TCD Grants ICR Revision Supporting!

2024-2025 Revision | Updated March

Table from Supporting Statement

- Table 1
- Table 2
- Table 3
- Table 4
- Table 5
- Table 6
- Table 0
- Table 7
- Table 8a
- Table 8b
- Table 9
- Table 10a
- Table 10b
- Table 10c
- Table 11a
- Tubic IIu
- Table 11b
- Table 11c
- Table 12a
- Table 12b
- Table 12c
- Table 13a
- Table 13b
- Table 13c
- Table 14a
- Table 14b
- Table 14c
- Table 15
- Table 16
- Table 17

Statement Appendix A: Burden Calculations

1 2025

Link to Table in Workbook

Updated Summary of Burden and Cost Estimation for Revised ICR

<u>Unit Burden for DERA Grant Program (State, National, and Tribal & Territory Programs)</u>

<u>Unit Burden for the Clean School Bus Grant Program</u>

Unit Burden for the Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Program

Unit Burden for the Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planning Program

Unit Burden for the Clean Ports Zero-Emission Technology Deployment Program

State, Local, Private Loaded Wage Rates (2024\$)

<u>Distribution of Grant Recipients to State, Local, and Private Entities by Program</u>

<u>Distribution of Grant Applicants to State, Local, and Private Entities by Program</u>

Number of Participants in each Reporting Stage in the Middle of ICR (2026)

<u>Unit Burden for DERA Grant Program (State, National, and Tribal & Territory Programs)</u>

Respondent Burden - DERA Grant (State and Local)

Respondent Burden - DERA Grant (Private)

<u>Unit Burden for Clean School Bus Grant Program</u>

Respondent Burden - Clean School Bus Grant (State and Local)

Respondent Burden - Clean School Bus Grant (Private)

Unit Burden for Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Grant Program

Respondent Burden - Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Grant (State and Local)

Respondent Burden - Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Grant (Private)

Unit Burden for Clean Ports Program - Climate and Air Quality Planning Grants

Respondent Burden - Clean Ports Program - Climate and Air Quality Planning Grants (State and Local)

Respondent Burden - Clean Ports Program - Climate and Air Quality Planning Grants (Private)

<u>Unit Burden for Clean Ports Program - Zero Emission Technology Grants</u>

Respondent Burden - Clean Ports Program - Zero Emission Technology Grants (State and Local)

Respondent Burden - Clean Ports Program - Zero Emission Technology Grants (Private)

Total Reporting Burden and Cost by Grant

Estimated Burden and Cost to Agency

<u>Difference in Burden from Original Estimation to Revision</u>

Table 1: Updated Burden Estimation for Revised ICR

Grant Program	Average Number of Respondents per Year over ICR Period
DERA Grant Program (National, Tribal, and State)	623
Clean School Bus Grant Program	267
Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Grant Program	70
Clean Ports Program Grant Program	55
Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Grants	30
Clean Ports Zero Emission Technology Deployment Grants	25
Total	1,015

Original Burden Estimation in Initial ICR

- 1. G. 1. a	
Grant Program	Average Number of Respondents per Year over ICR Period
DERA (National, Tribal, and State)	112
Clean School Bus	50
Clean Heavy Duty	50
Clean Ports Program	100
Ports Planning Awards	20
Ports Deployment of Zero Emissions Technology Awards	40
Ports Planning and Deployment of Zero Emissions Technology Awards	40
Total	312

Table 17. Difference in Burden from Original Estimation to Revision

Grant Program	Difference in Average Number of Respondents per Year over ICR Period
DERA Grant Program (National, Tribal, and State)	<u> </u>
Clean School Bus Grant Program	<u>1</u> 217
Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Grant Program	<u>^</u> 20
Clean Ports Program Grant Program	-45
Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Grants	10
Clean Ports Zero Emission Technology Deployment Grants	-55
Total Difference	703
Relative Difference from Initial ICR Estimate (approved in April 2024)	225%

Average Annual Temporal Cost for Participants over ICR Period (2025-2027) (hrs)	Average Annual Cost for Participants over ICR Period (2025-2027) (hrs)	Total Hours Over ICR Period	Total Cost Over ICR Period
4,588	\$283,138	13,763	\$849,413
2,580	\$148,366	7,741	\$445,097
526	\$33,145	1,578	\$99,435
455	\$28,431	1,365	\$85,293
138	\$8,778	414	\$26,333
317	\$19,653	950	\$58,960
8,149	\$493,079	24,447	\$1,479,238

Average Annual Temporal Cost for Participants over ICR Period (2024-2026) (hrs)	Average Annual Cost for Participants over ICR Period (2024-2026) (hrs)	Total Hours Over ICR Period	Total Cost Over ICR Period
11,220	\$641,648	33,660	\$1,924,944
1,784	\$93,795	5,353	\$281,386
576	\$30,281	1,728	\$90,842
1,008	\$56,784	3,025	\$170,353
113	\$6,346	338	\$19,037
431	\$24,290	1,294	\$72,871
464	\$26,148	1,393	\$78,445
14,589	\$822,508	43,766	\$2,467,525

Difference in Average Annual Temporal Cost for Participants over ICR Period (2025-2027) (hrs)	Difference in Average Annual Cost for Participants over ICR Period (2025-2027) (hrs)	Difference in Total Hours Over ICR Period	Difference in Total Cost Over ICR Period
-6,632	-\$358,510	-19,897	-\$1,075,531
796	\$54,571	2,388	1 \$163,711
-50	\$2,864	-150	1 \$8,593
-553	-\$28,353	-1,660	-\$85,060
<u>^</u> 25	\$2,432	<u>^</u> 76	1 \$7,296
-578	-\$30,785	-1,737	-\$92,356
-6,440	-\$329,429	-19,319	-\$988,287
-44%	-40%	-44%	-40%

Total Time to Complete by Funding Program and Project Period Year

Total Time to complete by Funding Frogram and Frogree Ferrou Fear		
Funding Program	List of Instruments for Awardees to Complete* Includes all optional instruments and information collection	
DERA Grant Program	DERA Supplemental Application Template; DERA Eligibility Statement; DERA Scrappage Statement; DERA Project Reporting Template; Utility Partnership Agreement; OTAQ Funding Program Recipient Story Collection Form; BABA Waiver Request (non-form information collection)	
Clean School Bus Grant Program	CSB Supplemental Application Template; CSB Eligibility and Scrap Sell Donate Statement; CSB Project Reporting Template; CSB Utility Partnership Statement; OTAQ Funding Program Recipient Story Collection Form; BABA Waiver Request (non-form information collection)	
Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Grant Program	CHDV Supplemental Application Template; CHDV Eligibility and Scrappage Statement; CHDV Project Reporting Template; Utility Partnership Agreement; OTAQ Funding Program Recipient Story Collection Form; BABA Waiver Request (non-form information collection)	
Clean Ports Program, Climate and Air Quality Planning Grants	Clean Ports Supplemental Application Template; Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planning Project Reporting Template; OTAQ Funding Program Recipient Story Collection Form	
Clean Ports Program, Zero Emission Technology Grants	Clean Ports Supplemental Application Template; Clean Ports Program Scrappage Evidence Statement; Utility Partnership Agreement; Clean Ports Zero-Emission Technology Project Reporting Template; Clean Ports Program Deployment Evidence Form; Clean Ports Program Scrappage Eligibility Statement; OTAQ Funding Program Recipient Story Collection Form; BABA Waiver Request (non-form information collection)	

*All project periods of performance are up to 3 years, except for Clean Ports Zero Emission Technology Program and DERA Gra †Total Temporal Burden by Program presented in the table above uses a weighted average of participants submitting optional

Table 2. Unit Burden for DERA Grant Program (State, National, and Tribal & Territory Programs)

EPA Form Name	EPA Form Number
DERA Supplemental Application Template	5900-681
DERA Eligibility Statement	5900-687
DERA Scrappage Statement	5900-688
DERA Project Reporting Template	5900-691
Utility Partnership Agreement	5900-685
OTAQ Funding Program Recipient Story CollectionTBA	
BABA Waiver Request (non-form information colleN/A	

