DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION

Metropolitan and Statewide and Nonmetropolitan Transportation Planning (OMB Control No. 2132-0529)

JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT

ABSTRACT

This is a request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for a submission of an extension without change of a currently approved collection. The information collection is entitled "Metropolitan and Statewide and Nonmetropolitan Transportation Planning" (OMB Control Number 2132-0529), which is currently due to expire on November 30, 2023, and extend it for three years. This program continues after the passing of the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL). The federal funds in this program support transportation planning at metropolitan and statewide levels. There have been only a few minor programmatic additions from BIL since the last PRA approval in 2020. These minor changes include adding the consideration of state and local housing patterns in the metropolitan planning process, ensuring the consistency of data used in the planning process if more than one MPO is designated within an urbanized area, permitting the use of social media and other web-based tools in public participation, consideration of equitable and proportional representation of the population when designating officials for the first time and permitting a greater than 90 percent federal share for transportation planning in certain circumstances. However, the core elements of the program remain unchanged. There has been an

Overview:

The FTA and Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) jointly carry out the federal mandate to improve urban and rural transportation. 49 U.S.C. 5303 and 5304 and 23 U.S.C. 134 and 135 authorize the use of federal funds to assist Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs), States, and local public bodies in developing transportation plans and programs to serve the transportation needs of urbanized areas over 50,000 in population and other areas of States outside of urbanized areas. The information collection activities involved in developing the Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP), the Metropolitan Transportation Plan, the Long-Range Statewide Transportation Plan, the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), and the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) are necessary to identify and evaluate the transportation issues and needs in each urbanized area and throughout every State. These products of the transportation planning process are essential elements in the reasonable planning and programming of federally funded transportation investments.

In addition to serving as a management tool for MPOs, the UPWP is used by both FTA and FHWA to monitor the transportation planning activities of MPOs. It also is needed to establish national out year budgets and regional program plans, develop policy on using funds, monitor State and local compliance with technical emphasis areas, respond to Congressional inquiries, prepare Congressional testimony, and ensure efficiency in the use and expenditure of Federal funds by determining that planning proposals are both reasonable and cost-effective.

Definitions:

The Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) and State Planning and Research (SP&R) Program contain information describing transportation and transportation related planning activities anticipated to be undertaken in metropolitan and non-metropolitan areas. The UPWP details the planning work to be performed in metropolitan areas with Federal planning assistance and serves as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO)'s planning grant application for Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) metropolitan planning funds and as the basis of the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) grant application for funding to support metropolitan planning.

The UPWP describes:

- a. Task objectives.
- b. Tangible products expected.
- c. Previous work towards these objectives.
- d. Relationship to other activities.
- e. Agency responsible for work.
- f. Cost and funding source.

The UPWP is required of all MPOs in Transportation Management Areas (TMAs), i.e., all urbanized areas of over 200,000 population and other areas designated by the FHWA and FTA at the request of the MPO and State Governor. MPOs in non-TMAs may also develop UPWPs, although FTA and FHWA may accept more simplified statements of work for these urbanized areas.

Similarly, the State submits planning activities for funding under the FHWA and FTA SP&R program, describing the proposed planning work to be carried out throughout the State. UPWPs and applications for funding under the SP&R program are reviewed and approved by FHWA and FTA for their separate funding programs.

The Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) describes the policies, strategies, and facilities, or changes in facilities, comprised of both capital investment and operational/management strategies, as proposed by local officials in a metropolitan area with input from the State Department of Transportation for future implementation. It is a dynamic document which addresses at least a twenty-year planning horizon but is reviewed and updated every five years in areas that are in attainment of national ambient air quality standards, and every four years in areas designated as non-attainment or maintenance of those standards. The joint FTA/FHWA planning regulations require that the metropolitan plan be multimodal in nature. It must include a discussion of types of environmental mitigation activities and potential areas for applying them, in consultation with federal, State, and tribal wildlife, land management, and regulatory agencies. The MTP must include a financial plan identifying known and reasonable projected revenues to support implementation of projects and strategies included in the plan. In TMAs, the MTP must reflect consideration of congestion management strategies and performance measures as defined through their Congestion Management Process. Development of the MTP must also allow for an adequate opportunity for public participation. The MTP ensures that projects proposed for implementation are based on a continuing, comprehensive, and cooperative planning process.

