Tracking and OMB Number: (XX) 1845-0022

SUPPORTING STATEMENT FOR PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT SUBMISSION

Revised: 7/23/2023

Student Assistance General Provisions

1. Explain the circumstances that make the collection of information necessary. What is the purpose for this information collection? Identify any legal or administrative requirements that necessitate the collection. Include a citation that authorizes the collection of information. Specify the review type of the collection (new, revision, extension, reinstatement with change, reinstatement without change). If revised, briefly specify the changes. If a rulemaking is involved, list the sections with a brief description of the information collection requirement, and/or changes to sections, if applicable.

In January 2024, the Department convened a negotiated rulemaking committee, the Program Integrity and Institutional Quality Committee, to consider proposed regulations for the title IV, Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended, (HEA) programs. Through the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), docket number ED-2024-OPE-0050, the Secretary proposes new regulations to promote program integrity and institutional quality. The NPRM regulations would clarify, update, and consolidate certain provisions that apply to distance education; the return of title IV, HEA funds; and the Federal TRIO programs.

The NPRM proposes the following changes to the information collection 1845-0022 – Student Assistance General Provisions.

Section 668.22 Treatment of title IV funds when a student withdraws. The proposed revision to 668.22 would require institutions to take attendance in distance education courses, which would require schools to use actual attendance data to determine a student's withdrawal date for students enrolled entirely in online courses for a particular payment period or period of enrollment. The requirement would not apply to dissertation research courses that are part of a doctoral program.

This request is to revise the current information collection to include these new regulatory requirements.

2. Indicate how, by whom, and for what purpose the information is to be used. Except for a new collection, indicate the actual use the agency has made of the information received from the current collection.

Through the proposed revisions to § 668.22, the Department believes that this change would improve Return of title IV funds (R2T4) calculations, limit instances of inaccurate calculations by schools, and better protect student and taxpayer funds.

3. Describe whether, and to what extent, the collection of information involves the use of automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or forms of information technology, e.g. permitting electronic submission of responses, and the basis for the decision of adopting this means of collection. Please identify

systems or websites used to electronically collect this information. Also describe any consideration given to using technology to reduce burden. If there is an increase or decrease in burden related to using technology (e.g. using an electronic form, system or website from paper), please explain in number 12.

There are no prohibitions to the use of technology in providing the required information to the Department.

4. Describe efforts to identify duplication. Show specifically why any similar information already available cannot be used or modified for use for the purposes described in Item 2 above.

This information is not duplicated on any other information collection.

5. If the collection of information impacts small businesses or other small entities, describe any methods used to minimize burden. A small entity may be (1) a small business which is deemed to be one that is independently owned and operated and that is not dominant in its field of operation; (2) a small organization that is any notfor-profit enterprise that is independently owned and operated and is not dominant in its field; or (3) a small government jurisdiction, which is a government of a city, county, town, township, school district, or special district with a population of less than 50,000.

The Department does not believe the proposed regulations will adversely impact any institution that may meet the small entity designation. The use of the electronic means to meet the regulations would mitigate any burden of providing the required information.

6. Describe the consequences to Federal program or policy activities if the collection is not conducted or is conducted less frequently, as well as any technical or legal obstacles to reducing burden.

If the requirements in these proposed regulations do not occur, the Department would lack crucial information to protect the integrity of the title IV, HEA programs and to protect students and taxpayers abusive behaviors.

- 7. Explain any special circumstances that would cause an information collection to be conducted in a manner:
 - requiring respondents to report information to the agency more often than quarterly;
 - requiring respondents to prepare a written response to a collection of information in fewer than 30 days after receipt of it;
 - requiring respondents to submit more than an original and two copies of any document;
 - requiring respondents to retain records, other than health, medical,
 government contract, grant-in-aid, or tax records for more than three years;

• in connection with a statistical survey, that is not designed to produce valid and reliable results than can be generalized to the universe of study;

- requiring the use of a statistical data classification that has not been reviewed and approved by OMB;
- that includes a pledge of confidentiality that is not supported by authority established in statute or regulation, that is not supported by disclosure and data security policies that are consistent with the pledge, or that unnecessarily impedes sharing of data with other agencies for compatible confidential use; or
- requiring respondents to submit proprietary trade secrets, or other confidential information unless the agency can demonstrate that it has instituted procedures to protect the information's confidentiality to the extent permitted by law.

