DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY Federal Emergency Management Agency

RIVERINE STRUCTURES FORM (FORM 3)

PAPERWORK BURDEN DISCLOSURE NOTICE

Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 3.5 hours per response. The burden estimate includes the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the needed data, and completing, reviewing, and submitting the form. You are not required to respond to this collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding the accuracy of the burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing this burden to: Information Collections Management, Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street, SW, Washington, DC 20472, Paperwork Reduction Project (1660-0016). Submission of the form is required to obtain or retain benefits under the National Flood Insurance Program. **Please do not send your completed survey to the above address**.

PAPERWORK BURDEN DISCLOSURE NOTICE

AUTHORITY: The National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, Public Law 90-448, as amended by the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, Public Law 93-234.

PRINCIPAL PURPOSE(S): This information is being collected for the purpose of determining an applicant's eligibility to request changes to National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM).

ROUTINE USE(S): The information on this form may be disclosed as generally permitted under 5 U.S.C § 552a(b) of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended. This includes using this information as necessary and authorized by the routine uses published in DHS/FEMA/ NFIP/LOMA-1 National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP); Letter of Map Amendment (LOMA) February 15, 2006, 71 FR 7990. **DISCLOSURE:** The disclosure of information on this form is voluntary; however, failure to provide the information requested may delay or prevent FEMA from processing a determination regarding a requested change to a (NFIP) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM).

Flooding Source:

NOTE: Fill out one form for each flooding source studied.									
A. GENERAL									
Complete the appropriate section(s) for each Structure listed below:									
	Channelization:	Complete Section B							
	Bridge/Culvert:	Complete Section C							
	Dam:	Complete Section D							
	Levee/Floodwall:	Complete Section E							
	Sediment Transport:	Complete Section F (if requi	ired)						
DE	SCRIPTION OF MODELED	STRUCTURE	-						
	Name of Structure:		Type (check one):						
			Channelization Bridge/Culvert Levee/Floodwall Dam						
1.	Location of Structure:								
	Downstream Limit/Cross Section		Upstream Limit/Cross Section:						
	Name of Structure:		Type (check one):						
			Channelization Bridge/Culvert Levee/Floodwall Dam						
2.	Location of Structure:								
	Downstream Limit/Cross Section		Upstream Limit/Cross Section:						
	Name of Structure:		Type (check one):						
			Channelization Bridge/Culvert Levee/Floodwall Dam						
3.	Location of Structure:								
5.									
	Downstream Limit/Cross Section		Upstream Limit/Cross Section:						
	NOTE: FOR MORE STRUCTURES, ATTACH ADDITIONAL PAGES AS NEEDED.								

B. CHANNELIZATION								
Flooding Source:								
Name of Structure:								
1. HYDRAULIC CONSIDERATIONS								
The channel was designated to carry	(cfs) and/or the	-year flood and/or the	% annual-chance.					
The design elevation in the channel is based or	ו (check one):							
Subcritical flow Critical flow Superc								
If there is the potential for a hydraulic jump at the following locations, check all that apply and attach an explanation of how the hydraulic jump is controlled without affecting the stability of the channel. Inlet to channel Outlet to channel At Drop Structures At Transitions Other locations (Specify):								
2. CHANNEL DESIGN PLANS								
Attach the plans of the channelization certified I	oy a registered professiona	I engineer, as described in the instr	ructions.					
3. ACCESSORY STRUCTURES								
The channelization includes (check one): Levees [Attach Section E (Levee/Floodwall)] Drop structures Superelevated sections Energy dissipater Transitions in cross sectional geometry Debris basin/detention basin [Attach Section D (Dam/Basin)] Weir Other (Describe):								
4. SEDIMENT TRANSPORT CONSIDERATIO	NS							
Are the hydraulics of the channel affected by sediment transport? Yes (If "Yes," then fill out Section F (Sediment Transport) of Form 3) No (If "No," then attach your explanation for why sediment transport was not considered)								
C. BRIDGE/CULVERT								
Flooding Source:								
Name of Structure:								
1. This revision reflects (check one):								
Bridge/Culvert not modeled in the FIS Modified Bridge/Culvert previously modeled in the FIS Revised analysis of Bridge/Culvert previously modeled in the FIS								
2. Hydraulic model used to analyze the structur	e (e.g., HEC-RAS, 1-D, or	2-D; unsteady or steady flow condit	tions):					
If different than hydraulic analysis for the floodir analyze the structures. Attach justification.	ng source, justify why the h	ydraulic analysis used for the flood	ing source could not					
 3. Attach plans of the structures certified by a refollowing (check the information that has bee Dimensions (height, width, span, radius, leng Wing Wall Angle Skew Angle Distribution Low Chord Elevations - Upstream and Dowr Structure Invert Elevations - Upstream and E Cross-Section Locations 	n provided): gth)	nly) 🗌 Material 📄 Beveling and	d Rounding vnstream					
4. Sediment Transport Considerations Are the hydraulics of the channel affected by se Yes (If "yes," then fill out Section F (Sediment No (If "No," then attach your explanation for	nt Transport) of Form 3)	s not considered)						

