Tracking and OMB Number: (XX) 1820-0550

Revised: 11/28/2023

## SUPPORTING STATEMENT FOR PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT SUBMISSION

1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. What is the purpose for this information collection? Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection. Include a citation that authorizes the collection of information. Specify the review type of the collection (new, revision, extension, reinstatement with change, reinstatement without change). If revised, briefly specify the changes. If a rulemaking is involved, list the sections with a brief description of the information collection requirement, and/or changes to sections, if applicable.

This is a request for an extension of the *Annual State Application under Part C of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act as Amended in 2004 for Federal fiscal year 2024.* The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, when signed on December 3, 2004, became PL 108-446. In order to be eligible for a grant under 20 U.S.C. 1433, a State must provide assurance to the Secretary that the State has adopted a policy that appropriate early intervention services are available to all infants and toddlers with disabilities in the State and their families, including Indian infants and toddlers with disabilities and their families residing on a reservation geographically located in the State, infants and toddlers with disabilities who are homeless children and their families, and has in effect a statewide system that meets the requirements of 20 U.S.C. 1435. Some policies, procedures, methods, and descriptions must be submitted to the Secretary.

The review type for this collection is an extension. Additional text was added to the areas of the application template and application instructions that cover Sections III.B, III. C, and III. F to make the instructions clearer and to minimize confusion as States prepare their application materials.

2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection.

The information gathered through Information Collection 1820-0550 is used by the Monitoring and State Improvement Planning Division (MSIPD), OSEP, to assist in determining grant eligibility for each State. The information will be evaluated by Education Program Specialists to identify State and national needs for services required to meet early intervention requirements for children with disabilities (part C, 20 U.S.C. 1434) and to provide to Congress and to the general public programmatic information, as appropriate.

3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision of adopting this means of collection. Please identify systems or websites used to electronically collect this information. Also describe any consideration given to using technology to reduce burden. If there is an increase or

decrease in burden related to using technology (e.g. using an electronic form, system or website from paper), please explain in number 12.

States reduce burden by using computerized data bases to maintain data needed for this information collection. States may electronically submit any information or revisions to the State application that does not require an original signature.

4. Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Item 2 above.

The Annual State Application was reviewed by the EDFacts team (Office of Planning, Evaluation and Policy Development) and Office of the Chief Privacy Officer. This application has been determined to be "unEDENable" and not to duplicate any other collection.

Information Collection 1820-0550 is the Part C State plan/application for funds. At this time, EDFacts is not used to collect State plans/applications. EDFacts is not intended to collect this type of information since the information can not be aggregated or compared to similar information from other programs, but rather is used solely to establish eligibility for funds.

5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities, describe any methods used to minimize burden. A small entity may be (1) a small business which is deemed to be one that is independently owned and operated and that is not dominant in its field of operation; (2) a small organization that is any notfor-profit enterprise that is independently owned and operated and is not dominant in its field; or (3) a small government jurisdiction, which is a government of a city, county, town, township, school district, or special district with a population of less than 50,000.

The information requested does not involve the collection of information from entities classified as small business.

6. Describe the consequences to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently, as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.

Items 1 and 2 would not be accomplished unless directed by Federal statute.

- 7. Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:
  - requiring respondents to report information to the agency more often than quarterly;
  - requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it;

- requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document;
- requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, medical, government contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records for more than three years;
- in connection with a statistical survey, that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results than can be generalized to the universe of study;
- requiring the use of a statistical data classification that has not been reviewed and approved by OMB;
- that includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or that unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use; or
- requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secrets, or other confidential information unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information's confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.

There are no special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted as described in the bulleted items. This collection is conducted in a manner that is consistent with the guidelines in 5 CFR 1320.5.

8. As applicable, state that the Department has published the 60 and 30 Federal Register notices as required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB.

Include a citation for the 60 day comment period (e.g. Vol. 84 FR ##### and the date of publication). Summarize public comments received in response to the 60 day notice and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden. If only non-substantive comments are provided, please provide a statement to that effect and that it did not relate or warrant any changes to this information collection request. In your comments, please also indicate the number of public comments received.

For the 30 day notice, indicate that a notice will be published. Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instruction and record keeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.

Consultation with representatives of those from whom information is to be obtained or those who must compile records should occur at least once every 3 years – even if the collection of information activity is the same as in prior periods. There may be circumstances that may preclude consultation in a specific situation. These circumstances should be explained.

The Department published the 60-day Federal Register notice as required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB. IC 1820-0550 was published in the Federal Register on September 28, 2023 (Vol 88 FR 66829)(Federal Register: Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request; Annual State Application Under Part C of the Individuals With Disabilities Act as Amended in 2004). One comment was received in response to the 60-day notice. The commenter expressed appreciation for one of the application response flexibilities that OSEP describes in the information collection and explained how the flexibility is helpful giving the unique circumstances of their State's early intervention program. The commenter requested that OSEP maintain the flexibility. OSEP will keep this flexibility in the information collection materials.

