**Department of Transportation**

**Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration**

**SUPPORTING STATEMENT**

**Truck and Bus Maintenance Practices and Their Impact on Safety**

**SUMMARY**

This supporting statement estimates the burden hours and costs associated with “Truck and Bus Maintenance Practices and Their Impact on Safety.”

* The annual number of responses for this information collection is 867. This remains unchanged from the current approval.
* The annual burden hours for this information collection is 265. This remains unchanged from the current approval.

INTRODUCTION

This request is submitted for the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to review and approve a renewal of a Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) information collection request (ICR) titled “Truck and Bus Maintenance Practices and Their Impact on Safety” per OMB Control Number: 2126-0069.

**Part A. Justification**

1. CIRCUMSTANCES THAT MAKE COLLECTION OF INFORMATION NECESSARY
	1. Background

FMCSA’s core mission is to reduce crashes, injuries, and fatalities involving large trucks and buses. To aid in accomplishing this, the Agency uses the Compliance, Safety, Accountability (CSA) enforcement program to prioritize and target interventions([[1]](#footnote-2)) of those motor carriers who are most likely to be involved in a future crash. As part of the CSA program, the Agency deploys the Safety Measurement System (SMS). SMS uses inspection, crash, and investigation data captured in the Motor Carrier Management Information System (MCMIS) to calculate a percentile for each motor carrier. A motor carrier’s SMS percentile is based on its past compliance with a complete range of safety-based regulations (such as driver safety, hours of service, driver fitness, and vehicle maintenance, among others). The survey described in this collection focuses on vehicle maintenance. The study’s goal is to determine what vehicle maintenance practices would enhance motor carrier safety.

In 2014, the John A. Volpe National Transportation Systems Center (Volpe) conducted a study to assess the effectiveness of SMS in identifying the highest risk motor carriers to be targeted for interventions.([[2]](#footnote-3)) One finding from the study was that motor carriers targeted for intervention due to “vehicle maintenance” issues (i.e., violations) had a 65 percent higher crash rate compared to the national average. These violations are based on Federal and State inspections of components critical to the safe operation of the vehicle. It is important to recognize that proper and regular preventative maintenance (i.e., systematic maintenance programs) among carriers—rather than Federal and State inspections, which are by nature limited to the most visible or obvious safety-related components—should be the primary activity applied to ensure safe equipment operation.

* 1. Study Objectives

The current research effort is necessary to improve FMCSA’s understanding of the safety impact of preventative vehicle maintenance and to:

1. Identify the vehicle maintenance practices of the motor carriers with the lowest crash and the lowest vehicle maintenance violation rates.
2. Evaluate vehicle maintenance practices with respect to: (i) preventative maintenance intervals, (ii) training and equipping of mechanics for preventative maintenance, and (iii) motor carriers’ maintenance facilities.
3. Gather information to assist in compiling a final report on best practices in vehicle maintenance. The information will help truck and bus carriers who are targeted for vehicle maintenance interventions to reduce their crash rate. In a separate, future project, FMCSA envisions distilling the compilation of these best practices on vehicle maintenance into a pamphlet or other type of educational/outreach material that can be distributed by FMCSA at fleet conferences and workshops. Commercial vehicle inspectors and enforcement officials would also distribute these materials to carriers targeted for intervention because of vehicle maintenance violations.

FMCSA is authorized to conduct this research under 49 USC 31108, *Motor Carrier Research and Technology Programs*([[3]](#footnote-4)). Under 49 U.S.C. 31108(a)(3)(C), FMCSA may fund research, development, and technology projects that improve the safety and efficiency of commercial motor vehicle operations through technological innovation and improvement. This information collection supports the U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) strategic goal of “Safety.”

1. HOW, BY WHOM, AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE IS THE INFORMATION USED
	1. Who Will Collect the Information

Under contract to FMCSA, the Virginia Tech Transportation Institute (VTTI) at the Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University (VT) will obtain the data required to address the study objectives. The investigators from VTTI who are currently performing this study are Mr. Andrew Krum and Dr. Rich Hanowski, with support from Dr. Feng Guo.

