

HMS Management Division, and Southeast Fisheries Science Center. Participants include data collectors and database managers; stock assessment scientists, biologists, and researchers; constituency representatives including fishermen, environmentalists, and NGO's; International experts; and staff of Councils, Commissions, and state and federal agencies.

The items of discussion during the Assessment webinar IX are as follows:

Panelists will review and discuss and finalize the assessment modeling for stoplight parrotfish and yellowtail snapper.

Although non-emergency issues not contained in this agenda may come before this group for discussion, those issues may not be the subject of formal action during this meeting. Action will be restricted to those issues specifically identified in this notice and any issues arising after publication of this notice that require emergency action under section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, provided the public has been notified of the intent to take final action to address the emergency.

Special Accommodations

The meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to the Council office (see **ADDRESSES**) at least 5 business days prior to each workshop.

Note: The times and sequence specified in this agenda are subject to change.

Authority: 6 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

Dated: October 7, 2024.

Key Israel Marquez,

Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2024-23466 Filed 10-9-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. IC24-17-000]

Commission Information Collection Activities (FERC-725L) Comment Request; Extension

AGENCY: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of information collection and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

(Commission or FERC) is soliciting public comment on the currently approved information collection, FERC 725L (Mandatory Reliability Standards for the Bulk-Power System: MOD Reliability Standards). The 60-day notice comment period ended on September 27, 2024, and no comments were received.

DATES: Comments on the collection of information are due November 12, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments on FERC-725L to OMB through www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Attention: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Desk Officer. Please identify the OMB Control Number (1902-0261) in the subject line of your comments. Comments should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain.

Please submit copies of your comments to the Commission. You may submit copies of your comments (identified by Docket No. IC24-17-000) by one of the following methods:

Electronic filing through <https://www.ferc.gov>, is preferred.

- **Electronic Filing:** Documents must be filed in acceptable native applications and print-to-PDF, but not in scanned or picture format.
- For those unable to file electronically, comments may be filed by USPS mail or by other delivery methods:

- *Mail via U.S. Postal Service Only:* Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Secretary of the Commission, 888 First Street NE, Washington, DC 20426.

- *All other delivery methods:* Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Secretary of the Commission, 12225 Wilkins Avenue, Rockville, MD 20852.

Instructions: OMB submissions must be formatted and filed in accordance with submission guidelines at www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Using the search function under the "Currently Under Review" field, select Federal Energy Regulatory Commission; click "submit," and select "comment" to the right of the subject collection.

FERC submissions must be formatted and filed in accordance with submission guidelines at: <https://www.ferc.gov/ferc-online/overview>. For user assistance, contact FERC Online Support by email at ferconlinesupport@ferc.gov, or by phone at: (866) 208-3676 (toll-free).

Docket: Users interested in receiving automatic notification of activity in this docket or in viewing/downloading comments and issuances in this docket may do so at <https://www.ferc.gov/ferc-online/overview>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kayla Williams may be reached by

email at DataClearance@FERC.gov, telephone at (202) 502-6468.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: FERC-725L, Mandatory Reliability Standards for the Bulk-Power System: MOD Reliability Standards.

OMB Control No.: 1902-0261.

Type of Request: Three-year extension of the FERC-725L information collection requirements with no changes to the reporting requirements.

Abstract: MOD Reliability Standards ensure that generators remain in operation during specified voltage and frequency excursions, properly coordinate protective relays and generator voltage regulator controls, and ensure that generator models accurately reflect the generator's capabilities and equipment performance.

On May 30, 2013, the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) filed a petition explaining that the reliability of the Bulk-Power System benefits from "good quality simulation models of power system equipment," and that "model validation ensures the proper performance of the control systems and validates the computer models used for stability analysis." NERC further stated that the Reliability Standards will enhance reliability because the tests performed to obtain model data may reveal latent defects that could cause "inappropriate unit response during system disturbances."¹ Subsequently, on March 20, 2014, the Commission approved Reliability Standards MOD-025-2, MOD-026-1, and MOD-027-1. These Standards were intended to address generator verifications needed to support Bulk-Power System reliability that would also ensure that accurate data is verified and made available for planning simulations.¹

On May 1, 2014,² the Commission approved Reliability Standards MOD-032-1 and MOD-033-2. These Standards were to address "system-level modeling data and validation requirements necessary for developing planning models and the Interconnection-wide cases that are integral to analyzing the reliability of the Bulk-Power System."