The Long-Range Statewide Transportation Plan (Statewide Plan). The requirements of the statewide plan are similar to those of the MTP, with the exception that project-level detail in the

statewide plan recommendations, and a supporting financial plan, are optional. Also, the Statewide Plan has no legislatively required update cycles.

The Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is a management tool for prioritizing and programming surface transportation projects and strategies for funding by FTA and FHWA for near-term implementation in metropolitan planning areas, covering a minimum four-year period. Updated at least every four years, the TIP must be consistent with the metropolitan plan and reflect the overall transportation goals while identifying the realistic local and federal financial resources that are used to fund infrastructure improvements. Associated with the TIP, a list must be prepared annually of projects from the TIP for which funding was obligated in the previous year, including investments in pedestrian walkways and bicycle transportation facilities.

The Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) is the management tool for prioritizing and programming surface transportation projects and strategies for funding by FTA and FHWA for near-term implementation throughout the State. As with the TIP, the STIP must cover a minimum four-year period and be updated at least every four years. The portion of the STIP in a metropolitan planning area is developed in cooperation with the MPO, with metropolitan TIPs included without change, directly or by reference, in the STIP after their approval by the MPO and the State's Governor. The STIP is jointly approved by FTA and FHWA and serves as the basis for funding awards under Title 23 and Title 49, Chapter 53, for the individual projects and strategies included.

In summary, the <u>UPWP</u> identifies transportation planning activities in metropolitan areas and supports the request for funding to support the work under both FTA and FHWA planning programs in metropolitan areas. A similar listing of planning activities is prepared on a statewide level as the basis for FTA and FHWA <u>SP&R funding</u>. The <u>metropolitan transportation plan</u> and <u>statewide transportation plan</u> reflect the long range goals and objectives determined through the metropolitan and statewide transportation planning processes respectively. The <u>TIP</u> and <u>STIP</u> are short-range multi-year listings of highway and transit improvement projects which are consistent with the metropolitan and statewide transportation plans and which support the request for, and receipt of, federal transportation funding under Title 23 U.S.C. and Chapter 53 of Title 49 U.S.C.

1. Explain the circumstances that make information collection necessary.

FTA and FHWA jointly carry out the federal mandate to improve metropolitan and statewide transportation under authority of Title 49, Chapter 53, and Title 23 of the United States Code. Sections 5305(g) of Title 49 and 104(f) of Title 23 authorize funds to support transportation planning at metropolitan and statewide levels. As a condition to receive Title 49, Chapter 53, and Title 23 funding, requirements are established for metropolitan and statewide transportation planning under Sections 5303 and 5304 of Title 49 and Sections 134 and 135 of Title 23 that call for development of transportation plans and transportation improvement programs in all States and metropolitan areas. The information collection activities necessary to prepare federally required plans and programs, and the supporting planning studies proposed for funding in UPWPs and under the SP&R work programs are necessary to monitor and evaluate current and projected usage and performance of transportation systems nationwide - in each urbanized area and throughout every State.

The metropolitan transportation plan and TIP are required by Sections 49 U.S.C. 5303 and 23 U.S.C. 134, which state that "metropolitan planning organizations, in cooperation with the State, shall develop transportation plans and programs for urbanized areas of the State." 49 U.S.C. 5304 and 23 U.S.C 135 require that each "State shall develop a long-range transportation plan and STIP for all areas of the State." Both statutory sections require that "the process for developing such plans and programs shall provide for consideration of all modes of transportation and shall be continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive." MPOs and States use metropolitan and statewide plans, TIPs, and STIPs as the basis for investing federal and non-federal capital funds for transportation infrastructure investments. (Note: Paperwork Reduction Act requirements for preparation of the STIP are covered by OMB control number 2125-0039.)

23 CFR Part 450 implements these statutory requirements. (Note: 23 CFR Part 450 is identical to, and cross-referenced by, the equivalent regulation in Title 49, 49 CFR Part 613.) The MPO, together with the State and public transportation operators, prepares plans for each urbanized area, while the State develops a statewide plan, which, in metropolitan areas, is developed in cooperation with affected MPOs. Additionally, metropolitan plans developed under the statutory and regulatory requirements cited above in nonattainment and maintenance areas must be found by FTA/FHWA to be in conformity with State Implementation Plans (SIP)) for attaining Environmental Protection Agency ambient air quality standards. These plans form the basis for development of TIPs and STIPs, the short-range programming documents for federally-funded transportation capital investments.