In accordance with USDOT policy on research involving human subjects, this study has been reviewed and approved by VTTI’s Institutional Review Board (IRB). Staff members who assist with participant recruitment will receive IRB ethics training to ensure that the rights of the research subjects are protected. VTTI will also work with FMCSA contracting officer’s representative (COR) to ensure all appropriate methods are applied as defined by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA).

* 1. Purpose of the Information Collection

See the purposes described in Section 1.2.

This information collection will also inform an inventory of current State inspection programs.

* 1. How Information Will Be Collected

VTTI will use an online survey platform to collect the information for this study. VTTI has successfully used these platforms to create and administer online surveys in the past. The information collection will be administered in two phases:

* **Phase I: Online Recruitment Survey** This voluntary, seven-question survey will screen carriers and verify their eligibility for Phase II participation. To be eligible for Phase II participation, carriers must fall into the **Recommended Practices (RP) Group,** which are carriers with the lowest crash and vehicle maintenance violation rates.([[4]](#footnote-5)) Carriers that have experienced Federal or State interventions in the last 24 months due to vehicle maintenance violations will be excluded from the RP Group.
* **Phase II: Carrier Maintenance Management Survey** This voluntary survey will include up to 108 questions about demographics; maintenance practices, intervals, personnel, and facilities; and State and Federal inspections, among other things. The Phase II survey will employ branch logic; as such, carriers will be prompted to complete different sections based on their survey group (and for one section, carrier size). No participating carrier will be asked to complete all 108 questions.

The research team believes that this two-phase survey method will (1) limit the burden on carriers by including in Phase II only those that meet the objectives of the study, and (2) increase confidence in the application of the survey results. For example, FMCSA maintains information on the number of power units (PUs) operated by motor carriers; however, this number is required to be reported by motor carriers every two years so the number appearing in FMCSA’s database could be out of date. As such, the most accurate record of the number of PUs operating at each carrier should be reported by carriers during the Phase I survey.

Because this information collection focuses on large truck and bus maintenance management programs, maintenance managers or other appropriate representatives of carriers will be asked to respond to the survey. In some cases, owner-operators who drive and operate under their own authority may be asked to respond to questions. The research team will seek responses from carriers representing different sizes([[5]](#footnote-6)) and operations.

* + 1. Phase I: Online Recruitment Survey

The link for the Phase I survey will be sent via email([[6]](#footnote-7)) to include the Initial Contact Letter (Attachment D), to representatives from a sample of active motor carriers (contained in MCMIS and SMS) that may be eligible for the RP Group based on pre-established filtering criteria. In the event there is non-response in certain carrier size groups, the research team should have enough information about the non-responding carrier to track the non-responding carrier and to target a suitable replacement to meet the sample size requirements. If this approach does not work and there is an insufficient number of responding carriers in certain size groups, the research team may resort to open recruitment through advertising. The choice to participate in the survey will remain voluntary and carriers may choose not to respond to any advertisements.

The Phase I survey will ask carriers to provide the following high-level information:

1. Name and contact information (email and phone number).
2. Name of carrier and USDOT number.
3. Current position/job title at carrier.
4. Length of time carrier has been in operation.
5. Size of carrier.
6. Types of vehicles operated (e.g., bus, truck, tractor-truck, or tractor-trailer).
7. Involvement in the past 24 months with a Federal or State intervention due to vehicle maintenance violations.

The research team will compare carriers’ responses to the Phase I survey against information contained in MCMIS to verify which stratum of power units the carrier respondent fits into (e.g., large, small, and very small) prior to distributing the Carrier Maintenance Management Survey (Phase II). If the carrier indicates that it fits into a stratum (see the rows in Table 1) different from the one indicated in MCMIS, the one indicated by the carrier will be used. The carrier will be directed to Phase II if the target sample size (given in Table 1) into which the carrier says it fits has not been filled. At this time, VTTI will also verify that a carrier responding to Phase I, which has already been pre-classified in the RP Group, does not have an intervention. Because the SMS database may not be up to date, there is a possibility that it may not reflect a recent intervention for a carrier. If a carrier pre-classified in the RP Group is found to have such an intervention, the carrier will be excluded from the RP Group and dropped from further consideration for participation in the survey. Carrier representatives who indicate in the Phase I survey that their carrier experienced regulatory interventions in the past 24 months as a result of maintenance violations will be excluded from the RP Group. The time lag between the end of Phase I and beginning of Phase II of the survey should be one or two business days but no longer than a week because the verification of responses to Phase 1 is a manual process involving allocation of available staff resources.

