**Land Revitalization Technical Assistance to Brownfields Communities (LRTA) – Evaluation Questions**

***EPA burden Statement:***

*This collection of information is approved by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq. (OMB Control No. 2030-0051). Responses to this collection of information are voluntary. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to be 15 minutes per response. Send comments on the Agency’s need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden to the Regulatory Support Division Director, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2821T), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20460. Include the OMB control number in any correspondence. Do not send the completed form to this address.*

**Post-Project**

1. How would you rate the usefulness of the final product(s) (e.g., report, analysis, design, tool, or other deliverables) provided to you resulting from the technical assistance project?
* Excellent
* Good
* Fair
* Poor
* N/A
1. How would you rate the design and presentation of the final product(s) provided to you?
* Excellent
* Good
* Fair
* Poor
* N/A

1. How would you rate how well the technical assistance team kept you included, informed, and engaged throughout the process?
* Excellent
* Good
* Fair
* Poor
* N/A
1. Which aspects of the technical assistance provided will be most helpful in reaching your brownfield revitalization goals?

1. What information could have been provided prior to receiving the technical assistance that would have helped you be more prepared for the process?
* Introductory materials
* Calls with a regional EPA contact
* Webinar on TA process
* Discussion on roles and responsibilities
* Other:
1. How would you rate your contractor’s general expertise in the subject area(s) relevant to this project?
* Excellent
* Good
* Fair
* Poor
* N/A

1. What were your expectations when requesting technical assistance and how did the process compare to those expectations?
2. How would you rate your confidence and capacity moving forward with your brownfields project as a result of this technical assistance?
3. How do rate your awareness of EPA or state funding, programs, and regulations that impact brownfield development?
* Excellent
* Good
* Fair
* Poor
* N/A

1. I would describe the technical assistance process as:
* Easy
* Time consuming but worth it
* Time consuming and not worth it
* Difficult/Challenging
1. Would you recommend to peer communities that they participate in this technical assistance process?
* Yes
* No
* I don't know
1. What is your overall evaluation of the technical assistance? What other input would you have to the program?
2. How would you rate the usefulness of the final product(s) provided to your community?
* Excellent
* Good
* Fair
* Poor
* N/A
1. How did the technical assistance project help you make progress toward your site reuse goals?

­­­­­­­­­­

1. How would you rate the overall usefulness of the technical assistance project you received to the redevelopment of the site?
* Excellent
* Good
* Fair
* Poor
1. What tangible outcomes have resulted from the technical assistance project?
* New funding or grants
* Attraction of developer interest
* Creation of jobs
* Additional community engagement
* Other:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
1. What obstacles have you faced in this redevelopment?
2. If the site has not been redeveloped, how would you rate your confidence that the vision for the site will be achieved?
* Excellent
* Good
* Fair
* Poor
1. If the site has not been redeveloped, what additional assistance or partnerships would be helpful in achieving that vision?
2. In retrospect, how could the technical assistance be improved to leave communities like yours in a better position to be successful, given the scope of the project?