D. DAM/BASIN							
Flooding Source:							
Name of Structure:							
1. This request is for (check one):	1. This request is for (check one):						
Existing Dam/Basin New Dam/Basin Mo	odification of Existing Dam/Basin						
2. The Dam/Basin was designed by (check one):							
Federal Agency State Agency Private C	Drganization 🗌 Local Government Ag	gency					
Name of the Agency or Organization:							
3. The Dam was permitted as (check one):							
🗌 Federal Dam 🔲 State Dam							
Provide the permit or identification number (ID) for the	ne dam and the appropriate permitting	agency or organization.					
Permit or ID Number: Perm	mitting Agency or Organization:						
a. 🗌 Local Government Dam 🗌 Private Dam							
Provided related drawings, specification and support	ing design information.						
 4. Does the project involve revised hydrology? Yes (If "Yes," complete the Riverine Hydrology & 	Hydraulics Form (Form 2))						
		the second s					
Was the dam/basin designed using Probable Maxim		mum volume of runoπ)					
No, provide a written explanation and justification		lood					
5. Does the submittal include debris/sediment yield a	analysis?						
Yes (If "Yes," then fill out Section F (Sediment Tra							
□ No (If "No," then attach your explanation for why o	debris/sediment analysis was not cons	idered)					
6. Does the Base Flood Elevation behind the dam/ba	asin or downstream of the dam/basin c	hange?					
☐ Yes (If "Yes," complete the Riverine Hydrology &	Hydraulics Form (Form 2) and comple	te the table below) 🗌 No					
	ER ELEVATION BEHIND THE DAM/BASI	N					
RECURRENCE INTERVAL (% ANNUAL CHANCE)	FIS	REVISED					
10-YEAR (10%) 50-YEAR (2%)							
100-YEAR (1%)							
500-YEAR (0.2%)							
NORMAL POOL ELEVATION							
7. Please attach a copy of the formal Operation and	Maintenance Plan.						
8. Is the dam in an area where seismic events could	cause hazardous condition for the dar	n?					
Yes (If "Yes," provide seismic study conducted on potential ground motion effects on project features)							
E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL							
1. SYSTEM ELEMENTS							
A. This Levee/Floodwall analysis is based on (check one):							
Upgrading of an existing levee/floodwall system A newly constructed levee/floodwall system Reanalysis of an existing levee/floodwall system							
B. Levee elements and locations are (check one):							
Embankment/floodwall reaches and locations	Stationedto						
Structured floodwall	Stationedto						
Other (Describe):	Stationedto						