* + 1. Phase II: Carrier Maintenance Management Survey

The overall target sample size goal for the Phase II survey is 289 carriers (289 = 195 + 94), as given in Table 1. However, the study authors believe that a 50% response rate must be taken into consideration. The assumption of a 50% response rate requires that in order to achieve the target sample sizes in Table 1, the number of respondents that the survey must reach out to or start out with is double those in Table 1. In other words, in order to attain a target sample size of 289 (the total number of targeted respondents in Table 1), the survey must reach out to or start out with an initial sample size of 578 (2 x 289 = 578). While this number (i.e., 578) is admittedly a fraction of the active carriers operating in the United States,([[7]](#footnote-8)) it will require a significant effort to reach so many carriers of different types and sizes with the survey. The predetermined overall sample size was divided across subgroups by considering the representation (in proportional size and anticipated diversity) of the subgroup in the population. The target sample sizes are stratified in Table 1. The carriers are split between types (i.e., truck carriers and bus carriers). Each of these carrier types is further split into two subgroups (i.e., RP Group and IE Group). Each row in the table represents a category of carrier size.

**Table 1. Target sample sizes for each of the survey sampling strata.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Carrier Size (Power Units)** | **Truck Carriers** | **Bus Carriers** |
| **Recommended Practices Group** | **Intervention Effects Group** | **Recommended Practices Group** | **Intervention Effects Group** |
| Very, Very Small/Very Small (1–6)\*  |  40\*\* | 20 | 15 | 15 |
| Small (7–20) |  30 | 15 | 12 | 12 |
| Medium (21–100) |  20 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Large (101–500) |  20 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Very Large (501+) |  20 | 10 |  0 |  0 |
| ***Subtotal*** | ***130*** | ***65*** | ***47*** | ***47*** |
| **Total** | **195** | **94** |

 \* For the purposes of this table, “very, very small” carriers (carriers with 1 PU) and “very small” carriers (carriers with 2–6 PUs) have been combined.

 \*\* In the event there is non-response, the research team will have enough information about the non-responding carrier to track non-response and to target a suitable replacement to meet the sample size requirements.

The Carrier Maintenance Management Survey branches into eight sections, defined in Table 2. Survey respondents will not complete all sections of the Carrier Maintenance Management Survey. Table 2 shows which sections/questions of this survey are to be completed by each respondent group (i.e., RP Group and IE Group). Table 2 also shows the purpose of each section and how it is related to achieving the goals of the project.

Table 2. Carrier Maintenance Management Survey sections, respondents, and purposes.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Survey Section** | **Groups to Complete** | **Purpose of Section** |
| Demographics(Questions 1–24) | Recommended Practices,Intervention Effects | Gather information on respondents’ experiences with commercial vehicles and the operating characteristics of their carrier. |
| Systematic Maintenance(Questions 25-51) | Recommended Practices,Intervention Effects | Determine common maintenance intervals, technician training practices, and maintenance facilities that support carriers’ maintenance operations. |
| Maintenance Personnel & Maintenance Facilities(Questions 52-70) | Recommended Practices | Gather opinions about the minimum capabilities of properly trained maintenance personnel and properly equipped facilities. |
| Safety Impacts(Questions 71-79) | Recommended Practices | Gather information on common and important vehicle maintenance issues that may impact safety on the roads. |
| State/Federal Periodic (Annual) Inspections(Questions 80-84) | Recommended Practices, Intervention Effects | Gather detailed information on the periodic inspections that apply to participating carrier fleets. |
| Miscellaneous(Questions 85-91) | Recommended Practices, Intervention Effects | Gather information about special operations. |
| Very, Very Small and Very Small Carriers(Questions 92-99) | Recommended Practices,Intervention Effects (Very, Very Small and Very Small Carriers Only) | Gain information and opinions on specific needs of very, very small and very small carriers. |
| Intervention Effects(Questions 100-108) | Intervention Effects | Gather detailed information on carriers’ experience with State or Federal interventions. |

As shown in Table 2, all participating carriers will be asked to provide information on demographics, systematic maintenance, State/Federal periodic (annual) inspections, and special operations. Very, very small and very small carriers will be asked to answer questions that pertain only to carriers of those sizes. Carriers (of all sizes) will be asked to provide additional information about maintenance personnel and facilities (e.g., mechanic training levels, tools required for adequate inspection, and certification of facilities) and vehicle maintenance issues that may impact safety. Information provided by the RP Group will address the study objectives identified in Section 1.2 above.