This comment is considered nonsubstantive feedback because the commenter did not give input on assurances that States must provide to the Secretary that demonstrate that they have adopted policies demonstrating that appropriate early intervention services are available to all infants and toddlers with disabilities in the State and their families. The commenter also did not address the requirement that States have in effect a statewide system that meets the requirements of 20 U.S.C. 1435. In addition, the commenter did not discuss policies, procedures, methods and descriptions that States must submit to the Secretary under this information collection. The commenter also did not provide feedback on the cost and hour burden associated with this information collection. As a result, the comment did not warrant any changes to this information collection request.

This is the request for the 30-day Federal Register notice inviting public comment. OSEP will inform stakeholders outside of the US Department of Education of the 30 day notice to share the status of the information collection and to ensure that they understand the information collection request. OSEP utilizes numerous listservs to disseminate information. Subscribers to these listservs include employees of State agencies; members of professional associations; staff of technical assistance centers; and parents of infants and toddlers with disabilities. In addition, OSEP uses social media to inform stakeholders about the information collection.

9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees with meaningful justification.

There are no payments or gifts to respondents other than the funds they receive under the formula mandated for this program.

10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy. If personally identifiable information (PII) is being collected, a Privacy Act statement should be included on the instrument. Please provide a citation for the Systems of Record Notice and the

date a Privacy Impact Assessment was completed as indicated on the IC Data Form. A confidentiality statement with a legal citation that authorizes the pledge of confidentiality should be provided. If the collection is subject to the Privacy Act, the Privacy Act statement is deemed sufficient with respect to confidentiality. If there is no expectation of confidentiality, simply state that the Department makes no pledge about the confidentiality of the data. If no PII will be collected, state that no assurance of confidentiality is provided to respondents. If the Paperwork Burden Statement is not included physically on a form, you may include it here. Please ensure that your response per respondent matches the estimate provided in number 12.

The Department makes no assurances of confidentiality in the Annual State Application under Part C of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act as Amended in 2004 for Federal fiscal year 2024.

11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private. The justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.

There are no questions of a sensitive nature.

- 12. Provide estimates of the hour burden for this current information collection request. The statement should:
  - Provide an explanation of how the burden was estimated, including identification of burden type: recordkeeping, reporting or third party disclosure. Address changes in burden due to the use of technology (if applicable). Generally, estimates should not include burden hours for customary and usual business practices.
  - Please do not include increases in burden and respondents numerically in this table. Explain these changes in number 15.
  - Indicate the number of respondents by affected public type (federal government, individuals or households, private sector businesses or other forprofit, private sector not-for-profit institutions, farms, state, local or tribal governments), frequency of response, annual hour burden. Unless directed to do so, agencies should not conduct special surveys to obtain information on which to base hour burden estimates. Consultation with a sample (fewer than 10) of potential respondents is desirable.

<sup>1</sup> Requests for this information are in accordance with the following ED and OMB policies: Privacy Act of 1974, OMB Circular A-108 – Privacy Act Implementation – Guidelines and Responsibilities, OMB Circular A-130 Appendix I – Federal Agency Responsibilities for Maintaining Records About Individuals, OMB M-03-22 – OMB Guidance for Implementing the Privacy Provisions of the E-Government Act of 2002, OMB M-06-15 – Safeguarding Personally Identifiable Information, OM:6-104 – Privacy Act of 1974 (Collection, Use and Protection of Personally Identifiable Information)

- If this request for approval covers more than one form, provide separate hour burden estimates for each form and aggregate the hour burden in the table below.
- Provide estimates of annualized cost to respondents of the hour burdens for collections of information, identifying and using appropriate wage rate categories. Use this site to research the appropriate wage rate. The cost of contracting out or paying outside parties for information collection activities should not be included here. Instead, this cost should be included in Item 14. If there is no cost to respondents, indicate by entering 0 in the chart below and/or provide a statement.

Provide a descriptive narrative here in addition to completing the table below with burden hour estimates.

## **Estimated Annual Burden and Respondent Costs Table**

Information Activity or IC (with type of respondent)	Sample Size (if applicable)	Respondent Response Rate (if applicable)	Number of Respondents	Number of Responses	Average Burden Hours per Response	Total Annual Burden Hours	Estimated Respondent Average Hourly Wage	Total Annual Costs (hourly wage x total burden hours)
Part C Application for Funds	N/A	N/A	56	56	10	560	\$22/Hour	\$12,320
Annualized Totals			56	56	10	560	\$22/Hour	\$12,320

Please ensure the annual total burden, respondents and response match those entered in IC Data Parts 1 and 2, and the response per respondent matches the Paperwork Burden Statement that must be included on all forms.

