form for both of these items,	
		because they could confuse and	
		possibly mislead applicants.	
		USCIS should change the language in	
		the Instructions and on the Form for	
		Part 2, Item 1 to make it clear that it is	
		requesting the names of all other	
		family members who are both filing	
		Form N-400 and requesting the	
		reduced fee.	
		For Part 3, Item 3, USCIS seeks to elicit	
		from the applicant: 1) whether the	
		applicant provides primary financial	
		support for the household as the head	
		of the household, 2) if not the	
		applicant, who the head of household	
		is, and 3) who the other members of	
		the household are. If the applicant is	
		not the head of the household, to	
		collect the name of the family member	

Public Comments (regulations.gov): <u>USCIS-2018-0002</u> **60-day FRN Citation** (federalregister.gov): <u>88 FR 14630</u>

		who is, USCIS must rely on the	
		applicant selecting "no" in response to	
		Part 3, Item 3 and then listing the name	
		of the head of the household on the	
		second line of the accompanying table.	
		As for the other members of the	
		household, Part 3, Item 3 of the Form	
		only mentions listing the applicant's	
		name or the applicant's name and the	
		head of household's name—making no	
		mention of other	
		members of the household. The Form	
		language should be changed, along	
		with conforming changes to the	
		Instructions, to ensure the three	
		categories of information USCIS wishes	
		to collect are clearer to applicants.	
4.		Commenter: Coalition of	
		Organizations within the	
		Naturalization Working Group	
	<u>0020</u>	B. Need for Online Filing of Form I-942	Response: USCIS appreciates
			that providing an online filing
		Providing an online filing option for	option for Form I-942 would
		Form I-942 would minimize the burden	create efficiencies. USCIS
		of the collection of	continues to add new form
		information. Currently, applicants can	options for electronic filing
		file Form N-400 online or on paper, but	based on an internal schedule
		can only file an accompanying Form I-	and agency priorities. USCIS
		942 on paper. Because an applicant can	hopes to provide an online filing
		only file Form I-942 on paper, they	option for Form I-942 in the
		must also file Form N-400 on paper	future.
		(see citation on attachment).	
		While many low-income applicants may	
		not have access to the computer	
		technology or broadband internet	
		access needed to file Form I-942 online,	
		where that access exists, low-income	
		applicants should have the same	
Í	i .	opportunity to file their Form N-400	
		1 ''	
		online as higher-income applicants do.	
		online as higher-income applicants do. We note that filing the Form N-400	
		online as higher-income applicants do.	

Public Comments (regulations.gov): <u>USCIS-2018-0002</u> **60-day FRN Citation** (federalregister.gov): <u>88 FR 14630</u>

	ī		1
		USCIS. Efficiency measures should not be reserved for those of higher financial means. We urge USCIS to move forward with providing online filing for Form I-942 as swiftly as possible.	
5.		Commenter: Coalition of	
		Organizations within the	
		Naturalization Working Group	
	0020	C. Increase Threshold for Eligibility of Reduced Fee When USCIS solicited comments on changes to its fee schedule in January 2023, it asked for comments on the appropriate level of income that USCIS should use to determine eligibility for the reduced fee. We would like to reiterate comments made at that time that the requirement that household income be less than 200 percent of the federal poverty guidelines still prevents a significant number of lower- and moderate-income LPRs from qualifying for the reduced cost, making naturalization unaffordable. Thus, we recommend that the agency adopt an income threshold of 250% or less for applicants to qualify for the reduced fee (see citation on attachment).	Response: USCIS cannot adjust the income eligibility threshold for a reduced Form N-400 fee in a form revision action under the PRA because that FPG percentage is codified at 8 CFR 103.7(b)(1)(i)(BBB)(1)(Oct. 1, 2020).USCIS is reviewing the FPG threshold as part of the USCIS fee rule. However, we are unable to make a change for this version of the form.
6.		Commenter: Duplicate Comment Submitted by Coalition of Organizations within the Naturalization Working Group	
	0021	See attached file(s)	Response: This is a duplicate comment submitted to Commenter ID: 0020. Please see Comment Responses labeled with Commenter ID: 0020 for responses to the attachment in the duplicate public comment (0021).

Public Comments (regulations.gov): <u>USCIS-2018-0002</u> **60-day FRN Citation** (federalregister.gov): <u>88 FR 14630</u>

			See Comment # 3. – 5.
7.		Commenter: Make the Road New York	
	0022 (see attachment)	See attached file(s)	Response: See Comment Responses below labeled with Commenter ID: 0022. The information in the attachment from the public comment (0022) was separated into different sections in this comment matrix to address each portion of information individually.
			See Comment # 8. – 9.
8.	0022	A. Form I-942, Request for Reduced Fee should be as clear as possibly in regards to the form and its instruction. There are several parts of the proposed form that invite confusion. For example, it is likely that Part 2 in the form (which provides an opportunity for "family members" to be listed in addition to the requester for the reduced fee) and Part 3 (which requires "household size" and the listing of the household members) will be confusing for our community members. The agency should add more specificity and clarity on both the form and instructions, to make it less confusing for applicants with diverse living arrangements, such as applicants in multi-generational homes. We have worked on cases where, for example, a client resided with their spouse, adult son, and elderly mother. In a case like this, it is likely that different applicants would struggle to understand whether the form's proposed instructions. To avoid this problem and any unnecessary	Response: The revisions proposed through this action were limited to updating the Certification section and minor grammar changes. USCIS may consider these recommendations in a separate comprehensive revision action of the I-942 form and instructions.