If respondents do not complete the Phase II survey within a reasonable period of time, VTTI will attempt to contact them by telephone and encourage their participation.

1. EXTENT OF AUTOMATED INFORMATION COLLECTION

This information collection will be administered exclusively online, using a two-phase survey process. Links to both surveys will be emailed directly to potential respondents. Both surveys will be administered using a user-friendly survey platform that tracks responses and offers a variety of analysis options. The seven-question Online Recruitment Survey (Phase I) will include multiple choice, fill-in-the-blank, and checkbox questions.

Carriers asked to participate in the Carrier Maintenance Management Survey (Phase II) will receive, via email, an informed consent form (ICF). The ICF, which contains no questions and does not require a signature, will outline the study objectives and methods, any possible risks, compensation, and participant rights. Tailored versions of the ICF will be provided to carriers in the RP Group (Attachment E). Participant acceptance of the ICF will be presumed based on participant submission of a completed Carrier Maintenance Management Survey. This will be clearly stated on both versions of the ICF. To proceed to the online Carrier Maintenance Management Survey, participants will click the “NEXT” button at the bottom of the ICF. The 108-question Carrier Maintenance Management Survey, which employs branch logic, will include checkbox, multiple choice, and open-ended questions. Through application of this two-phase, online survey process, the study is designed to collect the necessary information from the most fitting carrier representatives with minimal time demand.

At the end of this project, VTTI is required, under the contract with FMCSA, to compile and analyze the collected information and develop a public-use data set. The public-use data set will be de-identified (i.e., personally identifiable information [PII] and carrier information will be removed) and made available on a public-facing Web site, in accordance with established FMCSA data sharing protocols.

1. EFFORTS TO IDENTIFY DUPLICATION

The research team completed a comprehensive review of the published literature related to the research topic and found only one significant related study, completed by Volpe in 2014.([[8]](#footnote-9)) That study, described in Section 1.1, was an important step in validating SMS. However, the Volpe findings focused only on carrier-level data analysis (based on data contained in SMS) and did not include outreach to the industry or identify the best vehicle maintenance practices.

Based on the findings of the literature review, the research team and FMCSA have concluded that the information contained in the 2014 Volpe report does not fulfill the needs of this study, making the requested information collection necessary.

1. EFFORTS TO MINIMIZE THE BURDEN ON SMALL BUSINESSES

Based on Small Business Administration size standards, FMCSA has determined that motor carriers of property with 148 power units or fewer, and passenger carriers with 93 power units or fewer, are small businesses.([[9]](#footnote-10))  However, because participation in both the Online Recruitment Survey (Phase I) and the Carrier Maintenance Management Survey (Phase II) is voluntary, no small business will have a burden imposed on it that it is not willing to bear. Thus, any such burden would be minimal. Furthermore, the survey will require no preparation on the part of the respondent in terms of gathering carrier-related data or calculating carrier-related statistics. In addition, respondents who complete the survey will be offered modest compensation for their time.

1. IMPACT OF LESS FREQUENT COLLECTION OF INFORMATION

This ICR is for the renewal of what was intended to be a one-time data collection. VTTI conducted a research project on Truck and Bus Maintenance Requirements and Their Impact on Safety that was completed during the pandemic period. The research program suggested that a comprehensive survey of the industry would efficiently illuminate and validate the other data generated. VTTI developed and submitted to OMB for approval an ICR, but the ICR was not approved in time to be executed and included in the deliverables from the initial period of performance. As no data collection was undertaken, it will effectively remain a one-time data collection. The impact of not collecting this data would be the incomplete understanding of the effect of the rigor of a commercial motor vehicle maintenance program on overall fleet safety. Without appropriate quantification of the details of maintenance programs, the agency may over- or under-value the implications of nominal fleet maintenance actions.

1. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES

There are no special circumstances related to this information collection.

1. COMPLIANCE WITH 5 CFR 1320.8

On August 24, 2023, FMCSA published a 60-day Federal Register notice (88 FR 58057) (Attachment B). The Agency received three comments.

The first comment was anonymous and asserted that fraud within the industry affected the industry’s ability to perform maintenance that could enhance safety. Through the research enabled by this survey, the Agency seeks to assess the degree to which maintenance enhances safety.

The second comment was from a maintenance trainer who stated that 49 CFR 396.17 requires that periodic inspections beyond visual observation are required on an annual basis to certify that each vehicle passes maintenance requirements. The Agency agrees that periodic maintenance inspections that go beyond roadside visual inspections are an important part of a systematic maintenance program, and the research is taking into consideration the elements of periodic maintenance that impact carrier preventative maintenance programs.

The third comment was from the National Waste and Recycling Association (NWRA). NWRA suggests that the survey should recruit carriers that operate vocational short-haul trucks (e.g., refuse hauler) because of the differences in duty cycles that affect maintenance. In particular, waste and recycling vehicles brake frequently as part of their duty cycle, which may have implications for maintenance and safety. The Agency agrees that a variety of highway and vocational truck and bus carriers will be recruited for the survey, but the recruitment and collection will be constrained to identifying carriers based on the recommended practices group and intervention effects group criteria.

1. PAYMENT OR GIFTS TO RESPONDENTS

Respondents will be offered modest compensation ($50) for completing the Carrier Maintenance Management Survey (Phase II). Information regarding compensation will be presented in the ICF. Compensation is dependent upon survey completion. Respondents who begin the survey but do not complete and submit it will not be compensated.

Following completion of the Phase II survey, respondents who indicate that they wish to receive payment and are permitted to do so in accordance with their carrier’s compensation policy will be directed to the Incentive Payment Page (Attachment F). On the Incentive Payment Page, respondents will be prompted to submit their name, mailing address, and phone number via a secure online portal. Participants will receive a check payment in the mail in 4–6 weeks. Information submitted via the secure online portal will not be tied to participants’ survey responses or to their study ID number. Any information that could link a participant’s identity to their study data will not be collected for payment purposes.

Monetary compensation for carrier representatives participating in the information collection is considered beneficial for the reasons listed below:

* **Availability and time burden:** Motor carrier representatives are often difficult to reach for research studies due to irregular schedules and long working hours. Compensation for this time burden seems justified.
* **Increased response rates:** Compensating respondents will significantly increase response rates. This will increase the likelihood of reaching sample size targets, thus improving the validity of study results. Past experience indicates that it is difficult to obtain sufficient participation without providing adequate monetary compensation.([[10]](#footnote-11),[[11]](#footnote-12))
1. ASSURANCES OF CONFIDENTIALITY

Data collected from individual carrier representatives will be protected from release to the public. At the end of the project, a de-identified public-use data set will be compiled (see Section 3 of this document). All study data will be coded with a unique participant ID number.

To be compensated for their participation in the Phase II survey, respondents will need to provide their name, address, and phone number to the research team. This is required for payment tracking and mailing purposes. Participant information submitted for payment purposes will not be tied to survey responses. The participant’s study ID number or any other information that could link their identity to their study data will not be collected for payment purposes.

1. JUSTIFICATION FOR COLLECTION OF SENSITIVE INFORMATION

This information collection does not involve personally sensitive information (e.g., sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private). Survey questions will be focused on carrier and fleet maintenance programs and facilities.

1. ESTIMATES OF BURDEN HOURS INFORMATION REQUESTED

There are two parts to this ICR:

1. Phase I: The Online Recruitment Survey.
2. Phase II: The Carrier Maintenance Management Survey.

We assume that respondent occupations for both tasks correspond to General and Operations Managers.([[12]](#footnote-13)) The mean hourly wage for General and Operations Managers in the General Freight Trucking Industry (North American Industry Classification System [NAICS] code 484000) is $44.72.([[13]](#footnote-14)) The mean hourly wage for General and Operations Managers in the Transit and Ground Passenger Transportation Industry (NAICS code 485000) is $41.92.([[14]](#footnote-15))

To arrive at a loaded wage, we first estimated a load factor of 1.424 by dividing the total cost of compensation for private industry workers of the trade, transportation, and utilities industry ($28.22) by the average cost of hourly wages and salaries ($19.82) as reported by the Bureau of Labor Statistics in its Employer Costs for Employee Compensation for December 2018.([[15]](#footnote-16)) Multiplying mean hourly wages by the load factor results in a loaded hourly wage of $63.68 for General and Operations Managers in the General Freight Trucking industry and $59.69 in the Transit and Ground Passenger Transportation industry as shown in Table 3.