There are 56 State government agency respondents who, under PL 108-446, are required to submit the Part C Annual State Application if Federal funds are received. The data burden is expected to require an average of 10 hours per respondent. The burden estimate is: 56 respondents X 10 hours to submit the Annual State Application which equals an estimated total of 560 hours.

The estimated cost of preparing the State application is \$12,320. The response time per response (estimated at 10 hours) is multiplied by the number of respondents (56) multiplied by the average hourly salary (estimated at \$22).

- 13. Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to respondents or record keepers resulting from the collection of information. (Do not include the cost of any hour burden shown in Items 12 and 14.)
  - The cost estimate should be split into two components: (a) a total capital and start-up cost component (annualized over its expected useful life); and (b) a total operation and maintenance and purchase of services component. The estimates should take into account costs associated with generating, maintaining, and disclosing or providing the information. Include descriptions of methods used to estimate major cost factors including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, the discount rate(s), and the time period over which costs will be incurred. Capital and start-up costs include, among other items, preparations for collecting information such as purchasing computers and software; monitoring, sampling, drilling and testing equipment; and acquiring and maintaining record storage facilities.

- If cost estimates are expected to vary widely, agencies should present ranges of cost burdens and explain the reasons for the variance. The cost of contracting out information collection services should be a part of this cost burden estimate. In developing cost burden estimates, agencies may consult with a sample of respondents (fewer than 10), utilize the 60-day pre-OMB submission public comment process and use existing economic or regulatory impact analysis associated with the rulemaking containing the information collection, as appropriate.
- Generally, estimates should not include purchases of equipment or services, or portions thereof, made: (1) prior to October 1, 1995, (2) to achieve regulatory compliance with requirements not associated with the information collection, (3) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the government or (4) as part of customary and usual business or private practices. Also, these estimates should not include the hourly costs (i.e., the monetization of the hours) captured above in Item 12.

<b>Total Annualized Capital/Startup Cost</b>	:0
Total Annual Costs (O&M)	:0
Total Annualized Costs Requested	:0

There are no start-up costs, or costs in addition to those described in item 12. There are no anticipated costs for operation, maintenance, or purchase of services that are imposed on States by these requirements, other than those noted above.

14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government. Also, provide a description of the method used to estimate cost, which should include quantification of hours, operational expenses (such as equipment, overhead, printing, and support staff), and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information. Agencies also may aggregate cost estimates from Items 12, 13, and 14 in a single table.

Estimated Annualized Cost to the Federal Government: \$9,745.68

OSEP receives 56 applications. It is estimated that receiving, processing, reviewing, and responding to a grantees application takes three hours of staff time, which equals 168 hours. The average hourly OSEP State Contact salary is \$58.01/hour. The 168 hours multiplied by the average hourly rate of pay for each review (\$58.01), equals an estimated cost to the Federal Government of \$9.745.68.

	Hours	Average Hourly Salary	Application s	Contracting Cost	Total Annualized Cost
Application					
Review	3	\$58.01	56	0	\$9,745.68
Total Annualized					\$9,745.68
Cost					\$9,745.00

15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments. Generally, adjustments in burden result from re-estimating burden and/or from economic phenomenon outside of an agency's control (e.g., correcting a burden estimate or an organic increase in the size of the reporting universe). Program changes result from a deliberate action that materially changes a collection of information and generally are result of new statute or an agency action (e.g., changing a form, revising regulations, redefining the respondent universe, etc.). Burden changes should be disaggregated by type of change (i.e., adjustment, program change due to new statute, and/or program change due to agency discretion), type of collection (new, revision, extension, reinstatement with change, reinstatement without change) and include totals for changes in burden hours, responses and costs (if applicable).

Provide a descriptive narrative for the reasons of any change in addition to completing the table with the burden hour change(s) here.

As reported in Question 1, additional text was added to the areas of the application template and application instructions that cover Sections III.B, III. C, and III. F to make the text easier to understand for States as they prepare their application materials. However, the changes are not substantive and have not impacted the burden associated with this collection.

	Program Change Due to New Statute	Program Change Due to Agency Discretion	Change Due to Adjustment in Agency Estimate
Total			
Burden			
Total			
Responses			
Total			
Costs (if			
applicable			
)			

Not applicable

16. For collections of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation and publication. Address any complex analytical techniques that will be used. Provide the time schedule for the entire project, including beginning and ending dates of the collection of information, completion of report, publication dates, and other actions.

Not applicable.

17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate.

Not applicable.

18. Explain each exception to the certification statement identified in the Certification of Paperwork Reduction Act.

There are no proposed exceptions to the certifications.