

11, 2025). The Service prepared this ROD considering this change and related E.O.s, including E.O. 14154, “Unleashing American Energy,” as discussed in the ROD.

Rationale for Decision

We have made the determination that DSL’s application, including the HCP, meet the statutory permit issuance criteria set forth in section 10(a)(2)(B) (16 U.S.C. 1539(a)(2)(B)). Our assessment of the application was conducted in accordance with the requirements of section 10(a)(1)(B) of the ESA and its implementing regulations. Based on our review of the alternatives and their environmental consequences as described in the final EIS, we selected the proposed action because issuance of the ITP and implementation of the HCP best fulfill the Service’s statutory mission and responsibilities while meeting our purpose and need. This decision is described further in the ROD.

Authority

We provide this notice pursuant to NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*) and Department of the Interior guidance (318 DM 3).

Bridget Fahey,

Acting Regional Director, Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 2025–09636 Filed 5–28–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4333–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement

[Docket ID BSEE–2024–0006; EEEE500000 256E1700D2 ET1SF0000.EAQ000; OMB Control Number 1014–0003]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission to the Office of Management and Budget for Review and Approval; Oil and Gas Production Safety Systems

AGENCY: Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of information collection; request for comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, the Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement (BSEE, we) proposes to renew an information collection.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments. To be considered, your comments must be received on or before June 30, 2025.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function. Please provide a copy of your comments to Kelly Odom, Acting BSEE ICCO, 45600 Woodland Road, Sterling, VA 20166; or by email to kelly.odom@bsee.gov. Please reference OMB Control Number 1014–0003 in the subject line of your comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kelly Odom by email at kelly.odom@bsee.gov, or by telephone at (703) 787–17775. Individuals in the United States who are deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability may dial 711 (TTY, TDD, or TeleBraille) to access telecommunications relay services. Individuals outside the United States should use the relay services offered within their country to make international calls to the point-of-contact in the United States. You may also view the ICR at <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with the PRA and 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(1), we provide the general public and other Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on new, proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps us assess the impact of our information collection requirements and minimize the public’s reporting burden. It also helps the public understand our information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format.

A **Federal Register** notice with a 60-day public comment period soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on August 30, 2024, (89 FR 70664). No comments were received.

As part of our continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burdens, we are again soliciting comments from the public and other Federal agencies on the proposed ICR that is described below. We are especially interested in public comment addressing the following:

- (1) Whether or not the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether or not the information will have practical utility;
- (2) The accuracy of our estimate of the burden for this collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) How might the agency minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of response.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Abstract: The regulations at 30 CFR part 250, subpart H concern oil and gas production safety systems and are the subject of this collection. The authority and responsibility for issuing these regulations are among those delegated to BSEE. This request also covers any related notices to lessees and operators (NTLs) that BSEE issues to clarify, supplement, or provide additional guidance on some aspects of our regulations.

BSEE uses the information collected under subpart H to:

- review safety system designs prior to installation to ensure that minimum safety standards will be met;
- evaluate equipment and/or procedures used during production operations;
- review records of erosion control to ensure that erosion control programs are effective;
- review plans to ensure safety of operations when more than one activity is being conducted simultaneously on a production facility;
- review records of safety devices to ensure proper maintenance during the useful life of that equipment; and
- verify proper performance of safety and pollution prevention equipment.

Title of Collection: 30 CFR part 250, subpart H, “Oil and Gas Production Safety Systems.”

OMB Control Number: 1014–0003.

Form Number: None.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents/Affected Public: Potential respondents include Federal Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) oil, gas,

and sulfur lessees and/or operators and holders of pipeline rights-of-way.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Respondents: Currently there are approximately 60 oil and gas drilling and production operators on the OCS. Not all the potential respondents will submit information in any given year, and some may submit multiple times.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 7,454.

Estimated Completion Time per Response: Varies from .5 hour to 41 hours, depending on activity.

Total Estimated Annual Nonhour Burden Hours: 91,250.