A UPWP is required by 23 CFR 450.308 for all MPOs in TMAs. MPOs in urbanized areas of less than 200,000 in population, with prior approval by the State, FTA, and FHWA, may use a simplified statement of work as their planning grant application instead of developing a full UPWP. Details of the required planning processes supported by FTA and FHWA metropolitan planning funds, as required by Section 5303 of Title 49 U.S.C. and 23 U.S.C. 134, are set out in 23 CFR 450. The planning grant application is based upon a cooperative State and locally developed and endorsed UPWP and is the mechanism by which grantees request federal funding. The information contained in the UPWP is necessary to establish the eligibility of the activities for which funding is being requested.

2. <u>Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used.</u>

Preparation of UPWPs, project listing for SP&R funding, metropolitan and statewide plans, TIPs, and STIPs are essential components of decision-making by State and local officials for planning and programming federal transportation dollars to support the priority transportation investment needs of their areas. In addition to serving as the grant application by States for FHWA and FTA planning funds in metropolitan areas, UPWPs are used by FTA and FHWA on a national scale to establish national out year budgets and regional program plans, develop policy on using funds, monitor State and local consistency with national planning and technical emphasis areas, respond to Congressional inquiries, prepare congressional testimony, and ensure efficiency in the use and expenditure of federal funds by determining that planning proposals are reasonable, cost-effective, and supportive of full compliance with all applicable federal law and regulations.

When reviewing UPWPs, FTA and FHWA evaluate:

a. the grantee's ability to carry out the work program;

- b. the eligibility of each work task for funding;
- c. the ability of the collective work tasks to ensure full compliance with all applicable federal laws and regulations; and
- d. the inclusion of tasks reflecting areas of national planning emphasis.

Sections 5303 and 5304 of 49 U.S.C. and Sections 134 and 135 of 23 U.S.C. require the development of plans and programs in all urbanized areas and entire States respectively. After approval by the Governor and MPO, metropolitan TIPs in attainment areas are to be incorporated directly into the STIP. For nonattainment areas, as required by the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (CAAA), FTA/FHWA must make a conformity finding on these areas' plans and TIPs before TIPs are incorporated into STIPs.

The complete STIP is then jointly reviewed and approved (or disapproved) by FTA and FHWA. With that action comes a joint determination, or finding, by FTA and FHWA that metropolitan and statewide planning processes are in compliance with all applicable federal laws and regulations. These planning "findings," conformity determinations, and approval actions constitute the determination that State and metropolitan area transportation planning processes are complying with federal law and regulatory requirements - as a condition of eligibility for receiving federal-aid. Without the supporting documents, these "findings" and planning approvals cannot be made as the basis for making project-level grant awards.

Requirements of the TIP and STIP as described by 23 CFR Part 450 are:

<u>Identification of projects</u> - since a STIP/TIP is made up of various types of capital and non-capital surface transportation projects, from equipment acquisition to major highway and transit-way construction, it is essential that these projects be identified and described.

<u>Estimated cost</u> - since the STIP/TIP is the basis for subsequent programming and obligation of both Federal Aid Highway and FTA capital funds, there must be an indication of project cost and federal funds required.

<u>Source of Federal funds</u> - The STIP/TIP is an integrated FTA/FHWA program. Because both agencies have several statutory sources of funds, each with different eligibility requirements, it is necessary to know what projects are proposed to be funded from which fund.

<u>Identification of the recipient</u> - Because the STIP/TIP is an integrated program of highway and transit improvements, many potential capital grant recipients have projects included in the document. For FTA funding, it is necessary that each individual project be identified as to the likely capital grant applicant.

In nonattainment areas, the TIP must also identify and give priority to Transportation Control Measures (TCM) included in an approved State Implementation Plan (as required by the Clean Air Act) for air quality attainment and must describe how these TCMs are to be implemented. Projects included in nonattainment area TIPs must be described in sufficient detail to permit air quality analysis for the purpose of making a conformity determination.

