Cohort sorting

1. GRRP Post-Construction Survey Consent Form

You have been selected to participate in a research study being conducted by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The purpose of this study is to help HUD assess the success of the Green and Resilient Retrofit Program (GRRP) construction process and post-construction outcomes based on owners' perceptions of the construction process.

If you agree to participate, here are some things you should know:

- 1. Your name will not be used in any reports about this interview.
- 2. All information collected during this study will be kept confidential, and your responses will not be identified individually in any way.

Completing this survey will help HUD answer the following research questions: How did procurement and construction go for GRRP compared to past rehabilitations? How are investments and installed equipment being maintained? How satisfied are owners with outcomes?

You may choose to skip or not answer any questions. You may also leave the survey at any time. You will not be affected in any way if you stop the survey or decide not to answer a question.

The survey will take up to 45 minutes.

Contact Information: The United States Department of Housing and Urban Development has authorized XXX to conduct this study. If you have any concerns about your participation in this survey or have any questions about the study, please contact XXX.

Certification: Do you agree to participate in the study?

Yes

No

2. For which cohort did you receive your award?

Elements

Leading Edge

Comprehensive

Elements

. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? I would not have been able and the Elements investments for the property without GRRP. Strongly agree Agree Disagree Strongly disagree Strongly disagree		
AgreeNeither agree nor disagreeDisagree		
Neither agree nor disagreeDisagree	Strongly ag	gree
○ Disagree	○ Agree	
	O Neither ag	ree nor disagree
Strongly disagree	O Disagree	
	Strongly di	isagree

Leading Edge

 Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly disagree 	
Neither agree nor disagreeDisagree	
○ Disagree	
Strongly disagree	

Comprehensive

	r disagree with the following statement? I would not have been able all scope of work for the property without GRRP.
Strongly agree	
Agree	
Neither agree	nor disagree
O Disagree	
Strongly disag	gree

All cohorts

 Much longer than expected Longer than expected Neither shorter nor longer than expected Shorter than expected Much shorter than expected 7. Compared to a previous rehabilitation of this scale, was it easier or more difficult to get permits for new utility efficiency or resilience retrofits for GRRP? Much easier Somewhat easier Neither easier nor more difficult Somewhat more difficult Much more difficult Not applicable: I have not been involved with a rehabilitation of this scale before 8. Were there items in the initial scope of work that were removed by the time of construction? Yes No 	6. Did it take longer than expected to hire a general contractor?
 Neither shorter nor longer than expected Shorter than expected Much shorter than expected 7. Compared to a previous rehabilitation of this scale, was it easier or more difficult to get permits for new utility efficiency or resilience retrofits for GRRP? Much easier Somewhat easier Neither easier nor more difficult Somewhat more difficult Much more difficult Not applicable: I have not been involved with a rehabilitation of this scale before 8. Were there items in the initial scope of work that were removed by the time of construction? Yes 	Much longer than expected
 Shorter than expected Much shorter than expected 7. Compared to a previous rehabilitation of this scale, was it easier or more difficult to get permits for new utility efficiency or resilience retrofits for GRRP? Much easier Somewhat easier Neither easier nor more difficult Somewhat more difficult Much more difficult Not applicable: I have not been involved with a rehabilitation of this scale before 8. Were there items in the initial scope of work that were removed by the time of construction? Yes 	O Longer than expected
Much shorter than expected 7. Compared to a previous rehabilitation of this scale, was it easier or more difficult to get permits for new utility efficiency or resilience retrofits for GRRP? Much easier Somewhat easier Neither easier nor more difficult Somewhat more difficult Much more difficult Not applicable: I have not been involved with a rehabilitation of this scale before 8. Were there items in the initial scope of work that were removed by the time of construction? Yes	Neither shorter nor longer than expected
7. Compared to a previous rehabilitation of this scale, was it easier or more difficult to get permits for new utility efficiency or resilience retrofits for GRRP? Much easier Somewhat easier Neither easier nor more difficult Somewhat more difficult Much more difficult Not applicable: I have not been involved with a rehabilitation of this scale before 8. Were there items in the initial scope of work that were removed by the time of construction? Yes	○ Shorter than expected
get permits for new utility efficiency or resilience retrofits for GRRP? Much easier Somewhat easier Neither easier nor more difficult Somewhat more difficult Much more difficult Not applicable: I have not been involved with a rehabilitation of this scale before 8. Were there items in the initial scope of work that were removed by the time of construction? Yes	O Much shorter than expected
 Somewhat easier Neither easier nor more difficult Somewhat more difficult Much more difficult Not applicable: I have not been involved with a rehabilitation of this scale before 8. Were there items in the initial scope of work that were removed by the time of construction? Yes 	
 Neither easier nor more difficult Somewhat more difficult Much more difficult Not applicable: I have not been involved with a rehabilitation of this scale before 8. Were there items in the initial scope of work that were removed by the time of construction? Yes 	O Much easier
 Somewhat more difficult Much more difficult Not applicable: I have not been involved with a rehabilitation of this scale before 8. Were there items in the initial scope of work that were removed by the time of construction? Yes 	O Somewhat easier
 Much more difficult Not applicable: I have not been involved with a rehabilitation of this scale before 8. Were there items in the initial scope of work that were removed by the time of construction? Yes 	Neither easier nor more difficult
 Not applicable: I have not been involved with a rehabilitation of this scale before 8. Were there items in the initial scope of work that were removed by the time of construction? Yes 	O Somewhat more difficult
8. Were there items in the initial scope of work that were removed by the time of construction?	Much more difficult
construction? O Yes	O Not applicable: I have not been involved with a rehabilitation of this scale before
○ No	○ Yes
	○ No

