

# **ATTACHMENT 8G**

## Usability Survey: Paper, English

Public reporting burden of this collection of information is estimated to average 18 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to CDC/ATSDR Reports Clearance Officer; 1600 Clifton Road NE, MS D-74, Atlanta, Georgia 30333; ATTN: PRA (0920-####).

Thank you for participating in this study, where we hope to learn whether different types of decision aid tools can help men make more informed decisions about prostate cancer screening. In order to learn more about whether participants find the Talk to Nathan decision aid useful and understandable, we ask that you answer the following questions. Your completion of this form is completely voluntary, and your responses are confidential. By completing the form you are giving your consent to participate in this assessment.

### Section 1: Nathan Dosage

1. How much time did you spend reviewing the Talk to Nathan decision aid?
  - Less than 5 minutes
  - 5 to 10 minutes
  - 10 to 15 minutes
  - 15 to 25 minutes
  - 25 or more
  - None (end survey)
2. Did you complete the Nathan decision aid? Completing Nathan means that you reached the "Come back any time!" screen.
  - Yes
  - No

### Section 2: Help Needed to Review Nathan

1. Did anyone else review the Talk to Nathan decision aid with you?
  - Yes
  - No
2. Did you ask someone to help you in reviewing the Talk to Nathan decision aid?
  - Yes
  - No (skip to Acceptability of Nathan)
3. How much help did you need?
  - A lot of help
  - Some help
  - A little help
  - No help
4. What kind of help did you need? Check all that apply:
  - Help to use the computer/internet
  - Help to find/access the Talk to Nathan decision aid
  - Help to use the Talk to Nathan decision aid

- Help to read the information in Talk to Nathan
- Help to understand the information in Talk to Nathan
- Other (specify)

**Section 3: Acceptability of Nathan - [Ottawa Acceptability Measure](#)** (Brehaut et al., 2010)

For this next set of questions, we would like to know what you think about the Talk to Nathan decision aid.

1. Please rate each section, by checking 'poor', 'fair', 'good', or 'excellent' to show what you think about the way the information was presented on:

	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
Prostate health				
Risks for prostate cancer				
Screening options				
Talking to your provider				

2. The length of decision aid was (*check one*)
  - Too long
  - Too short
  - Just right
3. The amount of information included was (*check one*)
  - Too much information
  - Too little information
  - Just right
4. Would you find this decision aid useful when you are making your decision about getting screened for prostate cancer?
  - Yes
  - No, Comments:
5. Do you think the decision aid included enough information to help you decide whether to get screened for prostate cancer?
  - Yes
  - No, Comments:

**Section 4: Perceived Fit of Nathan - [Intervention Appropriateness Measure](#)** (Weiner et al., 2017)

Next, please let us know whether you agree with each of the following statements about the Talk to Nation decision aid. Please note the order of the response options for each statement: *completely disagree, disagree, neither agree nor disagree, agree, or completely agree.*

Question	Completely disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Completely agree
1. The Talk to Nathan decision aid seems fit for helping people make decisions about prostate cancer screening.					
2. The Talk to Nathan decision aid seems suitable for helping people make decisions about prostate cancer screening.					
3. The Talk to Nathan decision aid seems applicable for helping people make decisions about prostate cancer screening.					
4. The Talk to Nathan decision aid seems like a good match for helping people make decisions about prostate cancer screening.					

**Section 5: Usability of Nathan - [System Usability Scale](#) (Brooke, 1996)**

For this next set of statements about the Talk to Nation decision aid, tell us how usable the decision aid was for you. Please note the order of the response options for each statement: *strongly disagree*, *disagree*, *neither agree nor disagree*, *agree*, or *strongly agree*.

Question	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
1. I think that I would like to use the Talk to Nathan decision aid frequently.					
2. I found the Talk to Nathan decision aid unnecessarily complex.					
3. I thought the Talk to Nathan decision aid was easy to use.					
4. I think that I would need the support of a technical person to be able to use the Talk to Nathan decision aid.					
5. I found the various functions in the Talk to Nathan decision aid were well integrated.					
6. I thought there was too much inconsistency in the Talk to Nathan decision aid.					
7. I would imagine that most people would learn to use the Talk to Nathan decision aid very quickly.					
8. I found the Talk to Nathan decision aid					

very hard to use.					
9. I felt very confident using the Talk to Nathan decision aid.					
10. I needed to learn a lot of things before I could get going with the Talk to Nathan decision aid.					

**Section 6: Technology Acceptance - [Technology Acceptance Model](#)** (Venkatesh & Davis, 2000 and Klaic et al., 2022)

For this next set of statements about the Talk to Nation decision aid, tell us how helpful the decision aid was for you, indicating either *strongly agree*, *agree*, *neither agree nor disagree*, *disagree*, or *strongly disagree*.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree or Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1. Using the Talk to Nathan decision aid will improve my ability to learn about prostate cancer screening.					
2. Using the Talk to Nathan decision aid will increase my effectiveness to talk to a health care provider about prostate cancer screening.					
3. The Talk to Nathan decision aid will be useful for me.					
4. Using the Talk to Nathan decision aid is a good idea.					
5. I would recommend using the Talk to Nathan decision aid to others.					
6. I will continue to use the Talk to Nathan decision aid.					

**Section 7: COVID-19 Impact and Telemedicine**

1. Did the COVID-19 pandemic affect your ability to have a discussion with your provider about prostate cancer screening?

- Yes  
 No

2. Would the Talk to Nathan decision aid have been useful to you during the COVID-19 pandemic?

- Yes  
 No

3. How likely are you to have primary care visits through telemedicine?

- Not likely

Somewhat likely

Very likely

4. If you were to have a telemedicine visit with your provider, would the Talk to Nathan decision aid be helpful to you?

Yes

No

Don't Know

### **Section 8: Recommendations for Nathan Improvements**

1. Finally, please provide any recommendations you have for improving the Talk to Nathan decision aid. (open ended question)

### **Section 9: Gift Code**

Thank you for completing this survey. Your \$25 Amazon gift code is <GIFT\_CODE>.

The code is a unique number you can use to purchase items online at Amazon.com. You may enter the code online when you are ready to make a purchase at Amazon.com. There is no expiration date.

Would you like this gift code emailed to you as well with instructions about how to use it?

01 Yes, please email it (note email address)

02 No need to email it

### **Section 10: Closing**

Thank you for completing this survey. We may also contact you to request your participation in a 60-minute discussion about your experience in using Talk to Nathan.

Also, within one week after your visit with your health care provider on [DATE], you will receive an email with a weblink to the final survey for this study.

If you have any questions, please contact the project manager, Danielle Nielsen at [Danielle.Nielsen@icf.com](mailto:Danielle.Nielsen@icf.com).