In addition to the air quality analysis for nonattainment areas, FTA and FHWA's review of all areas must ensure that:

- a. Programmed projects are consistent with long range plans.
- b. Projects reflect the areas' priorities and are consistent with the anticipated availability of funds.
- c. The MPO and State Governor approve the metropolitan TIP and it is incorporated, without change, into the STIP.
- d. An opportunity for public comment was provided during the development of the STIP/TIP.

The STIP/TIP requirement reduces the burden to potential capital grant applicants by imposing the programming requirements at one point and setting one response to these requirements.

3. <u>Describe to what extent the collection of information involves the use of automated or other technological collection techniques and any consideration of using information technology to reduce burden.</u>

The SP&R program, UPWP, metropolitan and statewide plan, TIP, and STIP are adaptable to computer generation and revision. Both FTA and FHWA have extensive technical assistance programs encouraging application of computer techniques. These programs reduce burden by achieving time-savings in technical analysis, report revisions, and clerical activities through automation. The planning products that MPO's produce, including the TIP, the metropolitan plans, the STIP, and the state plans are the products of the local transportation planning process, so there is no specific format required. These documents are posted to the MPO's and State DOT's public websites so they can be made available for review and comment by the public and local elected officials and decision-makers in a manner that supports local transportation planning needs. The FTA and FHWA do not require that the planning products be submitted to FTA or FHWA in hard copy, but only that they be made available for review, and every MPO and State DOT maintains these products on their websites. Examples of these documents will be submitted into ROCIS under the supplemental section.

4. <u>Describe efforts to identify duplication</u>. <u>Show specifically why similar information</u> already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described.

While the transit and highway funding programs for planning and project implementation are unique to FTA and FHWA, FTA and FHWA cooperate to avoid duplication of effort. Most visible is consolidating FTA and FHWA statutory requirements for planning through the issuance of joint planning regulations. MPOs and States prepare a single set of UPWPs, plans, TIPs, and STIPs to satisfy both FTA and FHWA requirements.

The information contained in projects proposed for funding under the SP&R programs, UPWPs, metropolitan and state plans, TIPs, and STIPs are not contained in any other federally required document. However, where this information is already contained in State and local planning documents, that can be accepted, provided all FTA and FHWA requirements are met, thus further reducing any duplication and unnecessary burden.

5. Describe methods used to minimize burden on small businesses or other small entities.

The SP&R programs, UPWPs, transportation plans, STIP/TIPs, and associated data collection does not involve small businesses. However, FTA and FHWA have eliminated several significant technical and administrative requirements which permit small urbanized areas to develop work activities which meet their own needs. 23 CFR 450.308 also provides for simplified procedures and a five-year update cycle for the development of plans in metropolitan areas which are in attainment of transportation air quality standards.

6. <u>Describe the consequences to federal program or policy activities if collection were conducted less frequently.</u>

Less frequent data collection is not possible since it would not allow sufficiently current and accurate work descriptions and budget estimates. Furthermore, the schedules for planning documents set forth in the regulations are identical to provisions set forth in the FAST Act.

7. Explain any special circumstances that require the collection to be conducted in a manner inconsistent with 5 CFR 1320.6.

The information collected is consistent with the guidelines in 5 CFR 1320.6. Previous SP&R programs, UPWPs, plans, and STIP/TIPs need not be retained after revisions are made. Consequently, retention falls within the four-year requirement. The 20-year plan horizon cited in the joint planning regulations refers to an analysis period and not a record retention period.

8. Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views.

A 60-day Federal Register notice was published on September 18, 2023 Vol. 88, No. 179 (Pages 64024-64025) soliciting comments prior to submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). No comments were received. A 30-Day Federal Register notice was published on November 17, 2023 Vol. 88 No. 221 (pages 80381).

9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents.

No payment or gift is made to respondents.

10. <u>Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in the statute, regulation or agency policy.</u>

There is no assurance of confidentiality given regarding submission of the information collected. The data is used for determining eligibility for receipt of grant funds and compliance with statutory requirements. All information collected is certified to comply with the Freedom of Information Act, the Privacy Act of 1974, and OMB Circular A-108.