Total

Table 3. Unit Burden for Clean School Bus Grant Program

EPA Form Name	EPA Form Number
---------------	-----------------

CSB Supplemental Application Template	5900-680
CSB Eligibility and Scrap Sell Donate Statement	5900-686
CSB Project Reporting Template	5900-692
CSB Utility Partnership Statement	5900-693
OTAQ Funding Program Recipient Story CollectionTBA	
BABA Waiver Request (non-form information coll(N/A	

Total

Table 4. Unit Burden for Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Program

EPA Form Name	EPA Form Number
CHDV Supplemental Application Template	5900-689
CHDV Eligibility and Scrappage Statement	5900-682
CHDV Project Reporting Template	5900-683
Utility Partnership Agreement	5900-685
OTAQ Funding Program Recipient Story Collection Form	TBA
BABA Waiver Request (non-form information o	coll(N/A

Total

Table 5. Unit Burden for Clean Ports Program Climate and Air Quality Planning Grants

EPA Form Name	EPA Form Number
Clean Ports Supplemental Application Template	5900-679
Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planning Project Reporting Template	TBA
OTAQ Funding Program Recipient Story Collection Form	ТВА

Total

Table 6. Unit Burden for Clean Ports Program Zero Emissions Technology Deployment Grant

EPA Form Name	EPA Form Number
---------------	-----------------

Clean Ports Supplemental Application Template 5900-679

Clean Ports Program Scrappage Evidence

Statement

5900-684

Utility Partnership Agreement

5900-685

Clean Ports Zero-Emission Technology Project

Reporting Template

TBA

Clean Ports Program Deployment Evidence Form TBA

Clean Ports Program Scrappage Eligibility Statement

TBA

OTAQ Funding Program Recipient Story Collection Form

TBA

BABA Waiver Request (non-form information colleN/A

Total

Estimated Total Hours Required by Awardees ⁱ Year 1 of Project Period	Estimated Total Hours Required by Awardees ⁱ Year 2 of Project Period	Estimated Total Hours Required by Awardees ⁱ Year 3 of Project Period	Estimated Total Hours Required by Awardees ⁱ Year 4 of Project Period*	Estimated Total Hours Required by Awardees for application and entire project period
21.3	1.5	1.5	4.1	36.5
20.6	1.4	3.0	N/A	35.4
18.1	1.4	3.0	N/A	30.8
6.3	2.5	5.0	N/A	18.0
29.2	4.4	4.4	13.3	56.2

ant Program, which are up to 4 years.

 $\label{thm:continuous} I \ forms \ to \ tabulate \ the \ estimated \ temporal \ burden \ by \ program \ and \ project \ period.$

Share of participants completing (If required, assume 100% of respondents will complete and submit this form)	Low End Estimate (hrs.)	High End Estimate (hrs.)	Average Estimate (hrs.)	Weighted Average (hrs.) Accounting for Share of Participants Completing Optional Forms
100%	5.2	7.6	6.4	6.4
100%	1.5	12.0	6.8	6.8
100%	1.5	12.0	6.8	6.8
100%	12.4	15.7	14.0	14.0
10%	1.5	6.0	3.8	0.4
5%	1.5	3.0	2.3	0.1
9%	4.0	6.0	5.0	0.4
			47.9	34.8

Share of participants completing (If required, assume 100% of respondents will complete and submit this form) Share of participants Cow End (hr		Average Estimate (hrs.)	Weighted Average (hrs.) Accounting for Share of Participants Completing Optional Forms
--	--	----------------------------	--

			41.5	32.4
20%	4.0	6.0	5.0	1.0
5%	1.5	3.0	2.3	0.1
100%	1.5	6.0	3.8	3.8
100%	12.6	14.2	13.4	13.4
100%	1.5	12.0	6.8	6.8
100%	7.2	7.6	7.4	7.4

Share of participants completing (If required, assume 100% of respondents will complete and submit this form)	Low End Estimate (hrs.)	High End Estimate (hrs.)	Average Estimate (hrs.)	Weighted Average (hrs.) Accounting for Share of Participants Completing Optional Forms
100%	6.8	7.6	7.2	7.2
100%	1.0	12.0	6.5	6.5
100%	11.3	14.1	12.7	12.7
10%	1.5	6.0	3.8	0.4
5%	1.5	3.0	2.3	0.1
57%	4.0	6.0	5.0	2.9
			39.9	29.7

Share of participants completing (If required, assume 100% of respondents will complete and submit this form)	Low End Estimate (hrs.)	High End Estimate (hrs.)	Average Estimate (hrs.)	Weighted Average (hrs.) Accounting for Share of Participants Completing Optional Forms
100%	2.2	6.1	4.2	4.2
100%	13.0	15.0	14.0	14.0
5%	1.5	3.0	2.3	0.1
			20.5	18.3

Share of participants completing (If required, assume 100% of respondents will complete and submit this form)	Low End Estimate (hrs.)	High End Estimate (hrs.)		Weighted Average (hrs.) Accounting for Share of Participants Completing Optional Forms
100%	2.2	6.1	4.2	4.2
100%	1.5	12.0	6.8	6.8
50%	1.5	6.0	3.8	1.9
100%	22.4	29.6	26.0	26.0

			03.0	33.3
			63.0	55.5
60%	4.0	6.0	5.0	3.0
5%	1.5	3.0	2.3	0.1
100%	1.5	12.0	6.8	6.8
100%	1.5	12.0	6.8	6.8

Estimated Total Hours Required by Awardees for entire project period

28.5

25.0

22.5

13.8

51.3

Estimated Time Required Over Project Lifetime

Range of Time to Complete (hrs.)	*does not use	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4
5.2 - 7.6	6.4				
1.5 - 12	1.5	6.8			
1.5 - 12		6.8			
12.4 - 15.7		7.0	1.5	1.5	4
1.5 - 6	1.5	3.8			
1.5 - 3					2.3
4 - 6		5.0			
23.5 - 56.2	9.4	29.3	1.5	1.5	6.3

Estimated Time Required Over Project Lifetime *does not use Weighted average to account for

does not use weighted average to account for					
Range of Time to Complete (hrs.)	Application	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	

7.2 - 7.6	7.4			
1.5 - 12	1.5	6.8		
12.6 - 14.2		9.1	1.4	2.9
1.5 - 6	1.5	3.8		
1.5 - 3				2.3
4 - 6		5.0		
24.3 - 42.8	10.4	24.6	1.4	5.2

Estimated Time Required Over Project Lifetime *does not use Weighted average to account for

Range of Time to Complete (hrs.)	Application	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
6.8 - 7.6	7.2			
1 - 12	1.0	6.5		
11.3 - 14.1		8.4	1.4	2.9
1.5 - 6	1.5	3.8		
1.5 - 3				2.3
4 - 6		5.0		
15.3 - 35.1	9.7	23.7	1.4	5.2

Estimated Time Required Over Project Lifetime *does not use Weighted average to account for

Range of Time to Complete (hrs.)	Application	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
2.2 - 6.1	4.2			
13 - 15		6.2	2.5	5
1.5 - 3		2.3		
16.7 - 24.1	4.2	8.5	2.5	5.0

Estimated Time Required Over Project Lifetime *does not use Weighted average to account for optional forms Range of Time to Complete **Application** Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 4 (hrs.) 2.2 - 6.1 4.2 1.5 - 12 6.8 1.5 - 6 1.5 3.8 22.4 - 29.6 2.7 2.7 4.7 15.9

36.1 - 86.7 5.		4.4	4.4	15.4
4 - 6	5.0			
1.5 - 3				2.3
1.5 - 12	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7
1.5 - 12				6.8

Universe of Respondents for Grant Programs Covered under this Information Collectio

Table 8a. Distribution of Grant Recipients to State, Local, and Private Entities by Program

Approved Recipient Per Year	Number of State Recipients	Number of Local Recipients
DERA Grant Program	55	42
DERA National	5	30
DERA Tribal & Territory	0	12
DERA State	50	0
Clean School Bus Grant Program	1	25
Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Grant Program	13	54
Clean Ports Grant Program	17	35
Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planning Grant	10	20
Clean Ports Zero Emission Technology Grant	7	15
Total	86	156

