

Minimizing  
Displacement Resulting  
from Brownfields  
Assessment, Cleanup  
and Reuse

Participant  
Satisfaction Survey

Principal Investigator: Nefeli Bompoti  
Co-Principal Investigators:  
Lauren Heberle, University of Louisville  
Kelly Kinahan, Florida State University

---

This collection of information is approved by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq. (OMB Control No. 2030-0051). Responses to this collection of information are voluntary. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to be 10 minutes per response. Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden to Director, Information Engagement Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2821T), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20460. Include the OMB control number in any correspondence. Do not send the completed form to this address.

The questionnaire is intended to be released to program participants in the Brownfields Revitalization Anti-Displacement Strategies Program (BRADS) after receiving technical assistance.

The EPA's Office of Brownfields and Land Revitalization is providing technical assistance to brownfield communities to minimize displacement through the Brownfields Revitalization Anti-Displacement Strategies Program (BRADS). The goal of this survey is to 1) capture how technical assistance recipient communities are using the strategies and resources provided during the technical assistance and 2) identify how the BRADS Program can be improved. Your feedback on EPA's technical assistance is invaluable, and so we want to hear how the provided technical assistance has impacted your work. Participation is open to all BRADS Program participants.

Please select or write your responses to the following questions. You can provide additional information in the comment boxes.

### Survey Questions

1. How did you learn about Brownfields Revitalization Anti-Displacement Strategies Program (BRADS) and technical assistance services provided?
  - a. Colleague
  - b. EPA Staff
  - c. Professional Association
  - d. Internet/social media
  - e. Other
  
2. On a scale of 1 to 5, how satisfied were you overall with the technical assistance services provided by the BRADs program? Please note that 1 means Very Dissatisfied and 5 means Very Satisfied.
  1. Very dissatisfied
  2. Somewhat dissatisfied
  3. Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
  4. Somewhat satisfied
  5. Very satisfied

3. What value did you and your community get from participating in the BRADs program?  
(Please check all that apply)
  - a. Learned about involuntary displacement risks and identified indicators on how to identify those risks.
  - b. Learned about applicable anti-displacement policies that could be adopted to prevent in-voluntary displacement.
  - c. Discussed and identified opportunities for future funding resources to redevelop brownfield sites in my community.
  - d. I did not get any value from the BRADs program.
  - e. Other (please specify)
  
4. Did the overall workshop improve your ability to identify challenges related to involuntary displacement associated to brownfields redevelopment?
  - a. A great deal
  - b. To a considerable degree
  - c. Somewhat
  - d. A little
  - e. Not at all
  
5. On a scale of 1 to 5, how confident do you feel in your ability to identify involuntary displacement risks in your community? Scale of 1(Not confident) – 5 (Very confident)
  
6. On a scale of 1 to 5, how confident do you feel in your ability to identify anti-displacement policies relevant to your community/project? Scale of 1(Not confident) – 5 (Very confident)
  
7. Please rate each aspect of the workshop logistics:
  - Staff support/helpfulness - Poor/Fair/Good/Excellent/Outstanding
  - Outreach/communication - Poor/Fair/Good/Excellent/Outstanding
  - Resources/Guides Shared - Poor/Fair/Good/Excellent/Outstanding
  - Educational Materials/Webinars - Poor/Fair/Good/Excellent/Outstanding
  - Website/Online Platforms - Poor/Fair/Good/Excellent/Outstanding
  
8. Is there is anything we can do to improve the program? (open-ended response)