11. Provide any additional information for questions of a sensitive nature.

The documents do not require any information of a sensitive nature such as sexual behavior or attitudes, religious beliefs, or other matters that are commonly considered private. None of the information required is of a personal nature.

12. <u>Provide an estimate of the hour burden of the collection of information and annualized cost to respondents.</u>

Respondents: State Departments of Transportation and MPOs.

<u>Estimated Annual Respondents</u>: 502 respondents. <u>Estimated Total Annual Burden</u>: 5,869,921 hours.

Frequency: Annual.

The following summarizes in tabular form the estimated burden hours for the collection of information for the purposes of developing and completing UPWPs, metropolitan and statewide transportation plans, and TIPs/STIPs and an explanation of the methodology used to calculate the number of hours required per submission. There are 502 entities required to submit information under this requirement which includes 52 State DOT's, and 450 MPO's. Those MPOs that are located in Urbanized Areas with a population over 200,000 are required to complete additional reporting. Thus, in the following tabulations, the MPO's are differentiated by population size to calculate total burden hours.

<u>Unified Planning Work Programs (UPWPs)</u> –

		Total	Burden	Total Annual
	Number of	Annual	Hours per	Burden
Urbanized Area (UZA) Pop.	Entities	Submissions	Submission	<u>Hours</u>
Under 200,000	258	258	200	51,600
Over 200,000	<u>192</u>	<u>192</u>	300	<u>57,600</u>
TOTAL	450	450		109,200

<u>Transportation Improvement Programs (TIPs and STIPs) – </u>

Total	Avg.	Total		
	of States	Annual	Hours per	Annual
	& MPOs	Submissions	Submission	Hours

MPOs	450	225	28,662	2,450,009
State DOTs	<u>52</u>	26	20,542	534,092
TOTAL	502	251		2,984,101

Transportation Plans

	Number of Entities	Total Annual Submission	Burden Hours per Submission	Total Annual Burden Hours
MPOs	450	97	60,611	2,326,716
State DOTs	<u>52</u>	13	34,608	449,904
TOTAL	502	110		2,776,620

TOTAL ANNUAL BURDEN HOURS

Burden Hours

UPWPs	109,200
TIP/STIPs	2,984,101
Plans	<u>2,776,620</u>
TOTAL	5,869,921

TOTAL ANNUAL HOUR BURDEN COST³

Burden Cost w/o Overhead

UPWPs	\$ 5,539,120	
TIPs	124,288,956	
Metropolitan Plans	118,034,277	
STIPs	27,094,487	
Statewide Plans	22,823,629	_
TOTAL	\$297,781,066	

Estimated cost to the respondents: UPWP

The respondent's cost is the cost of the MPOs' and State agencies' staff time required to compile and produce the UPWP. UPWPs must be developed identifying work activities over the next one or two-year period. Given the complex nature of the planning requirements, we estimate that an

average of 300 hours per respondent will be required by MPOs to prepare UPWPs in TMAs and 200 hours per respondent in non-TMAs. Note that although 23 CFR 450.308 allows MPOs in the 202 non-TMAs to prepare simplified statements of work, FTA and FHWA know of no MPOs that are developing such simplified statements.

According to the most current (May 2022) Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) the hourly salary for an Urban and Regional Planner (19-3051) <u>Urban and Regional Planners (bls.gov.)</u> \$50.73 per hour (\$39.63 national median salary rate + \$11.10 fringe benefits) total respondent cost is estimated at \$5,539,716.

	TMA's	UZA's	TOTAL
UPWP	192	258	450
Annual Submittals	192	258	450
Hours per Submittal	300	200	
Total Hours	57,600	51,600	109,200
Cost per hour	\$50.73	\$50.73	
Cost	\$2,922,048	\$2,617,668	\$5,539,716

OMB has previously approved the burden on respondents to develop State (SPR) work programs under FHWA control number 2125-0039.

Estimated cost to the respondents: TIP/STIP

Metropolitan TIPs are prepared by MPOs in cooperation with the State and local public transportation operators. Although the requirements for metropolitan TIPs and plans, particularly in nonattainment areas, are complex, current burden estimates have been generated from past experiences, informal discussion with both FTA/FHWA field staff and respondents, and a comparison of recent trends in the allocation of resources by respondents to meet the requirements. We estimate that MPOs will spend approximately 28,662 person hours in the development of the TIP document.