To calculate average hourly compensation, we weighted loaded hourly wages by type of respondent. The General Freight Trucking wage rate was weighted by 0.675 (390 truck respondents ÷ 578 total respondents); and the Transit and Ground Passenger Transportation wage rate was weighted by 0.325 (188 bus respondents ÷ 578 total respondents). This resulted in a weighted loaded hourly compensation cost of $62.38 ($63.68 × 0.675 + $59.69 × 0.325).

Table 3. Hourly compensation of General and Operations Managers (BLS Occupation Code 11-1021).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| NAICS Occupational Designation | Mean Hourly Wage | Load Factor | Loaded HourlyCompensation Cost | Weight | Weighted, Loaded Hourly Compensation Cost\* |
| General Freight Trucking (484000) | $44.72 | 1.424 | $63.68 | 0.675 | $42.98 |
| Transit and Ground Passenger Transportation (485000) | $41.92 | 1.424 | $59.69 | 0.325 | $19.40 |
| **Average Hourly Compensation Cost** |  |  |  |  | **$62.38** |

\*Total may not sum to totals due to rounding.

* 1. Online Recruitment Survey (Phase I)

The Online Recruitment Survey requests high-level details, such as carrier name and size, current job title, and length of time the respondent’s carrier has been in operation. We expect safety managers and representatives of 578 respondents to spend 5 minutes each completing the Online Recruitment Survey. Each respondent will provide 1 response, for a total of 578 responses (578 respondents x 1 response per respondent).

We estimate that respondents will incur a burden of approximately 48 hours [578 respondents × (5 minutes per response ÷ 60 minutes)], at a cost of approximately $3,005 [578 respondents × (5 minutes per response ÷ 60 minutes) × $62.38 per hour). The average respondent will incur a cost of $5.20 ($3,005 ÷ 578 respondents).

* 1. Carrier Maintenance Management Survey (Phase II)

Of the 578 respondents to the Online Recruitment Survey, we expect to recruit maintenance managers, safety managers, or owner-operators to participate in the Carrier Maintenance Management Survey (Phase II) at a 50 percent response rate. This will result in a total of 289 truck and bus carriers completing the Carrier Maintenance Management Survey. Each respondent will provide 1 response, for a total of 578 responses (578 respondents x 1 response per respondent).

The Carrier Maintenance Management Survey takes approximately 45 minutes to complete. Carrier representatives will incur a total burden of approximately 217 hours [289 respondents × (45 minutes per response ÷ 60 minutes)], at a cost of approximately $13,521 [289 respondents × (45 minutes per response ÷ 60 minutes) × $62.38 per hour]. The average respondent will incur a cost of $46.79 ($13,521 ÷ 289 respondents).

* 1. Total Burden Estimates

Table 4 summarizes the burden hour estimates for the Online Recruitment Survey (Phase I) and the Carrier Maintenance Management Survey (Phase II).

Table 4. Responses and Burden Hour Estimates\*

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Survey | Number of Responses | Average Burden per Response (Minutes) | Total Burden (Hours) | Total Burden Hour Cost |
| Online Recruitment Survey  |  578 | 5  | 48  | $3,005 |
| Carrier Maintenance Management Survey |  289 | 45  | 217  | $13,521 |
| **Total** |  **867** |  | **265**  | **$16,526** |

\*Numbers may not sum to totals due to rounding.

