

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**Office of the Secretary**

[234XD0102DM; DS6CS00000;
 DLSN00000.000000; DX.6CS25; OMB
 Control Number 1090–0012]

**Agency Information Collection
 Activities; Submission to the Office of
 Management and Budget for Review
 and Approval; Improving Customer
 Experience (OMB Circular A–11,
 Section 280 Implementation)**

AGENCY: Department of the Interior.

ACTION: Notice of information collection;
 request for comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, we, the Department of the Interior are proposing to renew an information collection.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before January 18, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function. Please provide a copy of your comments to Jeffrey Parrillo, Departmental Information Collection Clearance Officer, 1849 C Street NW, Washington, DC 20240; or by email to DOI-PRA@ios.doi.gov. Please reference OMB Control Number 1090–0012 in the subject line of your comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request additional information about this ICR, contact Jeffrey Parrillo, Departmental Information Collection Clearance Officer, 1849 C Street NW, Washington, DC 20240; or by email to DOI-PRA@ios.doi.gov, or by telephone at 202–208–7072. Individuals in the United States who are deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability may dial 711 (TTY, TDD, or TeleBraille) to access telecommunications relay services. Individuals outside the United States should use the relay services offered within their country to make international calls to the point-of-contact in the United States. You may also view the ICR at <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) and 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(1), we provide the general public and other

Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on new, proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps us assess the impact of our information collection requirements and minimize the public’s reporting burden. It also helps the public understand our information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format.

A **Federal Register** notice with a 60-day public comment period soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on May 11, 2023 (88 FR 30337). No comments were received.

As part of our continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burdens, we are again soliciting comments from the public and other Federal agencies on the proposed ICR that is described below. We are especially interested in public comment addressing the following:

- (1) Whether or not the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether or not the information will have practical utility;
- (2) The accuracy of our estimate of the burden for this collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (4) How might the agency minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of response.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Abstract: The Agency will collect, analyze, and interpret information gathered through this generic clearance to identify High Impact Service Providers’ accessibility, navigation, and use by customers, and make improvements in service delivery based on customer insights gathered through developing an understanding of the user

experience interacting with Government.

For the purposes of this request, “customers” are individuals, businesses, and organizations that interact with a Federal Government agency or program, either directly or via a Federal contractor.

“Service delivery” or “services” refers to the multitude of diverse interactions between a customer and Federal agency such as applying for a benefit or loan, receiving a service such as healthcare or small business counseling, requesting a document such as a passport or social security card, complying with a rule or regulation such as filing taxes or declaring goods, utilizing resources such as a park or historical site, or seeking information such as public health or consumer protection notices.

Under this request, three types of activities will be conducted to generate customer insights:

Customer Research (User Persona and Journey Map Development): A critical first component of understanding customer experience is to develop customer personas and journey maps. This process enables the Agency to more deeply understand the customer segments they serve and to organize the processes customers interact with throughout their engagement with the Federal entity to accomplish a task or meet a need. In order to adequately capture the perspective of the customer and the barriers or supports that exist as they navigate these journeys, it is necessary to directly interact with customers rather than relying solely upon the Agency’s stated policy of how a process should work or employees’ interpretation of how services are delivered. This can occur through a variety of information collection mechanisms that include focus groups, individual intercept interviews at a service site, shadowing a user as they navigate a Federal service and documenting their reactions and frustrations, customer free-response comment cards, or informal small discussion groups.

Regardless of the format, the Agency will apply Human Centered Design (HCD) Discovery methods to generate personas and journey maps, ultimately identifying customer insights. An approach to recruiting participants, resources for preparing and structuring interviews, and a consent form for interviewees can be found at <https://www.gsa.gov/cdnstatic/HCD-Discovery-Guide-Interagency-v12-1.pdf>. This document is also included in the package.

Insights documented, summarized and presented in customer personas and

journey maps can then be shared across the program, the Agency, other Federal, State, and Local government stakeholders and even with the public to validate and discuss common themes identified. These products can be used as “indicator lights” for where more rigorous qualitative and quantitative research can be conducted to improve Federal service delivery.

Publicly shared personas and journey maps will include language that qualifies their use (see question #16), and high-level, non-identifying descriptive statistics of the population(s) interviewed to develop it (ex. “25 Service members that transitioned to civilian employment within the last decade, 14 female, 11 male, 21 enlisted and 4 officers) to ensure that the perspective represented is understood. Quotes or insights will never be associated with an actual individual unless they have signed a release form (see link above for template) and this was included in the specific collection request.

Customer Feedback (Satisfaction Survey): Surveys to be considered under this generic clearance will only include those surveys modeled on the OMB Circular A–11 CX Feedback survey to improve customer service by collecting feedback at a specific point during a customer journey. This could include upon submitting a form online on a Federal website, speaking with a call center representative, paying off a loan, or visiting a Federal service center.

In an effort to develop comparable, government-wide scores that will enable cross-agency or industry benchmarking (when relevant) and a general indication of an agency’s overall customer satisfaction, High Impact Service providers must refer to OMB Circular A–11 Section 280 for required survey question wording and organization.