Table 8b. Distribution of Grant Applicants by State, Local, Private Entities by Program

Anticipated Applicants Per Year		Number of Local Applicants
DERA Grant Program	60	85
DERA National	10	60
DERA Tribal & Territory	0	25
DERA State	50	0
Clean School Bus Grant Program	4	100
Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Grant Program	19	77
Clean Ports Grant Program	34	70
Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planning Grant	20	40
Clean Ports Zero Emission Technology Grant	14	30
Total	117	332

Source: Estimates provided by EPA/OTAQ (Tim Thomas) via email on 9/06/2023: We expect no more than and no more than 50 to be selected for project funding for each program. Sarah Harrison updated counts of selectees for Clean Ports and CHDV on 11/13/2024

Table 8c. Share of Participant by Type and Program

Anticipated Participants Per Year		Share of Local Participants
DERA Grant Program	34%	49%
DERA National	10%	60%
DERA Tribal & Territory	0%	100%
DERA State	100%	0%
Clean School Bus Grant Program	2%	50%

Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Grant Program	19%	77%
Clean Ports Grant Program	31%	64%
Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planning Grant	33%	67%
Clean Ports Zero Emission Technology Grant	28%	60%

Number of Private Recipients	Total Recipients
15	112
15	50
0	12
0	50
24	50
3	70
3	55
0	30
3	25
45	287

Number of Private Applicants	Total Applicants
30	175
30	100
0	25
0	50
96	200
4	100
6	110
0	60
6	50
136	<u>585</u>

^{1 200} Recipients per grant program

Share of Private Participants	Total Participants
17%	100%
30%	100%
0%	100%
0%	100%
48%	100%

4%	100%
5%	100%
0%	100%
12%	100%

Anticipated Number of Responses by Program Throughout Grant Fundin

This tab details how many responses are anticipated by funding program and FY CHDV and Clean Ports Programs are 1-time programs, that is, only one round of

Where X= 2024, ICR Year 0

Grant Program

DERA Grant Program

DERA National

DERA Tribal

DERA State

Clean School Bus Grant Program

Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Program

Clean Ports Grant Program

Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planning Grants

Clean Ports Deployment of Zero Emissions Technology Grants

Total

Where X= 2025, ICR Year 1

Grant Program

DERA Grant Program

DERA National

DERA Tribal

DERA State

Clean School Bus Grant Program

Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Program

Clean Ports Grant Program

Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planning Grants

Clean Ports Deployment of Zero Emissions Technology Grants

Total

Where X= 2026, ICR Year 2

Table 9. Number of Participants in each Reporting Stage in 2026

Grant Program

DERA Grant Program

DERA National

DERA Tribal

DERA State

Clean School Bus Grant Program

Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Program

Clean Ports Grant Program

Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planning Grants

Clean Ports Deployment of Zero Emissions Technology Grants

Total

Where X= 2027, ICR Year 3

Grant Program

DERA Grant Program

DERA National

DERA Tribal

DERA State

Clean School Bus Grant Program

Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Program

Clean Ports Grant Program

Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planning Grants

Clean Ports Deployment of Zero Emissions Technology Grants

Total

Where X= 2028; ICR set to expire

Grant Program

DERA Grant Program

DERA National

DERA Tribal

DERA State

Clean School Bus Grant Program

Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Program

Clean Ports Grant Program

Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planning Grants

Clean Ports Deployment of Zero Emissions Technology Grants

Total

Grant Program

DERA Grant Program

DERA National

DERA Tribal

DERA State

Clean School Bus Grant Program

Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Program

Clean Ports Grant Program

Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planning Grants

Clean Ports Deployment of Zero Emissions Technology Grants

Total

Total Anticipated Temporal Burden for Responses by ICR Year and Program

Grant Program

DERA Grant Program

DERA National

DERA Tribal

DERA State

Clean School Bus Grant Program

Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Program

Clean Ports Grant Program

Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planning Grants

Clean Ports Deployment of Zero Emissions Technology Grants

Total

Total Anticipated Cost for Participants Responses by ICR Year and Program

Grant Program

DERA Grant Program

DERA National

DERA Tribal

DERA State

Clean School Bus Grant Program

Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Program

Clean Ports Grant Program

Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planning Grants

Clean Ports Deployment of Zero Emissions Technology Grants

Total

Table 15. Total Reporting Burden and Cost by Grant Program

Grant Program

DERA Grant Program

DERA National DERA Tribal

DERA State

Clean School Bus Grant Program

Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Program

Clean Ports Grant Program

Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planning Grants

Clean Ports Deployment of Zero Emissions Technology Grants

Total

g Life Cycle and ICR Period

, based on the following assumptions: (1) DERA is funded annually each year; (2) CSB Gran competitive funding is issued, and the burden for these applications is outside of the scop

Count of Applicants (awarded in FYX)	Count of grantees compinterannual reports in freporting year (original awarded in FYX-1)	irst comple	of grantees eting interannual s in second reporting riginally awarded in
	100	50	50
	25	12	12
	50	50	50
2	200	50	0
;	100	0	0
	60	0	0
	50	0	0
-	585	162	112

Count of Applicants (awarded in FYX)	Count of grantees completing interannual reports in first reporting year (originally awarded in FYX-1)	Count of grantees completing interannual reports in second reporting year (originally awarded in FYX-2)
	100	50 50
	25	12 12
	50	50 50
	200	50 50
	0	70 0
	0	30 0
	0	25 0
-	375 2	162

Count of Applicants	inter
(awarded in FYX)	repoi
	awar

Count of grantees completing interannual reports in first reporting year (originally awarded in FYX-1)

Count of grantees completing interannual reports in second reporting year (originally awarded in FYX-2)

375	162	287
0	0	25
0	0	30
0	0	70
200	50	50
50	50	50
25	12	12
100	50	50

Count of Applicants (awarded in FYX)	Count of grantees completing interannual reports in first reporting year (originally awarded in FYX-1)	Count of grantees completing interannual reports in second reporting year (originally awarded in FYX-2)
1	00	50 50
	25	12 12
	50	50 50
	0	50 50
	0	0 0
	0	0 0
	0	0 0
1	75 10	62 162

Count of Applicants (awarded in FYX)	Count of grantees completing interannual reports in first reporting year (originally awarded in FYX-1)	Count of grantees completing interannual reports in second reporting year (originally awarded in FYX-2)
10	00 5	0 50
2	25 1	2 12
!	50 5	0 50
	0	0 50
	0	0 0
	0	0 0
	0	0 0
17	75 11	2 162

Total Number of Respondents by Program in ICR Year 1	Total Number of Respondents by Program in ICR Year 2	Total Number of Respondents by Program in ICR Year 3
623	623	623
300	300	300
73	3 73	73
250	250	250
300	350	150
70	70	70
55	55	55
30	30	30
25	5 25	25
1,048	1,098	898

Total Temporal Cost by Program in ICR Year 1 (hrs)	Total Temporal Cost by Program in ICR Year 2 (hrs)	Total Temporal Cost by Program in ICR Year 3 (hrs)
4,588	4,588	4,588
2,223	2,223	2,223
542	542	542
1,823	1,823	1,823
3,170	3,321	1,251
1,269	98	211
920.4166666666	184.6875	259.6875
189	75	150
731	110	110
9,947	8,191	6,309

Total Cost by Program in ICR Year 1 (\$)	Total Cost by Program in ICR Year 2 (\$)	Total Cost by Program in ICR Year 3 (\$)
\$283,138	\$283,138	\$283,138
\$132,867	\$132,867	\$132,867
\$34,415	\$34,415	\$34,415
\$115,856	\$115,856	\$115,856
\$182,265	\$190,925	\$71,907
\$79,973	\$6,175	\$13,287
\$57,385	\$11,571	\$16,337
\$12,035	\$4,766	\$9,532
\$45,351	\$6,805	\$6,805
\$602,761	\$491,809	\$384,668

Average Annual Temporal Cost for Participants over ICR Period (2025-2027) (hrs)	Average Annual Cost for Participants over ICR Period (2025-2027; \$)	Total Temporal Cost Over ICR (2025-2027) (hrs)
4,588	\$283,138	3 13,763
2,223	\$132,867	7 6,669
542	\$34,415	1,625
1,823	\$115,850	5,469
2,580	\$148,366	7,741
526	\$33,145	1,578
455	\$28,433	1,365
138	\$8,778	3 414
317	\$19,653	950
8,149	\$493,079	24,447