MOD-025-2, MOD-026-1, MOD-027-1, MOD-031-3, MOD-032-1, and MOD-033-2 are all currently approved within the FERC-725L information collection. The reporting requirements associated with each standard will not change as a result of this extension request.

¹ Final Rule in Docket No. RM13-16-000.

² NERC Petition for Approval of Five Proposed Reliability Standards MOD-025-2, MOD-026-1, MOD-027-1, PRC-019-1, and PRC-024-1 submitted to FERC on 5/30/2013.

Type of Respondents: NERC-registered entities including generator owners, transmission planners, planning authorities, balancing

authorities, resource planners, transmission service providers, reliability coordinators, and transmission operators.³

Estimate of Annual Burden:⁴ The Commission estimates the annual public reporting burden⁵ and cost for the information collection as:

MOD-025-2

[Verification and data reporting of generator real and reactive power capability and synchronous condenser reactive power capability]

	Number of respondents ⁶	Annual number of responses per respondent	Total number of responses	Average burden & cost per response	Total annual burden hours & total annual cost	Cost per respondent (\$)
	(1)	(2)	(1) * (2) = (3)	(4)	(3) * (4) = (5)	(5) ÷ (1)
Verification and Data Reporting (Attachment 2).	1210 (GO)	1	1210	⁷ 6 hrs.; \$463.74	7,260 hrs.; \$561,125.40.	\$463.74
Evidence Retention	1210 (GO)	1	1210	⁸ 1 hr.; \$39.58	1210 hrs.; \$47,891.80.	39.58
Total	8,470 hrs.; \$609,017.20.

MOD-026-1

[Verification of models and data for generator excitation control system or plant volt/variance control functions]

	Number of respondents	Annual number of responses per respondent	Total number of responses	Average burden & cost per response	Total annual burden hours & total annual cost	Cost per respondent (\$)
	(1)	(2)	(1) * (2) = (3)	(4)	(3) * (4) = (5)	(5) ÷ (1)
Instructions for obtaining excitation control system or plant voltage/variance control function model.	203 (TP)	1	203	8 hrs.; \$618.32	1,624 hrs.; \$125,518.96.	\$618.32
Documentation on generator verification.	605 (GO)	1	605	8 hrs.; \$618.32	4,840 hrs.; \$374,083.60.	618.32
Evidence Retention	808 (GO and TOP).	1	808	1 hr.; \$39.58	808 hrs.; \$31,948.32.	39.58
Total	7,272 hrs.; \$531,550.88.

MOD-027-1

[Verification of models and data for turbine/governor and load control or active power/frequency control functions]

	Number of respondents	Annual number of responses per respondent	Total number of responses	Average burden & cost per response	Total annual burden hours & total annual cost	Cost per respondent (\$)
	(1)	(2)	(1) * (2) = (3)	(4)	(3) * (4) = (5)	(5) ÷ (1)
Instructions for obtaining excitation control system or plant voltage/variance control function model.	203 (TP)	1	203	8 hrs.; \$618.32	1,624 hrs.; \$125,518.96.	\$618.32
Documentation on generator verification.	605 (GO) ⁹	1	605	8 hrs.; \$618.32	4,840 hrs.; \$374,083.60.	618.32
Evidence Retention	808 (GO and TP).	1	808	1 hr.; \$39.58	808 hrs.; \$31,980.64.	39.58

³In subsequent portions of this notice, the following acronyms will be used:

PA = Planning Authority, GO = Generator Owner, TP = Transmission Planner, BA = Balancing Authority, RP = Resource Planner, TSP = Transmission Service Provider, RC = Reliability Coordinator, TOP = Transmission Operator.