E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL (Continued)								
1. SYSTEM ELEMENTS (Continued)								
C. Floodwall Type (check one): Monolithic cast-in reinforced concrete Reinforced concrete masonry block Sheet piling Other (Describe):								
D. Has this levee/floodwall system been Yes No If "Yes," by which agency?								
If "Yes," then no other sections of this f	orm needed to be	completed.						
E. Attach certified drawings containing the following information (indicate drawing sheet numbers): 1. Plan of the levee embankment and floodwall structures. Sheet Numbers:								
Riverine 3.0 feet or more at the downstream end and throughout 3.5 feet or more at the upstream end 4.0 feet within 100 feet upstream of all structures and/or constrictions								
Coastal 1.0 foot above the height of the one percent wave associated with the 1%-annual-chance stillwater surge elevation or maximum wave runup (whichever is greater). 2.0 feet above the 1%-annual-chance stillwater surge elevation Please note, occasionally exceptions are made to the minimum freeboard requirement. If an exception is requested, attach documentation addressing Paragraph 65.10(b)(1)(ii) of the NFIP Regulations. If "No" is answered to any of the above, please attach an explanation.								
Is there an indication from historical records that ice-jamming can affect the BFE?								
3. CLOSURES Openings through the levee system (check one): Exists Does not exist If opening exists, list all closures:								
CHANNEL STATION	LEFT OR RIGHT BANK		HIGHEST ELEVATION FOR OPENING INVER					
(Extend table on an added sheet as needed and reference) NOTE: Geotechnical and geologic data. In addition to the required detailed analysis reports, data obtained during field and laboratory investigations and used in the design analysis for the following system features should be submitted in a tabulated summary form.								

	E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL (Continued)								
4. EMBANKMENT PROTECTION									
A. The maximum levee slope land side is:									
B. The maximum levee slope flood side is:									
C. The range	of velocities al	long the levee d	uring the	base flood is	s: (min)	to	(max)		
D. Embankme	ent material is p	protected by (de	escribe w	hat kind):					
E. Riprap Des	sign Parameter	rs (check one):							
Velocity	Tractive Str	ress							
Attach referer	ices								
Р	EACH	SIDESLOPE	FLOW	VELOCITY	CURVE OR	S	TONE RIPR	AP	DEPTH OF
		SIDESLOPE	DEPTH	VELOCITY	STRAIGHT	D 100	D 50	THICKNESS	TOEDOWN
Sta Sta	to to								
Sta	to								
Sta	to								
Sta	to								
Sta	to								
(Extend ta	ble on an adde	ed sheet as need	ded and r	reference ea	ch entry)				
-		to support cons							
					Location for an	alveie:			
A. Identify locations and describe the basis for selection of critical location for analysis: Overall height: STA: Strength ϕ =, heightft. Strength ϕ =degrees, c =psf Slope: SS =(h) to(v) (Repeat as needed on an added sheet for additional locations)									
B. Specify the	embankment	stability analysis	s method	lology used (e.g., circular ar	c, sliding bl	ock, infinite	slope, etc.):	
C. Summary	of stability anal	lysis results:							
D. Was a see	page analysis	for the embankr	nent perf	ormed?					
If "Yes," describe methodology used:									

E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL (Continued)						
5. EMBANKMENT AND FOUNDATION STABILITY (Continued)						
F. Were uplift pressures at the embankment landside toe checked?						
G. Were seepage exit gradients checked for piping potential?						
H. The duration of the base flood hydrograph against the embankment is: hours Attach engineering analysis to support construction plans.						
6. FLOODWALL AND FOUNDATION STABILITY						
A. Was a stability analysis performed?						
B. Design water elevations =						
Design wave height and period = ft. and sec.						
C. Was the foundation bearing or pile capacity checked?						
E. Level of Design is, is not provided. If provided, attach explanation and supporting documentation. Attach engineering analysis to support construction plans.						
7. SETTLEMENT						
A. Has anticipated potential settlement been determined and incorporated into the specified construction elevations to maintain the established freeboard margin?						
B. The computed settlement range is ft. to ft.						
C. Settlement of the levee crest is determined to be primarily from:						
D. Differential settlement of floodwalls has has has not been accommodated in the structural design and construction.						
Attach engineering analysis to support construction plans.						
8. INTERIOR DRAINAGE						
A. Specify size of each interior watershed:						
Drainage to pressure conduit: acres Drainage to ponding area: acres						
B. Relationship Established:						
Ponding elevation vs. storage Yes No Ponding elevation vs. gravity flow Yes No Differential head vs. gravity flow Yes No						
C. The river flow duration curve is enclosed:						
D. Specify the discharge capacity of the head pressure conduit: cfs.						