Count of grantees completing interannual reports in third reporting year (originally awarded in FYX-3)	completing their fourth	Total Respondents by Program in ICR Year 0
50	50	300
12		73
50		1
0		250
C		100
	. ,, , ,	0
C	N/A	60
C		50
112	112	1083

Count of grantees completing interannual reports in third reporting year (originally awarded in FYX-3)	completing their fourth	Total Respondents by Program in ICR Year 1
50	50	300
12		
50		
C	N/A	300
C	N/A	70
		0
C	N/A	30
C	0	25
112	112	1048

Count of grantees completing
interannual reports in third
reporting year (originally
awarded in FYX-3)

Count of grantees completing their fourth reporting year (originally awarded in FYX-4)

Total Respondents by Program in ICR Year 2

50	50	300
12	12	73
50	50	250
50	N/A	350
0	N/A	70
		0
0	N/A	30
0	0	25
162	112	1098
	'	

Count of grantees completing interannual reports in third reporting year (originally awarded in FYX-3)	completing their fourth reporting year (originally	Total Respondents by Program in ICR Expiration Year 3/Renewal Year 1
50	50	300
12		73
50	50	250
50	N/A	150
70	N/A	70
		0
30	N/A	30
25	0	25
287	112	898

Count of grantees completing interannual reports in third reporting year (originally awarded in FYX-3)	completing their fourth	Total Respondents by Program in ICR Renewal Year 2
50	50	300
12	2 12	73
50	50	250
50) N/A	100
C) N/A	0
		0
C) N/A	0
C	25	25
162	2 137	748

Average Number of Respondents over ICR (2025- 2027)	Total Number of Respondents Over ICR (2025-2027)
623	1,869
300	900
73	219
250	750
267	800
70	210
55	165
30	90
25	75
1,015	3,044

Average Annual Tempora Cost for Participants over Period (2025-2027) (hrs)	, ICD	Total Temporal Cost Over ICR (2025-2027) (hrs)
4	4,588	13,763
;	2,223	6,669
	542	1,625
	1,823	5,469
;	2,580	7,741
	526	1,578
	455	1,365
	138	414
	317	950
	8,149	24,447

verage Annual Cost for orticipants over ICR Period 025-2027; \$)	Total Cost Over ICR (2025- 2027) (\$)
\$283,138	\$849,413
\$132,867	\$398,601
\$34,415	\$103,244
\$115,856	\$347,568
\$148,366	\$445,097
\$33,145	\$99,435
\$28,431	\$85,293
\$8,778	\$26,333
\$19,653	\$58,960
\$493,079	\$1,479,238

Total Cost Over ICR (2025-2027) (\$)

\$849,413

\$398,601

\$103,244

\$347,568

\$445,097

\$99,435

\$85,293

\$26,333

\$58,960

\$1,479,238

Table 10A. Unit Burden for DERA Grant Program (State, National, and Tribal & Territory Programs)

Item #	EPA Form Name	EPA Form Number	Share of Responses	Low End Estimate (hrs.)
а	DERA Supplemental Application Template	5900-681	100%	5.2
b	DERA Eligibility Statement	5900-687	100%	1.5
С	DERA Scrappage Statement	5900-688	100%	1.5
d	DERA Project Reporting Template	5900-691	100%	12.4
e	Utility Partnership Agreement	5900-685	10%	1.5
f	OTAQ Funding Program Recipient Story Collection For	TBA	5%	1.5
g	BABA Waiver Request (non-form information collection)	N/A	9%	4.0

Total Hours per Complete Response

h (a+b+c+d+e+f+g)

Total Hours per Required Response

i (a+b+c+d)

j Weighted Average of Response

Table 10B. Cost to State and Local Respondents to Complete DERA Grant Program (State, National, an

Item #	EPA Form Name	EPA Form Number	Share of Responses	Low End Estimate (\$)
а	DERA Supplemental Application Template	5900-681	100%	\$327
b	DERA Eligibility Statement	5900-687	100%	\$95
С	DERA Scrappage Statement	5900-688	100%	\$95
d	DERA Project Reporting Template	5900-691	100%	\$786
e	Utility Partnership Agreement	5900-685	10%	\$95
f	OTAQ Funding Program Recipient Story Collection For	TBA	5%	\$95
g	BABA Waiver Request (non-form information collection	rN/A	9%	\$254

Total Cost Per Complete Response

h (a+b+c+d+e+f+g)

Total Cost Per Required Response

(a + b + c + d)

Total Cost of Response using Weighted Average of Share of Respondents Submitting Optional Forms

Anticipated Number of State and Local Respondents

k per Year

Total Cost for Program by Stage

(j) x (k)

Total Cost for State and Local Respondents (Σ I)

m

i

j

Table 10C. Cost to Private Respondents to Complete DERA Grant Program (State, National, and Tribal

Item #	EPA Form Name	EPA Form Number	Share of Responses	Low End Estimate (\$)
a	DERA Supplemental Application Template	5900-681	100%	\$262
b	DERA Eligibility Statement	5900-687	100%	\$76
С	DERA Scrappage Statement	5900-688	100%	\$76
d	DERA Project Reporting Template	5900-691	100%	\$630
е	Utility Partnership Agreement	5900-685	10%	\$76
f	OTAQ Funding Program Recipient Story Collection For	TBA	5%	\$76
g	BABA Waiver Request (non-form information collection	rN/A	9%	\$204

Total Cost Per Complete Response

h (a+b+c+d+e+f+g)

Total Cost Per Required Response

i (a+b+c+d)

Total Cost of Response using Weighted Average of Share of Respondents Submitting Optional Forms

j

k

Anticipated Number of Private Respondents per Year

Total Cost for Program by Stage

(j) x (k)

Total Cost for Private Respondents

m **(Σ I**)

Table 11A. Unit Burden for Clean School Bus Grant Program

Item #	EPA Form Name	EPA Form Number	Share of Responses	Low End Estimate (hrs.)
а	CSB Supplemental Application Template	5900-680	100%	7.15
b	CSB Eligibility and Scrap Sell Donate Statement	5900-686	100%	1.5
С	CSB Project Reporting Template	5900-692	100%	12.6
d	CSB Utility Partnership Statement	5900-693	100%	1.5
e	OTAQ Funding Program Recipient Story Collection Form	TBA	5%	1.5
f	BABA Waiver Request (non-form information collection)	N/A	20%	4

Total Hours per Complete Response

g (a+b+c+d+e+f)

Total Hours per Required Response

(a+b+c+d)

i

j Weighted Average of Response

Table 11B. Cost to State and Local Respondents to Complete Clean School Bus Grant Program

Item #	EPA Form Name	EPA Form Number	Share of Responses	Low End Estimate (\$)
а	CSB Supplemental Application Template	5900-680	100%	\$454
b	CSB Eligibility and Scrap Sell Donate Statement	5900-686	100%	\$95
С	CSB Project Reporting Template	5900-692	100%	\$801
d	CSB Utility Partnership Statement	5900-693	100%	\$95
e	OTAQ Funding Program Recipient Story Collection Form	ТВА	5%	\$95
f	BABA Waiver Request (non-form information collection)	N/A	20%	\$254
g	Total Cost Per Complete Response (a + b + c + d + e + f)			
h	Total Cost Per Required Response (a + b + c + d)			
i	Total Cost of Response using Weighted Average of Share of Respondents Submitting Optional Forms			
j	Anticipated Number of State and Local Respondents per Year			
k	Total Cost for Program by Stage (i) x (j)			
I	Total Cost for State and Local Respondents (Σ k)			

Table 11C. Cost to Private Respondents to Complete Clean School Bus Grant Program

Item #	EPA Form Name	EPA Form Number	Share of Responses	Low End Estimate (\$)
а	CSB Supplemental Application Template	5900-680	100%	\$364
b	CSB Eligibility and Scrap Sell Donate Statement	5900-686	100%	\$76
С	CSB Project Reporting Template	5900-692	100%	\$642
d	CSB Utility Partnership Statement	5900-693	100%	\$76
e	OTAQ Funding Program Recipient Story Collection Form	TBA	5%	\$76
f	BABA Waiver Request (non-form information collection)	N/A	20%	\$204