This information collection does not require any of the noted special circumstances.

8. As applicable, state that the Department has published the 60 and 30 Federal Register notices as required by 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on the information collection prior to submission to OMB.

Include a citation for the 60 day comment period (e.g. Vol. 84 FR ##### and the date of publication). Summarize public comments received in response to the 60 day notice and describe actions taken by the agency in response to these comments. Specifically address comments received on cost and hour burden. If only non-substantive comments are provided, please provide a statement to that effect and that it did not relate or warrant any changes to this information collection request. In your comments, please also indicate the number of public comments received.

For the 30 day notice, indicate that a notice will be published. Describe efforts to consult with persons outside the agency to obtain their views on the availability of data, frequency of collection, the clarity of instruction and record keeping, disclosure, or reporting format (if any), and on the data elements to be recorded, disclosed, or reported.

Consultation with representatives of those from whom information is to be obtained or those who must compile records should occur at least once every 3 years — even if the collection of information activity is the same as in prior periods. There may be circumstances that may preclude consultation in a specific situation. These circumstances should be explained.

The Department developed these proposed regulations after conducting negotiated rulemaking with affected entities and other interested parties. The public comment period for this information collection runs concurrently with the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.

9. Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents, other than remuneration of contractors or grantees with meaningful justification.

No payments or gifts will be provided to respondents.

10. Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents and the basis for the assurance in statute, regulation, or agency policy. If personally identifiable information (PII) is being collected, a Privacy Act statement should be included on the instrument. Please provide a citation for the Systems of Record Notice and the date a Privacy Impact Assessment was completed as indicated on the IC Data Form. A confidentiality statement with a legal citation that authorizes the pledge of confidentiality should be provided.¹ If the collection is subject to the Privacy Act, the Privacy Act statement is deemed sufficient with respect to confidentiality. If there is no expectation of confidentiality, simply state that the Department makes no pledge about the confidentiality of the data. If no PII will be collected, state that no assurance of confidentiality is provided to respondents. If the Paperwork Burden Statement is not included physically on a form, you may include it here. Please ensure that your response per respondent matches the estimate provided in number 12.

There are no assurances of confidentiality provided to States regarding this information.

11. Provide additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature, such as sexual behavior and attitudes, religious beliefs, and other matters that are commonly considered private. The justification should include the reasons why the agency considers the questions necessary, the specific uses to be made of the information, the explanation to be given to persons from whom the information is requested, and any steps to be taken to obtain their consent.

There are no questions of a sensitive nature in this collection.

- 12. Provide estimates of the hour burden for this current information collection request. The statement should:
 - Provide an explanation of how the burden was estimated, including identification of burden type: recordkeeping, reporting or third party disclosure. Address changes in burden due to the use of technology (if applicable). Generally, estimates should not include burden hours for customary and usual business practices.

¹ Requests for this information are in accordance with the following ED and OMB policies: Privacy Act of 1974, OMB Circular A-108 – Privacy Act Implementation – Guidelines and Responsibilities, OMB Circular A-130 Appendix I – Federal Agency Responsibilities for Maintaining Records About Individuals, OMB M-03-22 – OMB Guidance for Implementing the Privacy Provisions of the E-Government Act of 2002, OMB M-06-15 – Safeguarding Personally Identifiable Information, OM:6-104 – Privacy Act of 1974 (Collection, Use and Protection of Personally Identifiable Information)

 Please do not include increases in burden and respondents numerically in this table. Explain these changes in number 15.

- Indicate the number of respondents by affected public type (federal government, individuals or households, private sector businesses or other forprofit, private sector not-for-profit institutions, farms, state, local or tribal governments), frequency of response, annual hour burden. Unless directed to do so, agencies should not conduct special surveys to obtain information on which to base hour burden estimates. Consultation with a sample (fewer than 10) of potential respondents is desirable.
- If this request for approval covers more than one form, provide separate hour burden estimates for each form and aggregate the hour burden in the table below.
- Provide estimates of annualized cost to respondents of the hour burdens for collections of information, identifying and using appropriate wage rate categories. <u>Use this site</u> to research the appropriate wage rate. The cost of contracting out or paying outside parties for information collection activities should not be included here. Instead, this cost should be included in Item 14. If there is no cost to respondents, indicate by entering 0 in the chart below and/or provide a statement.