⁴“Burden” is defined as the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a federal agency. For further explanation of what is included in the information collection burden, reference 5 CFR 1320.3.

⁵Each of the five MOD standards in the FERC-725L information collection previously contained “one-time” components to their respondent burden.

These one-time burden categories consisted primarily of activities related to establishing industry practices and developing data validation procedures tailored toward these reliability standards and their reporting requirements. None of the one-time burdens apply any longer, so they are being removed from the FERC-725L information collection.

MOD-027-1—Continued

[Verification of models and data for turbine/governor and load control or active power/frequency control functions]

	Number of respondents (1)	Annual number of responses per respondent (2)	Total number of responses (1) * (2) = (3)	Average burden & cost per response (4)	Total annual burden hours & total annual cost (3) * (4) = (5)	Cost per respondent (\$) (5) ÷ (1)
Total	7,272 hrs.; \$531,583.20.

MOD-031-3 (DEMAND AND ENERGY DATA), INCLUDED IN FERC-725L

Reliability standard MOD-031-3	Number and type of respondents (1)	Annual number of responses per respondent (2)	Total number of responses (1) * (2) = (3)	Average burden & cost per response ¹⁰ (4)	Total annual burden hours & total annual cost (3) * (4) = (5)	Cost per respondent (5) ÷ (1)
Develop summary in accordance with Requirement R1, Subparts 1.5.4 and 1.5.5.	607 (DP, TP and/or BA).	1	607	8 hrs.; \$618.32	4,856 hrs.; \$375,320.24.	\$618.32
New Total for MOD-031-3 for Renewal.	4,856 hrs.; \$375,320.24.

MOD-032-1

[Verification of models and data for turbine/governor and load control or active power/frequency control functions]

	Number of respondents (1)	Annual number of responses per respondent (2)	Total number of responses (1) * (2) = (3)	Average burden & cost per response (4)	Total annual burden hours & total annual cost (3) * (4) = (5)	Cost per respondent (\$) (5) ÷ (1)
Data Submittal	2,126 (BA, GO, PA/PC, RP, TO, TP, and TSP).	1	2,126	8 hrs.; \$618.32	17,008 hrs.; \$1,314,548.32.	\$618.32
Evidence Retention	2,126 (BA, GO, PA/PC, RP, TO, TP, and TSP).	1	2,126	1 hr.; \$39.58	2,126 hrs.; \$84,147.08.	39.58
Total	19,134 hrs.; \$1,398,695.40.

MOD-033-2 (FORMERLY MOD-033-1)

[Steady-state and dynamics system model validation]

	Number of respondents (1)	Annual number of responses per respondent (2)	Total number of responses (1) * (2) = (3)	Average burden & cost per response (4)	Total annual burden hours & total annual cost (3) * (4) = (5)	Cost per respondent (\$) (5) ÷ (1)
Data Submittal	177 (RC and TOP).	1	177	8 hrs.; \$618.32	1,416 hrs.; \$109,442.64.	\$618.32
Evidence Retention	239 (PA/PC, RC, and TOP).	1	239	1 hr.; \$39.58	239 hrs.; \$9,459.62	39.58
New Total for MOD-033-2 Renewal.	1,655 hrs.; \$118,902.26.

The total annual estimated burden and cost for the FERC-725L information collection is 48,659 hours and \$2,255,507.94 respectively.

Comments: Comments are invited on: (1) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden and cost of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Dated: October 2, 2024.

Debbie-Anne A. Reese,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2024-23297 Filed 10-9-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2023-0445; FRL-11370-05-OCSPP]

Pesticides; Framework for Interagency Collaboration To Review Potential Antibacterial and Antifungal Resistance Risks Associated With Pesticide Use; Notice of Availability

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or Agency) is announcing the availability of the final framework for expanding interagency collaboration to improve the communication and

⁶ The number of respondents for MOD-025-2/MOD-026-1/MOD-027-1/MOD-31-3/MOD-032-/MOD-033-2 are from the NERC compliance registry April 16, 2024.

