

CCPRP-2022 CoP Web Meeting Feedback Form

NOTE: This document includes a universe of questions. We will ask no more than 4 questions from this set of questions each time that feedback is requested. The information in brackets will be identified based on Community of Practice discussions and feedback.

1. What questions do you have about [CCDF policy or research topic]?

[One sentence FILL IN]

2. What do you hope to gain from participation in this community of practice?

[One sentence FILL IN]

3. What would better help facilitate successful peer support and collaboration, both within and across teams?

[One sentence FILL IN]

4. Think about other positive community of practice experiences that you've had. What best practices would you like to see us carry forward into this community of practice?

[One sentence FILL IN]

5. Help us select and prioritize topics for future Community of Practice [discussions or activities]. Please rank topic[s] from the following list of potential topics for our meetings over the next [two/three/four/five] months. (We will provide 5-10 response options per administration of this question.)

- a. [Establishing a strong foundation for the agency-researcher partnerships]
- b. [Grant recipient peer sharing on progress, lessons learned, and findings]
- c. [Research methods]
- d. [Definitions]
- e. [Constructs]
- f. [Measures]
- g. [CCDF Policy topics]
- h. [new topic to be identified]
- i. [new topic to be identified]
- j. [new topic to be identified]
- k. [new topic to be identified]

6. If OPRE were to develop a product to support policy members of your team in learning about [CCDF policy or research topic], what product form would be preferred:

1. Webinar
2. Written brief
3. Step-by-step resource
4. Bibliography
5. Catalog or compendium
6. [other format]
7. [other format]
8. Other. Please specify: _____
9. None, as this topic would not be useful to cover in a product.

7. What are the top one or two challenges or difficult decisions your team is facing at this time related to [CCDF policy or research topic]?

[One sentence FILL IN]

8. Are there any [research or evaluation] methods your team is interested in learning more about to apply in your own work?

[One sentence FILL IN]

9. What are the top one or two challenges or difficult decisions your team [is facing at this time/expects to face] related to [research and evaluation or creating and sharing common measures]?

[One sentence FILL IN]

10. Where do you see the most potential for [common measures or measurement, cross team collaboration, data sharing]? Please rank order the options below. (We will provide up to 8 response options per administration of this question.)

- a. [Using some common survey questions and response options]
- b. [Adopting some common constructs and definitions]
- c. [Increasing standardization of administrative data for greater ease of collaboration]
- d. [Understanding broader implications of cross-project findings]
- e. [Informing future collaborative research efforts]
- f. [Shaping own research and evaluation goals]
- g. [new option to be identified]
- h. [new option to be identified]

- 11. Reflecting on discussions from [X meeting], please identify 1-2 [constructs, measures, data points, variables] you think have the most value in building the evidence across states and seem potentially feasible.**

[One sentence FILL IN]

- 12. How helpful would a(n) [expert presentation/resource] about [CCDF policy or research topic] be for your team? Please select one of the following:**

1. Very helpful
2. Somewhat helpful
3. A little bit helpful
4. Not helpful, at least not at this time

- 13. What communication tactics have you used in the past to disseminate your [research or work products]?**

1. Briefs
2. Reports
3. Fact sheets
4. Peer-reviewed journal articles
5. Presentations to policymakers
6. Conference presentations
7. Stakeholder outreach
8. Blog posts
9. Social media
10. Media outreach

- 14. Please rank the communications tactics that you are interested in learning more about.**

1. Briefs
2. Reports
3. Fact sheets
4. Peer-reviewed journal articles
5. Presentations to policymakers
6. Conference presentations
7. Stakeholder outreach
8. Blog posts
9. Social media
10. Media outreach

15. Would you be interested in a regular, small discussion group being held focused on any of the following topics or methods?

- a. Quantitative Methods
- b. Primary Data Collection
- c. [new option to be identified]
- d. [new option to be identified]
- e. I would be interested in a regular, small discussion group, but not for any of the topics listed.
- f. I would not be interested in a regular, small discussion group on any topic.

PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT OF 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13) STATEMENT OF PUBLIC BURDEN: The purpose of this information collection is to help ACF understand grantee preferences for future meetings. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 2 minutes per respondent, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and reviewing the collection of information. This is a voluntary collection of information. agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB # is 0970-0401 and the expiration date is 6/30/2024. If you have any comments on this collection of information, please contact Teresa Derrick-Mills at tderrick-mills@urban.org.