Section 668.22 Treatment of title IV funds when a student withdraws.

The proposed regulation in 668.22(b)(3)(ii) would require institutions to take attendance in distance education courses, which would require schools to use actual attendance data to determine a student's withdrawal date for students enrolled entirely in online courses for a particular payment period or period of enrollment. The requirement would not apply to dissertation research courses that are part of a doctoral program. The Department believes that this change would improve Return of title IV funds (R2T4) calculations, limit instances of inaccurate calculations by schools, and better protect student and taxpayer funds.

Regarding distance education courses, institutions can often easily determine when students stop attending because a school's systems can often identify when students submit assignments or interact with instructors and students during lectures and course discussions, and students are often continuously monitored to track academic engagement. Also, some institutions with online courses are already required to take attendance in certain situations as described under 34 CFR 668.22(b)(3).

The proposed regulatory change would add a burden for institutions. The Award Year 2022 IPEDS reporting has 3,732 institutions offering one or more distance education courses. The Department estimates that each of the institutions would be required to do an initial review of their distance education system to ensure that attendance is being collected and potentially develop or add attendance taking to the system. The Department expects that this would require an average of 10 hours per institution as a one-time burden.

The Department estimates it would take 684 Proprietary institutions 6,840 hours to perform this review function (684 institutions x 10 hours = 6,840 hours).

The Department estimates it would take 1,414 Private institutions 14,140 hours to perform this review function $(1,414 \times 10 \text{ hours} = 14,140)$.

The Department estimates it would take 1,634 Public institutions 16,340 hours to perform this review function $(1,634 \times 10 \text{ hours} = 16,340)$.

Due to the highly automated delivery of these types of courses, and the availability of such coursework on a daily basis, the Department estimates half of the institutions offering distance education courses would already be performing this task. Therefore, the Department estimates it would take the remaining fifty percent of institutions offering distance education about 10 minutes on a daily basis to capture attendance information for their records.

The Department estimates it would take 342 Proprietary institutions 21,221 hours annually to perform this recordkeeping function (684/2 institutions x 365 days x .17 (10 minutes) = 21,221 hours).

The Department estimates it would take 707 Private institutions 43,869 hours annually to perform this recordkeeping function $(1,414/2 \times 365 \times .17)$ (10 minutes) = 43,869).

The Department estimates it would take 817 Public institutions 50,695 hours annually to perform this recordkeeping function $(1,634/2 \times 365 \times .17)$ (10 minutes) = 50,695.

The total estimated burden to be added to OMB Control Number 1845-0022 is 153,105 hours.

The individual information is unchanged by this regulatory change.

Estimated Annual Burden and Respondent Costs Table

Information	Number of	Number of	Average	Total Annual	Estimated	Total Annual Costs
Activity or IC	Respondents	Responses	Burden	Burden Hours	Respondent	(hourly wage x total
(with type of			Hours per		Average	burden hours)
respondent)			Response		Hourly Wage	
Individual	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
For-Profit	684	125,514	See	28,061	\$49.33	\$1,384,249
Institutions			above			
Private	1,414	259,469	See	58,009	\$49.33	\$2,861,584
Institutions			above			
Public	1,634	299,839	See	67,035	\$49.33	\$3,306,836
Institutions			above			

Information Activity or IC (with type of respondent)	Number of Respondents	Number of Responses	Average Burden Hours per Response	Total Annual Burden Hours	Estimated Respondent Average Hourly Wage	Total Annual Costs (hourly wage x total burden hours)
Annualized Totals for this NPRM	3,732	684,822		153,105		\$7,552,669
Individual*	425,075*	425,075*		318,806*		
For-Profit Institutions	174,771*	335,904*		814,842*		
Private Institutions	144,461*	271,460*		669,239*		
Public Institutions	173,807*	329,399*		782,793*		
Current Totals for ICR	918,114*	1,361,838*		2,585,680*		
New Collection Totals	921,846	2,046,660		2,738,785		

^{*=}for illustration of total information collection burden including elements not changed by this NPRM.