Totals for this ICR:

* **Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:** 265 hours
(48 hours for Online Recruitment Survey + 217 hours for Carrier Maintenance Management Survey)
* **Estimated Total Annual Responses:** 867 responses
(578 responses for Online Recruitment Survey + 289 responses for Carrier Maintenance Management Survey)
* **Estimated Total Respondents:** 578 respondents
(578 truck and bus respondents)
* **Estimated Total Annual Burden Costs:** $16,526

($3,005 for Online Recruitment Survey + $13,521 for Carrier Maintenance Management Survey)

1. ESTIMATES OF TOTAL ANNUAL COSTS TO RESPONDENTS

There are no additional costs to respondents beyond those associated with the hourly burden presented above.

1. ESTIMATE OF COST TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

The estimated cost to the Federal government includes contractor costs and Federal staff costs.

The actual contracted total cost for the study, which includes this survey, is $175,000. The research design, protocol development, and implementation of the research methods will be completed between FY 2023 and FY 2024. This includes $158,195 in labor costs; $355 in materials, services, and other direct costs, including $14,450 in participant payments (289 × $50) and no peer review payments ($0); $2,000 in travel costs; and no indirect costs ($0).

Oversight of the study will be carried out by a GS-14 Program Manager. The labor cost of this activity is estimated to be $82.63 per hour, comprising $54.25 in hourly wages,([[16]](#footnote-17)) employee benefits equal to 36.25 percent of wages, and overhead expenses equal to 12 percent of wages and benefits [($54.25 × (1 + 0.36)) × (1 + 0.12)].([[17]](#footnote-18)) FMCSA estimates that oversight tasks require 4 hours each week for the duration of the one-year contract, totaling 208 hours (4 hours × 52 weeks × 1 year). The estimated Federal staff support is $17,187 ($82.63 × 4 × 52 × 1).

**Estimated Total Annual Cost to Federal Government:** $192,187
($175,000 in contractor costs + $17,187 in Federal staff support)

1. EXPLANATION OF PROGRAM CHANGES OR ADJUSTMENTS

This is a request for a renewal of an ICR. Upon the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, completion and analysis of the survey within the period of performance of the original contract was not feasible.

There were no changes to the burden for this collection.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Table 5. Change in burden from approved collection** |  |
| **Information Collection Version** | **Annual Number of Responses** | **Annual Burden Hours** | **Annual Cost Burden** |
| Currently Approved under OMB Control Number 2126-0069 | 867 | 265 | $16,526  |
| Revised Estimates | 867 | 265 | $16,526  |
| **Total Change in Burden from the Currently Approved Collection of Information** | **0** | **0** | **$0**  |

1. PUBLICATION OF RESULTS OF DATA COLLECTION

The results of this information collection will be documented in a technical report to be delivered to and published by FMCSA. In addition, the results will be used to create a “recommended best practices” report that will outline what the best carriers are doing with respect to inspection intervals, mechanic qualifications and training, and certification of maintenance facilities. Finally, as noted in Section 3, VTTI is required under the contract with FMCSA to compile and analyze the collected information and develop a public-use data set.

1. APPROVAL FOR NOT DISPLAYING THE EXPIRATION DATE OF OMB APPROVAL

No such approval is being requested.

1. EXCEPTIONS TO THE CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

None.
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2. () *The Carrier Safety Measurement System (CSMS) Effectiveness Test by Behavior Analysis and Safety Improvement Categories (BASICs).* Prepared for the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration by Volpe. January 2014. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
3. () Section 4111 of SAFETEA-LU (P.L. 109-59) [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
4. () Based on SMS Behavior Analysis and Safety Improvement Category (BASIC) percentiles. Carriers in the RP Group must have a Vehicle Maintenance” BASIC percentile less than or equal to the 33rd percentile and a “Crash Indicator” BASIC percentile (crash data from MCMIS) less than or equal to the 33rd percentile. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
5. () For the purposes of this study, the size of the carrier is defined by the number of power units (PUs) it operates. A carrier is classified as “very, very small” if it has 1 PU, “very small” if it has 2–6 PUs, “small” if it has 7–20 PUs, “medium” if it has 21–100 PUs, “large” if it has 101–500 PUs, and “very large” if it has more than 500 PUs. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
6. () VTTI has extensive experience conducting research within the motor carrier industry and has had success with similar email-based carrier surveys in the past. [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
7. () As of December 2016, 524,058 interstate motor carriers and intrastate hazardous materials motor carriers had recent activity operating in the United States. (FMCSA, MCMIS, data snapshot as of December 30, 2016.) [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
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