Public Comments (regulations.gov): <u>USCIS-2018-0002</u> **60-day FRN Citation** (federalregister.gov): <u>88 FR 14630</u>

		rejections and/or delays in the	
		adjudicative process, USCIS should be	
		clear on who should and should not be	
		listed in Part 2 as compared to Part 3,	
		and to further provide clarity on who	
		should/should not certify and sign Part	
		5, in regards to the family certification.	
9.		Commenter: Make the Road New York	
	0022	B. Form I-942, Request for Reduced Fee	Response: Please see Response
		should be more inclusive and available	under Comment # 8.
		for online filings.	
		, and the second	
		Currently, applicants seeking to	
		naturalize and use Form I-942 are at a	
		disadvantage. These applicants are	
		unable to submit their application	
		through the USCIS online system, which	
		is available to individuals seeking to	
		naturalize by paying the associated fee	
		of \$725.00.	
		01 3723.00.	
		This limitation is harmful to our low-	
		income communities as it undermines	
		their ability to take full advantage of an	
		expeditiously filing for naturalization.	
		For example, most recently we helped	
		a family apply for naturalization online,	
		1	
		as they paid the associated fee and	
		within the same day received the I-	
		797C, Notice of Action and in less than	
		a week they received their biometrics	
		receipt. 3	
		Py contrast one of our other recent	
		By contrast, one of our other recent	
		clients, who sought to pay the reduced	
		fee for her naturalization application,	
		was barred from filing online and	
		thereby limited in her submission, all	
		because of her financial situation. In	
		fact, because she sought to pay the	
		reduced fee, she incurred an additional	
		expense by paying for the postage of	
		mailing her application, and she had to	
		wait more than two weeks for her I-	

Public Comments (regulations.gov): <u>USCIS-2018-0002</u> **60-day FRN Citation** (federalregister.gov): <u>88 FR 14630</u>

		797C, Notice of Action and biometrics receipt. It seems counterintuitive to deprive applicants with limited means the opportunity to avail themselves of a free online filing system. As many more low-income immigrants seek to finalize their immigration process and seek naturalization, USCIS should expand and provide fair access to the online system, and not impose restrictive systems to those seeking to	
		pay a reduced fee.	
10.		Commenter: Immigrant Legal Resource	
		Center	
	0019 (see attachment)	Please find attached the Immigrant Legal Resource Center's comment for the proposed changes to Form I-942.	Response: See Comment Responses below labeled with Commenter ID: 0019. The information in the attachment from the public comment (0019) was separated into different sections in this comment matrix to address each portion of information individually. See Comment # 11. – 12.
11.		Commenter: Immigrant Legal Resource Center	occ comment ii 11. 12.
	0019	We note that for the family member certification, there is only space for one family member's signature where Part 2 allows for the inclusion of more family members as part of the request. While we are not opposed to the elimination of the requirement for multiple family signatures, USCIS should provide clarification on both the form and in the instructions of who should sign the family certification and provide the required contact information. Without this clarification, it is likely that applicants with larger families may fill this section out	Response: USCIS only requires a signature from the applicant who is certifying their household income. Therefore, we have removed Part 5. Family Member's Contact Information, Certification, and Signature section from the I-942 Form and Instructions. Additionally, the section removed during this revision which required additional Family Members' Signatures will remain removed from the I-942 Form.

Public Comments (regulations.gov): <u>USCIS-2018-0002</u> **60-day FRN Citation** (federalregister.gov): <u>88 FR 14630</u>

_		T	
		incorrectly, potentially resulting in a	
		rejection of the fee reduction	
		application and the underlying	
		naturalization application. Better	
		clarification from USCIS will avoid	
		unnecessary denials and requests for	
		evidence and allow for more	
		expeditious processing of the fee	
		reduction request and underlying	
		naturalization application.	
12.		Commenter: Immigrant Legal Resource	
		Center	
	0019	Finally, we renew our request to make	Response: USCIS appreciates
		both this form and Form I-912, Request	that providing an online filing
		for Fee Waiver, available for online	option for Form I-942 would
		filing. Currently, low-income	create efficiencies. USCIS
		naturalization applicants who require a	continues to add new form
		fee waiver or a reduced fee are barred	options for electronic filing
		from applying for naturalization online	based on an internal schedule
		as associated applications must be filed	and agency priorities. USCIS
		in the same manner. It is our	hopes to provide an online filing
		understanding that USCIS seeks to	option for Form I-942 in the
		encourage the online filing of	future.
		naturalization applications as a matter	1.000.01
		of efficiency and to reduce processing	
		times and administrative burdens	
		associated with paper applications.	
		While the ability to file paper	
		applications must be maintained,	
		insofar as an online filing is available as	
		an efficiency measure, low-income	
		applicants should not be shut out of	
		this measure based on their need for a	
		fee waiver or fee reduction.	
		ree warver or ree reduction.	