Total Cost Per Complete Response (a + b + c + d + e + f)

Total Cost Per Required Response

h (a+b+c+d)

g

i

Total Cost of Response using Weighted Average of Share of Respondents Submitting Optional Forms

Anticipated Number of Private Respondents per Year

Total Cost for Program by Stage

k (i) x (j)

j

Total Cost for Private Respondents

(Σ l

Table 12A. Unit Burden for Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Grant Program

Item#	EPA Form Name	EPA Form Number	Share of Responses	Low End Estimate (hrs.)
a	CHDV Supplemental Application Template	5900-689	100%	6.8
b	CHDV Eligibility and Scrappage Statement	5900-682	100%	1.0
С	CHDV Project Reporting Template	5900-683	100%	11.3
d	Utility Partnership Agreement	5900-685	10%	1.5
е	OTAQ Funding Program Recipient Story Collection Form	TBA	5%	1.5
f	BABA Waiver Request (non-form information collection)	N/A	57%	4.0

Total Hours per Complete Response

g (a+b+c+d+e+f)

Total Hours per Required Response

h (a+b+c)

i Weighted Average of Response

Table 12B. Cost to State and Local Respondents to Complete Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Grant Program

Item #	EPA Form Name	EPA Form Number	Share of Responses	Low End Estimate (\$)
а	CHDV Supplemental Application Template	5900-689	100%	\$429
b	CHDV Eligibility and Scrappage Statement	5900-682	100%	\$64
С	CHDV Project Reporting Template	5900-683	100%	\$719
d	Utility Partnership Agreement	5900-685	10%	\$95
e	OTAQ Funding Program Recipient Story Collection Form	ТВА	5%	\$95
f	BABA Waiver Request (non-form information collection)	N/A	57%	\$254

Total Cost Per Complete Response

(a + b + c + d + e + f)

Total Cost per Required Response

h (a+b+c)

Total Cost of Response using Weighted Average of Share of Respondents Submitting Optional Forms

i

g

Anticipated Number of State and Local Respondents

j per Year

Total Cost for Program by Stage

k (i) x (j)

Total Cost for State and Local Respondents

| (Σ k)

Table 12C. Cost to Private Respondents to Complete Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Grant Program

Item#	EPA Form Name	EPA Form Number	Share of Responses	Low End Estimate (\$)
а	CHDV Supplemental Application Template	5900-689	100%	\$344
b	CHDV Eligibility and Scrappage Statement	5900-682	100%	\$51
С	CHDV Project Reporting Template	5900-683	100%	\$576
d	Utility Partnership Agreement	5900-685	10%	\$76
e	OTAQ Funding Program Recipient Story Collection Form	TBA	5%	\$76
f	BABA Waiver Request (non-form information collection)	N/A	57%	\$204

Total Cost Per Complete Response

(a + b + c + d + e + f)

Total Cost per Required Response

h (a+b+c)

Total Cost of Response using Weighted Average of Share of Respondents Submitting Optional Forms

i

g

i

Anticipated Number of Private Respondents per Year

Total Cost for Program by Stage

k (i) x (j)

Total Cost for Private Respondents

(Σ k)

Table 13A. Unit Burden for Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planning Grants

Item #	EPA Form Name	EPA Form Number	Share of Responses	Low End Estimate (hrs.)
а	Clean Ports Supplemental Application Template	5900-679	100%	2.2
b	Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planning Project Reporting Template	ТВА	100%	13.0
С	OTAQ Funding Program Recipient Story Collection Form	ТВА	5%	1.5

Total Hours per Complete Response (a + b + c)

d

Total Hours per Required Response

e (a + b)

f Weighted Average of Response

Table 13B. Cost to State and Local Respondents to Complete Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planı

Item #	EPA Form Name	EPA Form Number	Share of Responses	Low End Estimate (\$)
a	Clean Ports Supplemental Application Template	5900-679	100%	\$137
b	Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planning Project Reporting Template	TBA	100%	\$828
С	OTAQ Funding Program Recipient Story Collection Form	TBA	5%	\$95
d	Total Cost Per Complete Response (a + b + c)			
e	Total Cost per Required Response (a + b)			
f	Total Cost of Response using Weighted Average of Share of Respondents Submitting Optional Forms			
g	Anticipated Number of State and Local Respondents per Year			
h	Total Cost for Program by Stage (f) x (g)			
	Total Cost for State and Local Respondents			

Table 13C. Cost to Private Respondents to Complete Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planning Gra

	<u> </u>			
Item #	EPA Form Name	EPA Form Number	Share of Responses	Low End Estimate (\$)
а	Clean Ports Supplemental Application Template	5900-679	100%	\$110
b	Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planning Project Reporting Template	TBA	100%	\$664
С	OTAQ Funding Program Recipient Story Collection Form	ТВА	5%	\$76

Total Cost Per Complete Response

d (a+b+c)

(Σ h)

i

Total Cost per Required Response

e (a + b)

Total Cost of Response using Weighted Average of Share of Respondents Submitting Optional Forms

Anticipated Number of Private Respondents per Year

Total Cost for Program by Stage

h (f) x (g)

g

Total Cost for Private Respondents (Σh)

Table 14A. Unit Burden for Clean Ports Zero Emissions Technology Grants

Item #	EPA Form Name	EPA Form Number	Share of Responses	Low End Estimate (hrs.)
а	Clean Ports Supplemental Application Template	5900-679	100%	2.2
b	Clean Ports Program Scrappage Evidence Statement	5900-684	100%	1.5
C	Utility Partnership Agreement	5900-685	50%	1.5
d	Clean Ports Zero-Emission Technology Project Reporting Template	TBA	100%	22.4
e	Clean Ports Program Deployment Evidence Form	TBA	100%	1.5
f	Clean Ports Program Scrappage Eligibility Statement	TBA	100%	1.5
g	OTAQ Funding Program Recipient Story Collection Form	ТВА	5%	1.5
h	BABA Waiver Request (non-form information collection)	N/A	60%	4.0

Total Hours per Complete Response

i (a + b + c + d + e + f)

Total Hours per Required Response

h (a+b+d+e+f)

i Weighted Average of Response

Table 14B. Cost to State and Local Respondents to Complete Clean Ports Zero Emissions Technology G

Ito	em#	EPA Form Name	EPA Form Number	Share of Responses	Low End Estimate (\$)
a		Clean Ports Supplemental Application Template	5900-679	100%	\$137
b		Clean Ports Program Scrappage Evidence Statement	5900-684	100%	\$95
С		Utility Partnership Agreement	5900-685	50%	\$95
d		Clean Ports Zero-Emission Technology Project Reporting Template	TBA	100%	\$1,426
е		Clean Ports Program Deployment Evidence Form	TBA	100%	\$95

f	Clean Ports Program Scrappage Eligibility Statement	TBA	100%	\$95
g	OTAQ Funding Program Recipient Story Collection Form	TBA	5%	\$95
<u>h</u>	BABA Waiver Request (non-form information collection)	N/A	60%	\$254
i	Total Cost Per Complete Response (a + b + c + d + e + f + g + h)			
j	Total Cost per Required Response (a + b + d + e + f)			
k	Total Cost of Responise using Weighted Average of Share of Respondents Submitting Optional Forms			
I	Anticipated Number of State and Local Respondents per Year			
m	Total Cost for Program by Stage (k) x (I)			
n	Total Cost for State and Local Respondents (Σ m)			

Table 14C. Cost to Private Respondents to Complete Clean Ports Zero Emissions Technology Grants

Item #	EPA Form Name	EPA Form Number	Share of Responses	Low End Estimate (\$)
a	Clean Ports Supplemental Application Template	5900-679	100%	\$110
b	Clean Ports Program Scrappage Evidence Statement	5900-684	100%	\$76
С	Utility Partnership Agreement	5900-685	50%	\$76
d	Clean Ports Zero-Emission Technology Project Reporting Template	TBA	100%	\$1,143
e	Clean Ports Program Deployment Evidence Form	TBA	100%	\$76
f	Clean Ports Program Scrappage Eligibility Statement	ТВА	100%	\$76
g	OTAQ Funding Program Recipient Story Collection Form	TBA	5%	\$76
h	BABA Waiver Request (non-form information collection)	N/A	60%	\$204

