

Child Care Policy Research Partnerships (2019 CCPRP) Community of Practice (CoP) Feedback Survey

Please complete this survey to help us evaluate 2019 Child Care Policy Research Partnerships Community of Practice (CoP) meetings from the past year. Your responses will help as we plan for next year. This survey will take about 5 minutes to complete.

Each member of your team is invited to complete the evaluation. Please complete and submit today, or no later than December 5, 2022. Once you start the survey you may come back to it until that date.

Paperwork Reduction Act Statement: This collection of information is voluntary and will be used to collect participant feedback to shape future meetings. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to take 5 minutes per response. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB number and expiration date for this collection are OMB #: 0970-0401, Exp: 06/30/2024. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to jisaacs@urban.org.

Page Break

A. What did you most like or value about this Community of Practice? Why? (Below is a list of sessions held during our Community of Practice this year.)

Q1. What were your top two favorite CoP sessions this year? (Please select up to two sessions.)

Breakout Sessions

- ☐ Quant team (January, March, July, November)
- ☐ Primary data collection (January, March, July, November)
- ☐ Dissemination strategies (January)
- ☐ Learning from large scale policy changes (July)
- ☐ ECE workforce (November)

Expert Presentations

- ☐ Assessing survey data for racial bias (Aida Pacheco-Applegate and Tiffany Burkhardt of Chapin Hall—February)
- ☐ Decline of FCC supply (Juliet Bromer—April)
- ☐ Understanding agency coordination (Colleen Graber and Nathan Burroughs of Public Policy Associates—May)

Other

- ☐ Tips for presenting to policymakers (Jon Schwabish, and time to meet with peers—August)
- ☐ The two-session annual meeting on sharing emerging findings and hearing updates from OCC (September)
- ☐ Small- and large-group discussion on researcher-agency partnerships and advice to OPRE(October)

Q2. In the text box below, feel free to say why a particular session was useful. Please note which session or speaker you are commenting on.

Page Break

Q3. Were there any CoP sessions you did not find effective or did not enjoy this year? (Please select up to two sessions.)

Breakout Sessions

- ☐ Quant team (January, March, July, November)
- ☐ Primary data collection (January, March, July, November)
- ☐ Dissemination strategies (January)
- ☐ Learning from large scale policy changes (July)
- ☐ ECE workforce (November)

Expert Presentations

- ☐ Assessing survey data for racial bias (Aida Pacheco-Applegate and Tiffany Burkhardt of Chapin Hall—February)
- ☐ Decline of FCC supply (Juliet Bromer—April)
- ☐ Understanding agency coordination (Colleen Graber and Nathan Burroughs of Public Policy Associates—May)

Other

- ☐ Tips for presenting to policymakers (Jon Schwabish, and time to meet with peers—August)
- ☐ The two-session annual meeting on sharing emerging findings and hearing updates from OCC (September)
- ☐ Small- and large-group discussion on researcher-agency partnerships and advice to OPRE (October)

Q4. In the text box below, feel free to say why a particular session was either not effective or not enjoyable. Please note which session or speaker you are commenting on. Please note these comments will not be shared with the speakers themselves.

Page Break

We provide **various formats to support you in learning** from each other and experts during the CoP meetings. During monthly CoP meetings in 2022, we had 3 expert presentations to the full group, 5 times to break into small groups, and 1 meeting (in October) combining small- and large-group discussions. We also had an annual meeting with four hours to share grant teams' findings and hear from federal staff.

Please let us know if we got the balance "right" from your perspective.

Q5. Reflecting across the year, please mark the circle that best reflects your perspective on **the amount of time** scheduled for each format.

	Too much	Just right	Not enough
Hearing from experts	☐	☐	☐
Discussing challenges and solutions as a whole group	☐	☐	☐
Discussing challenges and solutions in small groups	☐	☐	☐
Hearing from OPRE project officers	☐	☐	☐
Meeting with other federal staff	☐	☐	☐
Networking and collaborating with other grantees	☐	☐	☐
Sharing and discussing my project	☐	☐	☐
Learning about other projects	☐	☐	☐

Page Break

Q6. Reflecting across the past year, please mark the circle below that best reflects your perspective on the CoP. [If you did not attend the annual meeting, please leave blank]

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Overall, I felt that the monthly CoP was a good use of my time	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Overall, I felt that the September four-hour virtual annual meeting (with OCC) was a good use of my time	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Overall, I like having 3-4 meetings a year set aside for concurrent meetings of the Quant Team, Primary Data Collection team, and discussions of emerging issues	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Q7. In the text box below, please let us know what you would find useful to support you in the upcoming year. For example, you might share a topic for an expert presenter. You also might express interest in a particular content or format for our monthly CoP meetings between January 2023-November 2023.

Page Break

Q8. We are considering whether next year's annual meeting should be virtual or hybrid (with an in-person component and a virtual component). Please indicate your interest in attending an in-person meeting. For purposes of responding to the survey, assume COVID conditions are similar to what they are now and that the meeting follows COVID precautions such as masks, vaccinations and/or testing. Please click one answer.

I would likely attend a day-long in-person meeting in DC.

I would only be interested in attending in-person if at least half of other attendees are also planning to attend in-person.

I would support a hybrid meeting but would likely attend virtually.

I would prefer a virtual annual meeting such as the one held in September.

Other.

(a) If other, please specify.

Page Break

Q9. What is your grantee role? Please click on one answer.

CCDF Lead Agency staff

Principal Investigator or co-PI on grantee external research team

Other staff (non-PI and non-co-PI) on grantee external research team

Q10. If you have any additional comments regarding year 3 of the Community of Practice (e.g. things that worked well or things that did not work well), or hopes for the future, please write them in the text box below.

We thank you for your time spent taking this survey.
Your response has been recorded.