Maternal, Infant, and Early Childhood Home Visiting (MIECHV) Program On-site Compliance Review Awardee Feedback Form

OMB Control No. 0915-0212 Expiration Date: 4/30/2024

Public Burden Statement: The purpose of this information collection request is to assess awardees satisfaction with the site visit process which is being supported through a contracted TA provider. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control number for this project is 0915-0212. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average .25 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to HRSA Reports Clearance Officer, 5600 Fishers Lane, Room 14N136B, Rockville, Maryland, 20857.

Introduction Letter

* 1. Dear Awardee,

Thank you for participating in the recent HRSA MIECHV Compliance Review site visit. In an effort to continuously improve our processes we would like to get your feedback on the site visit and the staff assigned to the site visit. This survey will allow us to gather feedback and report any successes, recommendations for improvement, and/or challenges to HRSA immediately.

Your feedback helps us review our processes, assess our staff, and make any necessary improvements. Your responses are anonymous and the survey should take less than 10 minutes to complete. Please complete it within 5 business days of the date of receipt. If you have questions about the survey please contact the Project Manager, [name, email, phone number]. We look forward to your feedback.

Awardee	Your Role on the Project	Please Select Your Project Officer
		\(\)

The dropdown box above will list the current HRSA project officer's names.

Pre-Site Vis	it							
	s an evaluation of the ring these questions p	•						
* 2. Did you pa	articipate in a pre-sit	e visit conference	call?					
Yes								
No								
* 3. The plann	* 3. The planning for the site visit was timely and responsive to our needs.							
Disagree Stro	ngly Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A			
* 4. The Site V	isit Assessment Too	ol helped us prepai	re for the site	visit.				
Disagree Stro	ngly Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A			
* 5. The pre-si	te visit planning call	helped us prepare	e for the site v	isit.				
Disagree Stro	ngly Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A			
* 6. The virtual	site visit readiness c	hecklist helped us	prepare for the	site visit.				
Disagree Stro	ngly Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A			
* 7. Expectation	ons of the site visit w	vere clearly articul	ated.					
Disagree Stro		Undecided	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A			
* 9 Digase nr	ovide any additional	comments/ recom	mendations al	hout the pre-site vis	it nrocess			

OMB Control No. Expiration Date: 0	

Site Visit – Progra	mmatic Consu	ultant			
			-	•	en answering
9. Site Visit Staff:					
Who is the Programma	tic Consultant tha	t visited you?			
The Programmatic	Consultant				
10. Exhibited appro	opriate knowle	edge of MIECHV pr	ograms.		
Disagree Strongly	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A
Assessment Tool a	and the HRSA	site visit process.	-		
Disagree Strongly	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A
		O			
•	-		Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A
Disagree Strongly	Disagree	Ondecided	Agree	Strongly Agree	
_				mple questions to fra	ame the
Disagree Strongly	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A
14. The site visit de	ebriefing prov	ided an accurate a	ccount of the	discussions during t	the site visit.
Disagree Strongly	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A
15. Please provide	any additiona	l comments conce	rning the proo	grammatic consultan	t.
	This section is an exthese questions pleated. 9. Site Visit Staff: Who is the Programmatic of the Program	This section is an evaluation of the these questions please think specifically. 9. Site Visit Staff: Who is the Programmatic Consultant that The Programmatic Consultant 10. Exhibited appropriate knowled bisagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree 11. Was well prepared, demonstrate Assessment Tool and the HRSA Disagree Strongly Disagree 12. Was objective and profession Disagree 13. Effectively utilized the Site Vidiscussion and to add clarity to discussion and to add clarity to discuss the discussion and discussion and discussion and discussion and discussion	these questions please think specifically about that me 9. Site Visit Staff: Who is the Programmatic Consultant that visited you? The Programmatic Consultant 10. Exhibited appropriate knowledge of MIECHV proposed by Disagree Undecided 11. Was well prepared, demonstrated knowledge of Assessment Tool and the HRSA site visit process. Disagree Strongly Disagree Undecided 12. Was objective and professional Disagree Strongly Disagree Undecided 13. Effectively utilized the Site Visit Assessment Todiscussion and to add clarity to the items being assessment of the site visit debriefing provided an accurate accurat	This section is an evaluation of the programmatic consultant assigned these questions please think specifically about that member of the sit 9. Site Visit Staff: Who is the Programmatic Consultant that visited you? The Programmatic Consultant 10. Exhibited appropriate knowledge of MIECHV programs. Disagree Strongly Disagree Undecided Agree 11. Was well prepared, demonstrated knowledge of our organizal Assessment Tool and the HRSA site visit process. Disagree Strongly Disagree Undecided Agree 12. Was objective and professional Disagree Strongly Disagree Undecided Agree 13. Effectively utilized the Site Visit Assessment Tool and the saldiscussion and to add clarity to the items being assessed. Disagree Strongly Disagree Undecided Agree 14. The site visit debriefing provided an accurate account of the Disagree Strongly Disagree Undecided Agree	This section is an evaluation of the programmatic consultant assigned to your site visit. Whethese questions please think specifically about that member of the site visit team. 9. Site Visit Staff: Who is the Programmatic Consultant that visited you? The Programmatic Consultant 10. Exhibited appropriate knowledge of MIECHV programs. Disagree Strongly Disagree Undecided Agree Strongly Agree 11. Was well prepared, demonstrated knowledge of our organization, the Site Visit Assessment Tool and the HRSA site visit process. Disagree Strongly Disagree Undecided Agree Strongly Agree 12. Was objective and professional Disagree Strongly Disagree Undecided Agree Strongly Agree 13. Effectively utilized the Site Visit Assessment Tool and the sample questions to fred discussion and to add clarity to the items being assessed. Disagree Strongly Disagree Undecided Agree Strongly Agree 14. The site visit debriefing provided an accurate account of the discussions during the sample for the sample for the discussion during the sample for the sample for the sample for the sample for the discussion during the sample for