9. Why were these	e items removed?		

GRRP Post-Construction Survey All cohorts: construction delays?
10. Were there delays in construction due to the unavailability of new utility efficiency or resilience equipment needed for GRRP improvements?
○ Yes
○ No

rhich equipment caused delays in construction?	nt box for construction delays	
	equipment caused delays in construction?	

All cohorts: Outcomes

	12. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? The timing of the GRRP award requirements was reasonable.
	○ Strongly agree
	Agree
	Neither agree nor disagree
	○ Disagree
	○ Strongly disagree
	13. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? I am satisfied with the outcomes of the program.
	Strongly agree
	○ Agree
	Neither agree nor disagree
	○ Disagree
	○ Strongly disagree
14	4. Please briefly explain your answer to the previous question.

15. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? Compared to before GRRP, I feel that the property is more climate resilient.
O Strongly agree
○ Agree
Neither agree nor disagree
○ Disagree
Strongly disagree
16. Please briefly explain your answer to the previous question.
17. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? GRRP improvements have increased the useful life of the property.
○ Strongly agree
○ Agree
Neither agree nor disagree
○ Disagree
O Strongly disagree
18. Please briefly explain your answer to the previous question.

19. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? GRRP investments have improved leasing at the property.	
○ Strongly agree	
○ Agree	
Neither agree nor disagree	
○ Disagree	
O Strongly disagree	
20. Please briefly explain your answer to the previous question.	
21. Reflecting on your experience of the program, do you agree or disagree with the following statement? The benefits of the program outweighed the costs for this property O Strongly agree	<i>'</i> .
○ Agree	
Neither agree nor disagree	
○ Disagree	
Strongly disagree	
22. Please briefly explain your answer to the previous question.	

Residents and maintenance

23. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? I had sufficient resources to minimize any disruption to residents caused by GRRP improvements.
○ Strongly agree
Agree
Neither agree nor disagree
○ Disagree
○ Strongly disagree
24. Please briefly explain your answer to the previous question.
25. To what extent have you experienced, or do you anticipate experiencing, any challenges with maintaining new utility efficiency or resilience equipment?
O Not at all
○ Very little
○ Somewhat
O Quite a bit
○ A great deal
26. Please briefly explain your answer to the previous question.
Δ

rofits.	se give your answer using the number of years from the completion of
00 Do rocid	ents have any complaints about any of items retrofitted for utility efficien
or resilience	
○ Yes	
○ No	

Resident complaints

29. Please briefly describe the most common complaint(s) received.		
30. How easy or dif	ficult is it to address these complaints?	
O Very easy	noute is it to address these comptaints:	
○ Easy		
O Neither easy no	r difficult	
O Difficult		
O Very difficult		

Final comment boxes

31. Are there specific energy reduction strategies or investments you hoped to address in this period but could not obtain funding for? What are they?
32. Are there specific disaster or climate resilience strategies or investments you hoped to address in this period but could not obtain funding for? What are they?
33. Are there any overall retrofit needs you hoped to address in this period but could not obtain funding for? What are they?
34. If this program were to continue into the future, what are your suggestions, if any, for improving the design of the program?