For institutions we have used the median hourly wage for Education Administrators, Postsecondary, \$49.33 per hour according to BLS. https://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes119033.htm.

Please ensure the annual total burden, respondents and response match those entered in IC Data Parts 1 and 2, and the response per respondent matches the Paperwork Burden Statement that must be included on all forms.

- 13. Provide an estimate of the total annual cost burden to respondents or record keepers resulting from the collection of information. (Do not include the cost of any hour burden shown in Items 12 and 14.)
 - The cost estimate should be split into two components: (a) a total capital and start-up cost component (annualized over its expected useful life); and (b) a total operation and maintenance and purchase of services component. The estimates should take into account costs associated with generating, maintaining, and disclosing or providing the information. Include descriptions of methods used to estimate major cost factors including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, the discount rate(s), and the time period over which costs will be incurred. Capital and start-up costs include, among other items, preparations for collecting information such as purchasing computers and software; monitoring, sampling, drilling and testing equipment; and acquiring and maintaining record storage facilities.

If cost estimates are expected to vary widely, agencies should present ranges of cost burdens and explain the reasons for the variance. The cost of contracting out information collection services should be a part of this cost burden estimate. In developing cost burden estimates, agencies may consult with a sample of respondents (fewer than 10), utilize the 60-day pre-OMB submission public comment process and use existing economic or regulatory impact analysis associated with the rulemaking containing the information collection, as appropriate.

Generally, estimates should not include purchases of equipment or services, or portions thereof, made: (1) prior to October 1, 1995, (2) to achieve regulatory compliance with requirements not associated with the information collection, (3) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the government or (4) as part of customary and usual business or private practices. Also, these estimates should not include the hourly costs (i.e., the monetization of the hours) captured above in Item 12.

Total Annualized Capital/Startup Cost	•
Total Annual Costs (O&M)	:
Total Annualized Costs Requested	:

There is no additional cost.

14. Provide estimates of annualized cost to the Federal government. Also, provide a description of the method used to estimate cost, which should include quantification of hours, operational expenses (such as equipment, overhead, printing, and support staff), and any other expense that would not have been incurred without this collection of information. Agencies also may aggregate cost estimates from Items 12, 13, and 14 in a single table.

There is no additional cost to the Federal government.

15. Explain the reasons for any program changes or adjustments. Generally, adjustments in burden result from re-estimating burden and/or from economic phenomenon outside of an agency's control (e.g., correcting a burden estimate or an organic increase in the size of the reporting universe). Program changes result from a deliberate action that materially changes a collection of information and generally are result of new statute or an agency action (e.g., changing a form, revising regulations, redefining the respondent universe, etc.). Burden changes should be disaggregated by type of change (i.e., adjustment, program change due to new statute, and/or program change due to agency discretion), type of collection (new, revision, extension, reinstatement with change, reinstatement without change) and include totals for changes in burden hours, responses and costs (if applicable).

Provide a descriptive narrative for the reasons of any change in addition to completing the table with the burden hour change(s) here.

	Program Change	Program Change Due to	Change Due to
	Due to New	Agency Discretion	Adjustment in Agency
	Statute		Estimate
Total Burden		+684,822	
Total Responses		+153,105	
Total Costs (if			
applicable)			

This request is to revise the currently approved information collection. These changes are due to the proposed regulations offered through program change. The proposed increase in burden to this information collection is based on an estimated 153,105 hours for 684,822 responses from 3,732 institutions. The Department estimates the new combined total information collection information at 2,046,660 responses and 2,738,785 hours.

16. For collections of information whose results will be published, outline plans for tabulation and publication. Address any complex analytical techniques that will be used. Provide the time schedule for the entire project, including beginning and ending dates of the collection of information, completion of report, publication dates, and other actions.

This information collection will not be published.

17. If seeking approval to not display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information collection, explain the reasons that display would be inappropriate.

The Department is not seeking this approval.

18. Explain each exception to the certification statement identified in the Certification of Paperwork Reduction Act.

The Department is not requesting any exceptions to the "Certification for Paperwork Reduction Act Submissions" of OMB Form 83-I.