Total Cost Per Complete Response (a + b + c + d + e + f + g + h)

Total Cost per Required Response (a + b + d + e + f)

j

i

k	Total Cost of Responise using Weighted Average of Share of Respondents Submitting Optional Forms
ı	Anticipated Number of Private Respondents per Year
m	Total Cost for Program by Stage (k) x (l)
n	Total Cost for Private Respondents (Σ m)

Estimated Time Required Over Project Lifetime

High End Estimate (hrs.)	Average Estimate (hrs.)	Range of Time to Complete (hrs.)	Application	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
7.6	6.4	5.2 - 7.6	6.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
12.0	6.8	1.5 - 12	1.5	6.8	0.0	0.0
12.0	6.8	1.5 - 12	0.0	6.8	0.0	0.0
15.7	14.0	12.4 - 15.7	0.0	7.0	1.5	1.5
6.0	3.8	1.5 - 6	1.5	3.8	0.0	0.0
3.0	2.3	1.5 - 3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6.0	5.0	4 - 6	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0
	47.9	23.5 - 56.2	9.4	29.3	1.5	1.5
	33.9	20.5 - 47.2	7.9	20.5	1.5	1.5
	34.8	21.1 - 48.5	8.0	21.3	1.5	1.5

d Tribal & Territory Programs)

d IIIbai & Tellitory i Togranis			Estimated Cost Required Over 110 Jeet Eijetime				
High End Estimate (\$)	Average Estimate (\$)	Range of Cost to Complete (\$)	Application	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	
\$480	\$404	\$327 - \$480	\$404	\$0	\$0	\$0	
\$763	\$429	\$95 - \$763	\$95	\$429	\$0	\$0	
\$763	\$429	\$95 - \$763	\$0	\$429	\$0	\$0	
\$997	\$891	\$786 - \$997	\$0	\$447	\$95	\$95	
\$381	\$238	\$95 - \$381	\$95	\$238	\$0	\$0	
\$191	\$143	\$95 - \$191	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
\$381	\$318	\$254 - \$381	\$0	\$318	\$0	\$0	
	\$3,043	\$1,749 - \$3,955	\$594	\$1,861	\$95	\$95	
	\$2,153	\$1,304 - \$3,002	\$499	\$1,305	\$95	\$95	
	\$2,212		\$508	\$1,357	\$95	\$95	
			145	97	97	97	
			\$73,716	\$131,604	\$9,246	\$9,246	

& Territory Programs)

Estimated Cost Required Over Project Lifetime

High End Estimate (\$)	Average Estimate (\$)	Range of Cost to Complete (\$)	Application	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
\$385	\$323	\$262 - \$385	\$323	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$611	\$344	\$76 - \$611	\$76	\$344	\$0	\$0
\$611	\$344	\$76 - \$611	\$0	\$344	\$0	\$0
\$799	\$715	\$630 - \$799	\$0	\$358	\$76	\$76
\$306	\$191	\$76 - \$306	\$76	\$191	\$0	\$0
\$153	\$115	\$76 - \$153	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$306	\$255	\$204 - \$306	\$0	\$255	\$0	\$0
	\$2,184	\$1,198 - \$2,865	\$476	\$1,237	\$76	\$76
	\$1,726	\$1,046 - \$2,406	\$400	\$1,046	\$76	\$76
	\$1,773		\$408	\$1,088	\$76	\$76
			30	15	15	15
			\$12,226	\$16,314	\$1,146	\$1,146

High End Estimate (hrs.)	Average Estimate (hrs.)	Range of Time to Complete (hrs.)	Application	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
7.55	7.35	7.2 - 7.6	7.35	0	0	0
12	6.75	1.5 - 12	1.5	6.75	0	0
14.2	13.4	12.6 - 14.2	0	9.1	1.4	2.9
6	3.75	1.5 - 6	1.5	3.75	0	0
3	2.25	1.5 - 3	0	0	0	2.25
6	5	4 - 6	0	5	0	0
	41.5	28.3 - 48.8	10.4	24.6	1.4	5.2
	31.3	22.8 - 39.8	10.4	19.6	1.4	2.9
	32.4	23.6 - 41.1	10.4	20.6	1.4	3.0

High End Estimate (\$)	Average Estimate (\$)	Range of Cost to Complete (\$)	Application	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
\$480	\$467	\$454 - \$480	\$467	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$763	\$429	\$95 - \$763	\$95	\$429	\$0	\$0
\$902	\$852	\$801 - \$902	\$0	\$578	\$89	\$184
\$381	\$238	\$95 - \$381	\$95	\$238	\$0	\$0
\$191	\$143	\$95 - \$191	\$0	\$0	\$O	\$143
\$381	\$318	\$254 - \$381	\$0	\$318	\$0	\$0
	\$2,447	\$1,796 - \$3,098	\$658	\$1,563	\$89	\$327
	\$1,986	\$1,446 - \$2,526	\$658	\$1,246	\$89	\$184
	\$2,057		\$658	\$1,309	\$89	\$191
			104	26	26	26
			\$68,404	\$34,037	\$2,313	\$4,977

\$109,731

Estimated Cost Required Over 1 Toject Epetime						
High End Estimate (\$)	Average Estimate (\$)	Range of Cost to Complete (\$)	Application	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
\$385	\$374	\$364 - \$385	\$374	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$611	\$344	\$76 - \$611	\$76	\$344	\$0	\$0
\$723	\$683	\$642 - \$723	\$0	\$464	\$71	\$148
\$306	\$191	\$76 - \$306	\$76	\$191	\$0	\$0
\$153	\$115	\$76 - \$153	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$115
\$306	\$255	\$204 - \$306	\$0	\$255	\$0	\$0
	\$1,961	\$1,440 - \$2,483	\$527	\$1,253	\$71	\$262
	\$1,592	\$1,159 - \$2,025	\$527	\$998	\$71	\$148
	\$1,649		\$527	\$1,049	\$71	\$153

96 24 24 24 \$50,615 \$3,683 \$25,185 \$1,712

<u>\$81,195</u>

3.0

			Estima	ted Time Required	Over Project Life	etime
High End Estimate (hrs.)	Average Estimate (hrs.)	Range of Time to Complete (hrs.)	Application	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
7.6	7.2	6.8 - 7.6	7.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
12.0	6.5	1 - 12	1.0	6.5	0.0	0.0
14.1	12.7 11.3 - 14.1 3.8 1.5 - 6 2.3 1.5 - 3		0.0	8.4	1.4	2.9
6.0			1.5	3.8	0.0	0.0
3.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3
6.0	5.0 4 - 6		0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0
	32.3	26.1 - 48.6	9.7	23.7	1.4	5.2
	26.3	19.1 - 33.6	8.2	14.9	1.4	2.9

8.3

21.6 - 37.8

29.7

18.1

1.4

n	n			Estima	ted Time Required	Over Project Life	time
	High End Estimate (\$)	Average Estimate (\$)	Range of Cost to Complete (\$)	Application	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
-	\$480	\$454	\$429 - \$480	\$454	\$0	\$0	\$0
	\$763	\$413	\$64 - \$763	\$64	\$413	\$0	\$0
	\$893	\$806	\$719 - \$893	\$0	\$534	\$89	\$184
	\$381	\$238	\$95 - \$381	\$95	\$238	\$0	\$0
	\$191	\$143	\$95 - \$191	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$143
_	\$381	\$318	\$254 - \$381	\$0	\$318	\$0	\$0
		\$2,372	\$1,656 - \$3,089	\$613	\$1,503	\$89	\$327
		\$1,673	\$1,211 - \$2,135	\$518	\$947	\$89	\$184
		\$1,886		\$527	\$1,152	\$89	\$191

