

Adolescent Pregnancy Prevention Grantee Conference Presenter Survey

We appreciate your contribution to this year's conference as a workshop, forum or poster presenter. To assist us in planning future conferences, please complete this evaluation form.

Please note your participation in this survey is voluntary. Survey responses are anonymous and will be kept private. The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13). Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 5 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering, and maintaining the data needed, and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The control number for this project is 0970-0401. The control number expires on 5/31/2027.

1. Type of presentation (select all that apply).
 - a. Workshop
 - b. Forum
 - c. Poster

2. What did you think of the *abstract submission process* for the conference?

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A
The online abstract application process was user-friendly.						
The abstract website contained useful information.						

If you gave a score of "Disagree" or "Strongly Disagree" for any of the previous items, please explain your reasoning for this rating.

3. What did you think of the *materials preparation* for the conference?

	Strong	Agree	Neither	Disagr	Strongl	N/A
--	--------	-------	---------	--------	---------	-----

	ly Agree		Agree nor Disagree	ee	y Disagree	
Presenter communications were timely and included useful information.						
The <i>Webinar for Accepted Presenters</i> provided helpful information.						
The presentation (workshop, forum and poster) guidelines were clear.						
The feedback I received on my draft materials was clear and easy to implement.						

If you gave a score of “Disagree” or “Strongly Disagree” for any of the previous items, please explain your reasoning for this rating.

4. What did you think of the *on-site support for presenters* at the conference?

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A

The in-room moderator provided sufficient support (i.e., manage time, manage room issues).						
Audiovisual support for presenters was sufficient.						

If you gave a score of “Disagree” or “Strongly Disagree” for any of the previous items, please explain your reasoning for this rating.

5. What aspects of the presenter preparation, communication, or support could be improved upon and how?

6. Do you have any additional comments or questions about your experience as a presenter?