⁷ The estimated hourly cost (salary plus benefits) based on the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), as of 2023, for an Electrical Engineer (17-2071) \$77.29/hr.

⁸ The estimated hourly cost (salary plus benefits) based on the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), as of 2023 Information and Record Clerk (43-4199) \$39.58/hr.

⁹ It is estimated that the applicable numbers of generator owner respondents used to calculate the public reporting burden for these standards MOD-026-1, MOD-027-1, MOD-031-3, MOD-032-1, and MOD-033-1 is half of total numbers of GO (605=1210/2) due to the higher applicability threshold for those Reliability Standards.

¹⁰ The estimated hourly cost (salary plus benefits) based on the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), as of 2023, for an Electrical Engineer (17-2071) \$77.29/hr.

knowledge base within the federal family to fully consider potential adverse impact of pesticides on efficacy of human and animal drugs. In particular, the use of antifungal and antibacterial pesticides that can potentially lead to resistance in human and animal pathogens and may compromise the effectiveness of medically important antibacterial and antifungal drugs.

DATES: The Framework is effective October 10, 2024.

ADDRESSES: The docket for this action, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-OPP-2023-0445, is available online at <https://www.regulations.gov>. Additional instructions for visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Susan Jennings, Immediate Office (7501M), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (706) 355-8574; email address: jennings.susan@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Executive Summary

A. Does this action apply to me?

This action is directed to the public in general, although this action may be of particular interest to those persons who may be interested in assessments of potential risks to human and animal health where the use of certain pesticides could potentially result in antimicrobial resistance that compromises the effectiveness of medically important antibacterial and antifungal drugs. Since other entities may also be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be interested in this action. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

B. What is the Agency's authority for taking this action?

This action is being taken under the authority of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) (7 U.S.C. 136 *et seq.*).

C. What action is the Agency taking?

EPA is announcing the availability of a final Framework that outlines a process for EPA's collaboration with other federal agencies that recognizes the benefits of these pesticides to agriculture while minimizing their

impact on public health and considers the goals of the One Health approach. While developing this Framework, EPA has coordinated with HHS and USDA, under the oversight of the White House Executive Office of the President. Each of these agencies is charged with protecting health in areas that are directly impacted by resistance resulting from pesticides or drug products used to protect humans, animals, or plants. This Framework clarifies that EPA intends to establish a process with those other federal agencies to consider their input when EPA evaluates antibacterial and antifungal pesticide products that may adversely impact the efficacy of human or animal drugs.

EPA is issuing this Framework to provide information and clarification to pesticide applicants, growers, the public health community, and the public about EPA's process for considering resistance issues related to regulatory decisions on antibacterial and antifungal pesticides with other federal agencies. While the requirements in FIFRA and the EPA regulations are binding on EPA and applicants, this Framework is not binding on EPA personnel, pesticide registrants and applicants, or the public. EPA may depart from the Framework where circumstances warrant and without prior notice. Likewise, pesticide applicants may assert that the Framework is not applicable to a specific pesticide or decision. Registrants and applicants may also propose alternative processes to the final Framework in any application to EPA.

D. Why is the Agency taking this action?

Antimicrobial resistance in bacteria and fungi is a top threat to the public's health and a priority across the globe. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention report that there are nearly 3 million antimicrobial-resistant infections and more than 35,000 associated deaths in the U.S. each year. According to USDA, plant diseases are also persistent threats to agricultural crops and global food security, having a significant impact on yields and quality. These diseases result in billions of dollars in economic losses and management inputs each year to crops, landscapes, and forests in the U.S. Plant diseases reduce yields, lower product quality or shelf-life, decrease aesthetic or nutritional value, and may contaminate food and feed with toxic compounds.

Determining a compound's potential to result in the development of antimicrobial resistance is complex. This Framework is intended to expand interagency collaboration to improve the