Respondent's Obligation: Responses are mandatory.

Frequency of Collection: Submissions are generally on occasion.

Total Estimated Annual Nonhour Burden Cost: \$11,455,906.

An agency may not conduct, or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The authority for this action is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Kirk Malstrom,

Chief, Regulations and Standards Branch.

[FR Doc. 2025-09583 Filed 5-28-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-VH-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1394]

Certain Liquid Coolers for Electronic Components in Computers, Components Thereof, Devices for Controlling Same, and Products Containing Same; Notice of a Commission Determination To Review in Part a Final Initial Determination Finding a Violation of Section 337; Request for Written Submissions on the Issues Under Review and on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission ("Commission") has determined to review in part a final initial determination ("ID") of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ"), Chief Judge Cheney. The Commission requests written submissions from the parties on the issues under review and submissions from the parties, interested government

agencies, and other interested persons on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding, under the schedule set forth below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Edward S. Jou, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3316. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on March 21, 2024, based on a complaint filed on behalf of Cooler Master Co., Ltd. of Taiwan; CMI USA, Inc. of Claremont, California; and CMC Great USA, Inc. of San Jose, California (collectively, "Complainants"). 89 FR 20247-48 (Mar. 21, 2024). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain liquid coolers for electronic components in computers, components thereof, devices for controlling same, and products containing same by reason of infringement of claims 1-3 and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 10,509,446 ("the '446 patent"); claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 11,061,450 ("the '450 patent"); and the claim of U.S. Patent No. D856,941 ("the '941 design patent"). *Id.* The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The Commission's notice of investigation named as respondents SilverStone Technology Co., Ltd. of Taiwan; SilverStone Technology, Inc. of Chino, California; Enermax Technology Corp. of Taiwan; Enermax USA of Chino, California; Shenzhen Apaltek Co., Ltd. of China; and Guangdong Apaltek Liquid Cooling Technology Co., Ltd. of China (collectively, "Respondents"). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not participating in the investigation. *Id.*

The '941 design patent was terminated from the investigation by withdrawal of the complaint. Order No.

7 (Sept. 6, 2024), *unreviewed by* Comm'n Notice (Sept. 30, 2024).

A claim construction hearing was held on July 22, 2024, and a claim construction order issued on November 20, 2024. Order No. 10 (Nov. 20, 2024). An evidentiary hearing was held on December 2-5, 2024.

On March 21, 2025, the ALJ issued the subject ID. Respondents filed a petition for review of the ID on April 4, 2025. Complainants filed their response on April 14, 2025.

Having reviewed the record of the investigation, including the final ID, the parties' submissions to the ALJ, and the petition for review and response thereto, the Commission has determined to review the ID in part. Specifically, the Commission has determined to review the ID's analysis of the limitation "defining a heat exchange chamber" in the asserted claims of the '446 patent and the '450 patent in the context of invalidity, infringement, and the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement. The Commission has also determined to review the ID's findings on the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement. The Commission has determined not to review the ID's findings on other issues.

In connection with its review, the Commission requests responses to the following questions. The parties are requested to brief their positions with reference to the applicable law and the existing evidentiary record.

(1) Did the ID apply the plain and ordinary meaning of the claim limitation "defining a heat exchange chamber" in the context of the '446 patent and '450 patent with respect to invalidity, infringement, and the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement? If you disagree with the ID's interpretation of this limitation, please explain what meaning should have been applied and identify where you raised such arguments before the ALJ.

(2) Did the ID consistently apply the scope of the "defining a heat exchange chamber" limitation to the prior art, the accused products, and the domestic industry products? If you contend that there are inconsistencies in the ID, please explain how the limitation should have been consistently applied and identify where you raised such arguments before the ALJ.

(3) What is the amount of the domestic industry investments in dispute with respect to the remote controller addressed in the ID at pages 118-20? Are the domestic industry activities related to the remote controller limited to certain employees, a certain timeframe, or certain domestic