96	67	67	67
\$50,485	\$77,202	\$5,961	\$12,826

<u>\$146,474</u>

Estimated Cost Required Over Project Lifetime

High End Estimate (\$)	Average Estimate (\$)	Range of Cost to Complete (\$)	Application	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
\$385	\$364	\$344 - \$385	\$364	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$611	\$331	\$51 - \$611	\$51	\$331	\$0	\$0
\$716	\$646	\$576 - \$716	\$0	\$428	\$71	\$148
\$306	\$191	\$76 - \$306	\$76	\$191	\$0	\$0
\$153	\$115	\$76 - \$153	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$115
\$306	\$255	\$204 - \$306	\$0	\$255	\$0	\$0
	\$1,902	\$1,327 - \$2,476	\$492	\$1,205	\$71	\$262
	\$1,341	\$971 - \$1,712	\$415	\$759	\$71	\$148
	\$1,512		\$423	\$924	\$71	\$153
			4	3	3	3
			\$1,812	\$2,771	\$214	\$460

<u>\$5,257</u>

	Estimated Time Required Over Project Lifetime							
High End Estimate (hrs.)	Average Estimate (hrs.)	Range of Time to Complete (hrs.)	Application	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3		
6.1	4.2	2.2 - 6.1	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0		
15.0	14.0	13 - 15	0.0	6.2	2.5	5.0		
3.0	2.3	1.5 - 3	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0		
	20.5	16.7 - 24.1	4.2	8.5	2.5	5.0		

18.2	15.2 - 21.1	4.2	6.2	2.5	5.0
18.3	15.3 - 21.2	4.2	6.3	2.5	5.0

ning Grants

Estimated Cost Required Over Project Lifetime

High End Estimate (\$)	Average Estimate (\$)	Range of Cost to Complete (\$)	Application	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
\$388	\$267	\$137 - \$388	\$267	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$952	\$890	\$828 - \$952	\$0	\$394	\$159	\$318
\$191	\$143	\$95 - \$191	\$0	\$143	\$0	\$0
	\$1,300	\$1,060 - \$1,531	\$267	\$537	\$159	\$318
	\$1,157	\$965 - \$1,340	\$267	\$394	\$159	\$318
	\$1,164		\$267	\$401	\$159	\$318
			60	30	30	30
			\$16,014	\$12,035	\$4,766	\$9,532

\$42,347

nts

1112	its Estimated Cost Required Over Project Lifetime					
High End Estimate (\$)	Average Estimate (\$)	Range of Cost to Complete (\$)	Application	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
\$311	\$214	\$110 - \$311	\$214	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$763	\$713	\$664 - \$764	\$0	\$316	\$127	\$255
\$153	\$115	\$76 - \$153	\$0	\$115	\$0	\$0
	\$1,042	\$850 - \$1,227	\$214	\$430	\$127	\$255
	\$927	\$774 - \$1,074	\$214	\$316	\$127	\$255
	\$933		\$214	\$322	\$127	\$255

0 0 0 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

<u>\$0</u>

				Estimated Time F	Required Over Pro	oject Lifetime
High End Estimate (hrs.)	Average Estimate (hrs.)	Range of Time to Complete (hrs.)	Application	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
6.1	4.2	2.2 - 6.1	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
12.0	6.8	1.5 - 12	0.0	6.8	0.0	0.0
6.0	3.8	1.5 - 6	1.5	3.8	0.0	0.0
29.6	26.0	22.4 - 29.6	0.0	15.9	2.7	2.7
12.0	6.8	1.5 - 12	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
12.0	6.8	1.5 - 12	0.0	1.7	1.7	1.7
3.0	2.3	1.5 - 3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6.0	5.0	4 - 6	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0
	61.5	36.1 - 86.7	5.7	33.1	4.4	4.4
	50.5	29.1 - 71.7	4.2	24.4	4.4	4.4
	55.5	32.3 - 78.5	5.0	29.2	4.4	4.4

irants

251111111111111111111111111111111111111						.,
High End Estimate (\$)	Average Estimate (\$)	Range of Cost to Complete (\$)	Application	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
\$388	\$267	\$137 - \$388	\$267	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$763	\$429	\$95 - \$763	\$0	\$429	\$0	\$0
\$381	\$238	\$95 - \$381	\$95	\$238	\$0	\$0
\$1,882	\$1,654	\$1,426 - \$1,882	\$0	\$1,012	\$172	\$172
\$763	\$429	\$95 - \$763	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

\$763	\$429	\$95 - \$763	\$0	\$107	\$107	\$107
\$191	\$143	\$95 - \$191	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$381	\$318	\$254 - \$381	\$0	\$318	\$0	\$ 0
	\$3,907	\$2,294 - \$5,511	\$362	\$2,105	\$279	\$279
	\$3,208	\$1,849 - \$4,558	\$267	\$1,548	\$279	\$279
	\$3,525		\$315	\$1,858	\$279	\$279
			44	22	22	22
			\$13,841	\$40,882	\$6,134	\$6,134

High End Estimate (\$)	Average Estimate (\$)	Range of Cost to Complete (\$)	Application	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
\$311	\$214	\$110 - \$311	\$214	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$611	\$344	\$76 - \$611	\$0	\$344	\$0	\$0
\$306	\$191	\$76 - \$306	\$76	\$191	\$0	\$0
\$1,509	\$1,326	\$1,143 - \$1,509	\$0	\$811	\$138	\$138
\$611	\$344	\$76 - \$611	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$611	\$344	\$76 - \$611	\$0	\$86	\$86	\$86
\$153	\$115	\$76 - \$153	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
\$306	\$255	\$204 - \$306	\$0	\$255	\$0	\$0
	\$3,132	\$1,839 - \$4,417	\$290	\$1,687	\$224	\$224
	\$2,571	\$1,482 - \$3,653	\$214	\$1,241	\$224	\$224

\$2,826	\$252	\$1,490	\$224	\$224
	6	3	3	3
	\$1,513	\$4,469	\$671	\$671

9.00 0.0 0.0 4.0 0.0 2.3 0.0

6.3

4.0

4.1

\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$254 \$0 \$143 \$0

\$397

\$254

\$261

97

\$25,350

<u>\$249,164</u>

\$0 \$0 \$0 \$204 \$0 \$115

\$318

\$204

\$209

15

\$3,142

<u>\$33,974</u>

Year 4

0.0

0.0

0.0

4.7

6.8

1.7

2.3

0.0

15.4

13.1

13.3

Year 4

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$299

\$429

\$107

\$143

\$0

\$978

\$835

\$842

22

\$18,524

<u>\$85,515</u>

Year 4

\$0

\$0

\$0

\$239

\$344

\$86

\$115

\$0

\$784

\$669

\$675

3

\$2,025

<u>\$9,348</u>

Table 16. Estimated Burden and Cost to the Agency

Collection Activity ¹	Reporting Burden per Response (hours)	Number of Applications	Number of Responses per Interannual Reporting Period	Number of Interannual Reports Required (e.g., Quarterly = 4; Biannual= 2)	Total Agency Burden Annually (hours)	Total Agency Cost Annually (\$2024)	Total Agency Burden Over the ICR Period	Total Agency Cost Over the ICR Period (2024\$)
DERA (National, Tribal, and State) Program	2	175	112	4	1,246	\$ 180,680	3,738	\$ 542,040
Clean School Bus Program	2	200	50	4	800	\$ 116,006	2,400	\$ 348,019
Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Program*	2	0	70	4	560	\$ 81,204	840	\$ 121,807
Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planning Program*	2	0	30	2	120	\$ 17,401	180	\$ 26,101
Clean Ports Deployment of Zero Emissions Technology Program*	2	0	25	2	100	\$ 14,501	150	\$ 21,751
TOTAL		375	287		2,826	\$ 409,793	7,308	\$ 1,059,718

¹ Time estimate provided by EPA/OTAQ (Tim Thomas) via email on 9/06/2023: We expect that the agency burden will be 2 hours per submission.

*Assumes that Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles and Clean Ports Programs are single-year funding programs, the burden of application review was completed prior to this ICR revision (2024).