	TIPS
MPOS	450
Annual Submittals	225
Hours per Submittal	28,662
Total Hours	2,450,009
Cost per hour	\$ 50.73
Cost	\$124,288,956

The development by States of a STIP draws heavily on the work cooperatively done by MPOs and States in the preparation of metropolitan TIPs. This work burden has already been calculated in this section; however, to the extent that STIPs must reflect the programming of transportation projects in nonmetropolitan areas, there exists some marginal burden in the development of the overall statewide program. We estimate that burden at 20,542 person hours is required for each STIP.

STIPS	52
Annual Submittals	26
Hours per submittal	20,542
Total hours	534,092
Cost per hour	\$50.73
Total cost	\$27,094,487

Total respondent burden hours for the TIP/STIP development are estimated to be 2,984,101. Total respondent cost for TIP/STIP development is estimated to be \$151,383,443.

TIPS and STIPS	
Total Hours	2,984,101
Total Cost	\$151,383,443

Transportation Plans

The Joint Planning Regulations require that plans in nonattainment and maintenance areas be updated and submitted to FTA/FHWA every four years and that plans in attainment areas be updated every five years. The development by States of a statewide plan draws heavily on the work cooperatively done by MPOs and States in the preparation of metropolitan TIPs and plans. This work burden has already been calculated in this section; however, to the extent that statewide plans must reflect the planning of transportation projects in nonmetropolitan areas, there exists some marginal burden in the development of the overall plan. We estimate that burden at 60,611 person hours are required for the preparation of the metropolitan plan and 34,608 person hours for the statewide plan. Assuming an average rate of \$50.73/ hour we estimate that the respondent cost for the metropolitan plan is \$78,159,420 and for the statewide plan is \$15,800,418. These amounts are calculated below:

Metropolitan Plans	
	MPO Plans
MPOs	
	450
Submittals	
	97
Hours per Submittal	
	60,611
Total Hours	
	2,326,716
Cost per hour	
	\$50.73
Total Cost	¢119.024.277
TOTAL COST	\$118,034,277

Statewide LRP	52	
Submittals	13	
Hours per Submittal	34,608	
Total hours	449,904	
Cost per hour	\$50.73	
Total Cost	\$22,823,629	

Total respondent burden hours for the plan development by States and MPOs are estimated to be 2,776,620. Total respondent cost for plan development is estimated to be \$140,857,907.

Metropolitan and Statewide Plans	
Total Hours	2,776,620
	\$140,857,907
Total Cost	

13. Provide estimate of annualized cost to respondents or record keepers resulting from the collection of information (not including the cost of any hour burden shown in Items 12 and 14).

There are no capital or start-up costs associated directly with the collection of information required by the UPWPs, TIPs/STIPs, and plans. Any capital equipment used to provide this information in most cases would have been purchased to carry out general transportation and air quality planning activities. Therefore, there are no additional costs beyond that shown in Items 12 and 14.

14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the federal government.

\$651,854 (\$273,735 + \$205,301+ \$147,513 + \$17,397 + \$7,908)

a. Cost to the federal government: UPWP

The UPWP is a required statement of proposed planning activities to be undertaken by MPOs, in cooperation with States and public transportation operators, which forms the basis for FTA and FHWA to make funds available to State DOTs for financing the proposed work.

MPOs submit a UPWP at least biennially (although in practice most MPOs submit UPWPs annually). The federal government's cost is limited to the cost of FTA and FHWA staff time required in consultation with the MPOs and State DOTs during preparation of the UPWP and in reviewing the document or negotiating any revisions that may be necessary. This effort is done by FTA and FHWA field staff and is estimated to require about 10 hours to review each UPWP per year. At an average hourly rate of \$60.83 (with 32.49% locality pay) for GS-13 step 5 staff, the annual federal cost of reviewing UPWPs for TMAs, including overhead, is estimated to be approximately \$273,735 and is calculated as follows:

- 450 submissions x 10 hours per submission = 4,500 total hours
- 4,500 total hours x \$60.83 hour = \$273,735