	Site Visit - Fiscal C	Consultant				
			e fiscal consultant ass about that member c	-	site visit. When answe	ering these
*	16. Site Visit Staff:					
*	Who is the Fiscal Cons	sultant that visited	d you?			
	The Fiscal Consulta	ınt				
*	17. Exhibited appro	opriate knowl	edge of MIECHV pro	ograms		
	Disagree Strongly	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A
	18. Was well preparation of a Assessment Tool and Disagree Strongly 19. Was objective and Disagree Strongly	Disagree	Undecided	Agree Agree	Strongly Agree Strongly Agree	N/A N/A
	-		isit Assessment To the items being ass		imple questions to fra	ame the
	Disagree Strongly	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A
	0	\circ	0	\circ		
*	21. The site visit de	ebriefing prov	vided an accurate ac	count of the	discussions during t	he site visit.
	Disagree Strongly	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A
*	22. Please provide	any additiona	al comments conce	rning the fisc	al consultant.	

Expiration Date: 04/30/2024

OMB Control No. 0915-0212

Site Visit -	· Notetake	r						
			otetaker assigned to ember of the site vis	-	it. When ansv	vering these	questions	
* 23. The n	ote taker c	demonstrated p	orofessionalism in	supporting	the site visit			
Disagree	Strongly	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly	Agree	N/A	
	0	0			0		(\supset
* 24. Pleas	e provide a	any additional	comments concer	ning the not	etaker.			
Virtual Site	e Visit							
			te visit activities that ally about the virtual		-		ering	
^c 25. What v	were the m	ost significant	challenges you er	ncountered <u>(</u>	during the pl	anning pha	se of the	
		eck all that app	oly.					
		t Availability						
			ribe:)				
		artners Not Avai	ilable ons with Consultani	te and UDCA	Staff			
		eeting Days Too		is aliu MKSA	Slaii			
		eeting Days Too	_					
)					
_	No Challe							
_		-						
								- 1

/irtual Si	te Visit
26. What vall that ap	were the most significant challenges you encountered <u>during the virtual site visit review</u> ? Choply.
	Document Availability
	Technology (Please describe:)
	Staff or Partners Not Available
	Limited Time for Discussions with Consultants and HRSA Staff
	Virtual Meeting Days Too Long
	Virtual Meeting Days Too Short
	Other (Please describe:)
	No Challenges
7. Please	e provide any additional comments about the virtual site visit process.
	<u> </u>
ak vou fo	or completing this survey! If you have additional comments or questions about this surve
	ct the Project Manager, [name, email, phone number].