Total Time to Complete by Instrument

#	EPA Form Name	Depreciated Form Name	List of Programs Affected	Applicants or Awardees?	EPA Form Number	Required or Optional	If Optional, share of participants expected to participate	Low End Estimate (h)	High End Estimate (h)	Average Estimate (h)	Average Time	Range of Time to Complete (hours; Nov 2024 Est.)	Difference in Burden from Original Estimate (Dec 2023)	Incremental Time to Complete for Application	reporting and one-time	reporting; using weighted	reporting and one-time reporting; using weighted	reporting; using weighted
1	Clean Ports Supplemental Application Template	Clean Ports Supplemental Application Template	Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planning Grant Program; Clean Ports Zero Emission Technology Deployment Grant Program	Applicants	5900-679	Required	100%	2.2	6.1	4.2	4.2	2.2 - 6.1	0.0) 4.:	2		-	-
2	CSB Supplemental Application Template	CSB Supplemental Application Template	Clean School Bus Grant Program	Applicants	5900-680	Required	100%	7.2	7.6	7.4	7.4	7.2 - 7.6	-0.1	1 7.	1	-	-	-
3	DERA Supplemental Application Template	DERA Supplemental Application Template	DERA Grant Program	Applicants	5900-681	Required	100%	5.2	7.6	6.4	6.4	5.2 - 7.6	-2.3	3 6.	1		-	-
4	CHDV Eligibility and Scrappage Statement	CHDV Eligibility and Scrappage Statement	Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Grant Program	Awardees & Applicants	5900-682	Required	100%	1.0	12.0	6.5	6.5	1 - 12	4.5	5 1.0	6.5	; -	-	-
5	CHDV Project Reporting Template	CHDV Project Reporting Template	Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Grant Program	Awardees	5900-683	Required	100%	11.3	14.1	12.7	12.7	11.3 - 14.1	8.3	3 0.0	8.4	1.4	2.9	-
6	Clean Ports Program Scrappage Evidence Statement	TCD Scrappage Statement	Clean Ports Zero Emission Technology Deployment Grant Program	Awardees	5900-684	Required	100%	1.5	12.0	6.8	6.8	1.5 - 12	4.8	3 0.0	6.8	-	-	-
7	Utility Partnership Agreement	TCD Utility Partnership Agreement	Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Grant Program; DERA Grant Program; Clean Ports Zero Emission Technology Deployment Grant Program	Awardees & Applicants	5900-685	Optional	25%	1.5	6.0	3.8	0.9	1.5 - 6	1.8	3 1.	5 3.6	-	-	=
8	CSB Eligibility and Scrap Sell Donate Statement	CSB Eligibility and Scrap Sell Donate Statement	Clean School Bus Grant Program	Awardees & Applicants	5900-686	Required	100%	1.5	12.0	6.8	6.8	1.5 - 12	1 4.5	5 1.	6.8	3 -	-	-
9	DERA Eligibility Statement	DERA Eligibility Statement	DERA Grant Program	Awardees & Applicants	5900-687	Required	100%	1.5	12.0	6.8	6.8	1.5 - 12	4.8	3 1.	6.8	3 -	-	-
10	DERA Scrappage Statement	DERA Scrappage Statement	DERA Grant Program	Awardees	5900-688	Required	100%	1.5	12.0	6.8	6.8	1.5 - 12	4.8	0.0	6.8	-	-	-
11	CHDV Supplemental Application Template	CHDV Supplemental Application Template	Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Grant Program	Applicants	5900-689	Required	100%	6.8	7.6	7.2	7.2	6.8 - 7.6	0.0	7.:	2		-	-
12	Clean Ports Project Reporting Template*	Clean Ports Project Reporting Template*	Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planning Grant Program; Clean Ports Zero Emission Technology Deployment Grant Program	Awardees	5900-690	Required	100%				0.0			0.0) (-	=	-
13	DERA Project Reporting Template	DERA Project Reporting Template	DERA Grant Program	Awardees	5900-691	Required	100%	12.4	15.7	14.0	14.0	12.4 - 15.7	3.0	0.0	7.0	1.5	1.5	4
14	CSB Project Reporting Template	CSB Project Reporting Template	Clean School Bus Grant Program	Awardees	5900-692	Required	100%	12.6	14.2	13.4	13.4	12.6 - 14.2	3.0	0.0	9.1	1.4	2.9	-
15	CSB Utility Partnership Statement	CSB Utility Partnership Statement	Clean School Bus Grant Program	Awardees & Applicants	5900-693	Required	100%	1.5	6.0	3.8	3.8	1.5 - 6	1.8	3 1.	3.8	3 -	-	-
16		Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planning Project Reporting Template	Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planning Grant Program	Awardees	TBA	Required	100%	13.0	15.0	14.0	14.0	13 - 15	7.2	2 0.) 6.2	2 2.5	5	-
17		Clean Ports Zero-Emission Technology Project Reporting Template	Clean Ports Zero Emission Technology Deployment Grant Program	Awardees	ТВА	Required	100%	22.4	29.6	26.0	26.0	22.4 - 29.6	19.2	2 0.0	15.9	2.7	2.7	4.7
18	OTAQ Funding Program Recipient Story Collection Form	OTAQ Funding Program Recipient Story Collection Form	Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Grant Program; Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planning Grant Program; Clean Ports Zero Emission Technology Deployment Grant Program; Clean School Bus Grant Program; DERA Grant Program	Awardees	ТВА	Optional	10%	1.5	3.0	2.3	0.2	1.5 - 3	Ť	0.4	0.2	2 -	-	-
19	clean Ports Program Scrappage Eligibility Statement	Clean Ports Program Zero-Emission Technology Deployment Competition Eligibility Statement		Awardees	ТВА	Required	100%	1.5	12.0	6.8	6.8	1.5 - 12	4.8	3 0.	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7
20	Clean Ports Program Deployment Evidence Form	Clean Ports Program Technology Deployment Evidence Stateme	Clean Ports Zero Emission Technology Deployment Grant Program	Awardees	TBA	Required	100%	1.5	12.0	6.8	6.8	1.5 - 12	2.6	5 0.0)		-	6.8
	BABA Waiver Request (non-form information collection)	BABA Waiver Request (non-form information collection)	DERA Grant Program	Awardees	N/A	Optional	9%	4.0	6.0	5.0	0.4	4-6	3.0	3 0.0	0.4	1 -	_	
		BABA Waiver Request (non-form information collection)	Clean School Bus Grant Program;	Awardees		Optional	20%	4.0	6.0				0.8				_	
		BABA Waiver Request (non-form information collection)	Clean Heavy-Duty Vehicles Grant Program;	Awardees	N/A	Optional	57%	4.0	6.0				•				_	
													5.0	-				
24	BABA Waiver Request (non-form information collection)	BABA Waiver Request (non-form information collection)	Clean Ports Zero Emission Technology Deployment Grant Program;	Awardees	N/A	Optional	60%	4.0	6.0	5.0	3.0	4 - 6	5.0	0.0	3.0	-	-	-

^{*} The Clean Ports Project Reporting Template has been replaced with the Clean Ports Climate and Air Quality Planning Project Reporting Template and the Clean Ports Zero-Emission Technology Deployement Project Reporting Template reflecting program design changes since the initial ICR was developed.

† Estimates of share of awardees requesting BABA Waivers provided by Robert Caldwell (OTAQ, 3/19/2025); 40 CHDV, 15 CPP ZE; 10 CSB; and 10 DERA.

**Fields in yellow reflect changes in the EPA Form's Name

Sources:

1 U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) (2024a). "Employer Costs for Employee Compensation for sta 2 U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) (2024b). "Employer Costs for Employee Compensation for pri 3 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (2020). Handbook on Valuing Changes in Time Use Ir 4 U.S. Office of Personnel Management (2024), Washington-Baltimore-Northern Virginia, DC-MD-F ite and local government workers by occupation and industry group Table3 - June 2024." http://www.bls.govivate industry workers by occupational and industry group Table 4 - June 2024. https://www.bls.gov/news.ronduced by Regulatory Requirements and Other EPA Actions. EPA-236-B-15-001. National Center for Environn PA-VA-WV area. Retrieved from https://www.opm.gov/policy-data-oversight/pay-leave/salaries-wages/salar

v/news.release/ecec.t03.htm

elease/ecec.t04.htm

nental Economics.

y-tables/pdf/2024/DCB.pdf