Please note that each State DOT also submits a statewide planning work program, which serves as the basis of the State's application for federal financial assistance for planning activities. Typically, a State's work program incorporates UPWPs with less detailed work plans developed by MPOs in urbanized areas below 200,000 population in cooperation with the State and local public transportation operators. The statewide work program also describes the planning activities to be undertaken in rural areas and other activities. The information collection requirements of the State work program have been previously approved by the Office of Management and Budget under FHWA control number 2125-0039.

b. Cost to the federal government: Metropolitan TIPs/Plans

Transportation Improvement Programs (TIPs)

TIPs are required to be submitted at least every four years; however, they may be amended at any time and many MPOs submit TIPs on an annual basis. Furthermore, FTA and FHWA must make a joint conformity finding for any new or amended TIP and for new or updated plans in the approximately 131 nonattainment and maintenance areas nationally for ozone, carbon monoxide, and PM_{10} . We estimate that the reviews of these documents consume an average a minimum of 15 hours per submission.

Given the above, we estimate that with an average grade of GS-13 step 5 (\$60.83 per hour), the annual federal cost, including overhead, is \$205,301 for TIP reviews and amendments as determined by the following:

- 225 submissions x 15 hours = 3,375 total hours
- 3.375 total hours x \$60.83 = \$205,301

Metropolitan Plans

Plans in the 131 nonattainment areas must be updated and reviewed every four years and in the 319 attainment areas every five years for an average of 97 submissions per year. We estimate that the reviews of these documents consume an average a minimum of 25 hours per submission. The annual federal cost for reviewing metropolitan plans is \$147,513 and is determined by the following:

- 97 submissions x 25 hours = 2,425 total hours
- 2,425 total hours x \$60.83 = \$147,513

c. <u>STIP/State Plan</u>

Statewide Transportation Improvement Programs (STIPs)

The STIP is the financially constrained program of an entire State's FTA- and FHWA-funded (and other significant) transportation projects. It includes, either directly or by reference, TIPs prepared by MPOs for metropolitan areas. Review and approval of the STIP, then, basically consists of the sum total of metropolitan TIP reviews (as described in 14b) plus reviews of programmed projects in rural areas and the statewide public participation process. STIPs are required to be submitted at least every four years; however, they may be amended at any time and many states submit STIPs on an annual basis. The FTA/FHWA review of the STIP is estimated at 11 person hours. The annual federal cost for reviewing STIPs is \$17,397 and is determined by the following:

- 26 submissions x 11 hours = 286 total hour.
- 286 total hours x \$60.83 = \$17,397

Statewide Plan

The statewide plan requirement was continued under the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL). The statewide plan is a required product of each of the 50 States, Puerto Rico, and the District of Columbia. The portion of the plan in metropolitan areas is to be developed in cooperation with MPOs. The review of this portion of the statewide plan occurs during the review of the metropolitan plan (see 14b for burden estimates). Review of the overall statewide plan is estimated at 10 hours.

23 CFR 450, Section 214 requires that statewide plans be "periodically updated." Assuming a reasonable update cycle of 4 years, the cost of the federal government of statewide plan review is estimated at \$7,908.

- 13 submissions x 10 hours = 130 total hours
- 130 total hours x \$60.83 = \$7,908

Total Cost to Federal Government:

\$273,735 + \$205,301+ \$147,513 + \$17,397 + \$7,908 = 651,854

15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments reported to the OMB.

There have been only a few minor programmatic additions from BIL to this program since 2020. However, this request is associated with an increase in respondents from 456 currently to 502 in this proposed information collection. The increase is a result of newly designated MPOs and TMAs due to population growth found during the 2020 census. This was not a result of any federal policy or regulation change. As a result, there is a corresponding change, increasing burden hours. In addition, there is a change in the cost to the respondents and the cost to the federal government. Both areas have been updated to reflect the current Department of Labor wage category and the 2023 OPM Federal salary table. The costs were updated to apply revised labor costs for inflation, and a new uniform overhead/fringe benefits rate used by all Department of Transportation modes.

16. Outline plans for tabulation and publication and address any complex analytical techniques that will be used.

There are no plans for publishing the results or contents of the UPWPs, plans, or TIPs submitted or for any tabulation or statistical analysis.

17. <u>If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate.</u>

Not applicable.

18. Explain each exception to the certification for Paperwork Reduction Act submissions of OMB Form 83-I.

Not applicable.