E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL (Continued)							
8. INTERIOR DRAINAGE (Continued)							
E. Which flooding conditions were analyzed? Gravity flow (Interior Watershed)							
Common storm (River Watershed) Yes No Historical ponding probability Yes No Coastal wave overtopping Yes No							
If "No" for any of the above, attach explanation.							
 F. Interior drainage has been analyzed based on joint probability of interior and exterior flooding and the capacities of pumping and outlet facilities to provide the established level of design. Yes No 							
If "No," attach explanation.							
G. The rate of seepage through the levee system for the base flood is: cfs.							
H. The length of levee system used to drive this seepage rate in item G: ft.							
I. Will pumping station be used for interior drainage?							
PUMPING STATION #1 PUMPING STATION #2 The number of pumps							
The ponding storage capacity							
The maximum pumping rate The maximum pumping head							
The pumping starting elevation							
The pumping stopping elevation							
Is the discharge facility protected?							
Is there a flood warning plan?							
How much time is available between the trigger to operate the pump station and potential flooding?							
Will the operation be automatic?							
If the pumps are electric, are there backup power sources?							
Include a copy of supporting documentation of data and analysis. Provide a map showing the flooded area and maximum ponding elevations for all interior watersheds that result in flooding.							
9. OTHER DESIGN CRITIERIA							
A. The following items have been addressed as stated:							
Liquefaction is is not a problem Hydrocompaction is is not a problem Heave differential movement due to soils of high shrink/swell is is not a problem							
B. For each of these problems, state the basic facts and corrective action taken:							
Attach supporting documentation.							

E. LEVEE/FLOODWALL (Continued)							
9. OTHER DESIGN CRITIERIA (Continued)							
 C. If the levee/floodwall is new or enlarged, will the structure adversely impact flood levels and/or flow velocities waterside of the structure? Yes No 							
D. Sediment Transport Considerations							
Was sediment transport considered? Yes (If "Yes," then fill out Section F (Sediment Transport) of Form 3) No (If "No," then attach your explanation for why sediment transport was not considered) 							
10. OPERATIONAL PLAN AND CRITIERIA							
A. Are the planned/installed works in full compliance with Part 65.10 of the NFIP Regulations?							
 B. Does the operation plan incorporate all the provisions for closure devices as required in Paragraph 65.10(c)(1) of the NFIP regulations? Yes No 							
 C. Does the operation plan incorporate all the provisions for interior drainage as required in Paragraph 65.10(c)(2) of the NFIP regulations? Yes No If "No" for any of the above, please attach supporting documentation. 							
11. MAINTENANCE PLAN							
Please attach a copy of the formal Maintenance Plan for the levee/floodwall.							
12. OPERATIONAL AND MAINTENANCE PLAN							
Please attach a copy of the formal Operations and Maintenance Plan for the levee/floodwall.							
CERTIFICATION OF THE LEVEE DOCUMENTATION							
This certification is to be signed and sealed by a licensed registered professional engineer authorized by law to certify elevation information data, hydrologic and hydraulic analysis, and any other supporting information as per NFIP regulations paragraph 65.10(e) and as described in the MT-2 Forms Instructions. All documents submitted in support of this request are correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that any false statement may be punishable by fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 1001.							
Certifier's Name: License No.: Expiration Date:							
Company Name: Telephone No.:							
Signature: Date:							
E-mail Address:							
F. SEDIMENT TRANSPORT							
Flooding Source:							
Name of Structure:							
If there is any indication from historical records that sediment transport (including scour and deposition) can affect the Base Flood Elevation (BFE); and/or based on the stream morphology, vegetative cover, development of the watershed and bank conditions, there is a potential for debris and sediment transport (including scour and deposition) to affect the BFEs, then provide the following information along with the supporting documentation:							
Sediment load associated with the base flood discharge: Volume acres-feet.							
Debris load associated with the base flood discharge: Volume acres-feet.							
Sediment transport rate (percent concentration by volume)							
Method used to estimate sediment transport:							

F. SEDIMENT TRANSPORT (Continued)

Most sediment transport formulas are intended for a range of hydraulic conditions and sediment sizes; attach a detailed explanation for using the selected method.

Method used to estimate scour and/or deposition:

Method used to revise hydraulic or hydrologic analysis (model) to account for sediment transport:

Please note that bulked flows are used to evaluate the performance of a structure during the base flood; however, FEMA does not map BFEs based on bulked flows.

If a sediment analysis has not been performed, an explanation as to why sediment transport (including scour and deposition) will not affect the BFEs or structures must be provided.

DRAFT