As part of the Customer Experience CAP goal’s strategy to increase transparency to drive accountability, the feedback data collected through the A–11 Standard Feedback survey is meant to be shared with the public. This collection is part of the government-wide effort to embed standardized customer metrics within high-impact programs to create government-wide performance dashboards. Data collected from the questions listed above will be submitted by the Agency to OMB quarterly for updating of customer experience dashboards on performance.gov. This dashboard will also include the total volume of customers that passed through the transaction point at which the survey was offered, the number of customers the survey was presented to, the number

of responses, and the mode of presentation and response (online survey, in-person, post-call touchtone, mobile, email). This will help to qualify the data’s representation by showing both the response rate and total number of actual responses.

User Testing of Services and Digital Products: Agencies should continually review, update and refine their service delivery, including communication materials, processes, supporting reference materials, and digital products associated with a Federal program. This often requires “field testing” program informational materials, process updates, forms, or digital products (such as websites or mobile applications) by interacting with past, existing, or future customers and soliciting feedback. These activities can include cognitive laboratory studies, such as those used to refine questions on a program form to ensure clarity, demo kiosks at a service center where customers can provide informal feedback while waiting for a service, or more formally scheduled in-person observation testing (e.g., website or software usability tests). These information collection activities are more specific than broad customer research and related to a particular artifact/product of a Federal program. As such, there will be a more structured interview/set of questions than more open-ended customer research. Findings from these activities are meant to support the design and implementation of Federal program services and digital products, and may only be shared in an anonymized/in aggregate if a particular insight is useful to include as part of a customer persona, journey map, or common lesson learned for improving service delivery.

The Agency will only submit under this generic clearance if it meets the following conditions:

- The collections are voluntary;
- The collections are low-burden for respondents (based on considerations of total burden hours or burden-hours per respondent) and are low-cost for both the respondents and the Federal Government;
- The collections are non-controversial;
- Any collection is targeted to the solicitation of opinions from respondents who have experience with the program or may have experience with the program in the near future;
- Personally identifiable information (PII) is collected only to the extent necessary and is not retained;
- Information gathered is intended to be used for general service improvement and program management purposes

- The agency will follow the procedures specified in OMB Circular A–11 Section 280 for the required quarterly reporting to OMB of trust data and experience driver data from surveys.

- Outside of the quarterly reporting mentioned in the bullet immediately above, if the agency intends to release journey maps, user personas, reports, or other data-related summaries stemming from this collection, the agency must include appropriate caveats around those summaries, noting that conclusions should not be generalized beyond the sample, considering the sample size and response rates. The agency must submit the data summary itself (e.g., the report) and the caveat language mentioned above to OMB before it releases them outside the agency. OMB will engage in a passback process with the agency.

This clearance will help the Agency to establish a process where customer experience is regularly monitored and measured. The results will assist the Agency in the planning and decision-making processes to improve the quality of the Agency’s products and services.

Results from feedback activities and surveys will be used to measure against established baseline standards and for measuring the Agency’s progress toward defined goals.

Title of Collection: Improving Customer Experience (OMB Circular A–11, Section 280 Implementation).

OMB Control Number: 1090–0012.

Form Number: None.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents/Affected Public: Individuals and Households, Businesses and Organizations, State, Local or Tribal Government.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Respondents: 146,384.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 146,384.

Estimated Completion Time per Response: Varied, dependent upon the possible response time to complete a questionnaire or survey may be 3 minutes up to 90 minutes to participate in an interview based on the data collection method used.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours: 13,876.

Respondent’s Obligation: Voluntary.

Frequency of Collection: One time.

Total Estimated Annual Nonhour Burden Cost: None.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The authority for this action is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Jeffrey Parrillo,

Departmental Information Collection Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2023-27827 Filed 12-18-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4334-63-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

[NPS-WASO-NAGPRA-NPS0037091; PPWOCRADN0-PCU00RP14.R50000]

Notice of Inventory Completion: University of Nevada, Las Vegas, Las Vegas, NV

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), the University of Nevada, Las Vegas has completed an inventory of human remains and associated funerary objects and has determined that there is no cultural affiliation between the human remains and associated funerary objects and any Indian Tribe. The human remains and associated funerary objects were removed from unknown locations.

DATES: Disposition of the human remains and associated funerary objects in this notice may occur on or after January 18, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Dr. Daniel Benyshek, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, 4505 S. Maryland Parkway, Las Vegas, NV 89154 telephone (702) 895-2070, email Daniel.Benyshek@unlv.edu.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice is published as part of the National Park Service's administrative responsibilities under NAGPRA. The determinations in this notice are the sole responsibility of the University of Nevada, Las Vegas. The National Park Service is not responsible for the determinations in this notice. Additional information on the determinations in this notice, including the results of consultation, can be found in the inventory or related records held by the University of Nevada, Las Vegas.

Description

Human remains representing, at minimum, nine individuals were removed from unknown locations, identified by the following accession numbers AHUR 33 (Unknown Site), AHUR 71 (Unknown Site), AHUR 132 (Unknown Site), AHUR 134A (Unknown Site), AHUR 134B (Unknown

Site), AHUR 145 (Unknown Site), AHUR 147X (Unknown Site), AHUR 1280 (Unknown Site), FHUR 16 (Unknown Site), and FHUR 70 (Unknown Site). The six associated funerary objects include pottery sherds, a worked stick, matting, leather, and beads.

Aboriginal Land

Based on the collection history of the University of Nevada, Las Vegas, the human remains and associated funerary objects were likely removed from the aboriginal lands of one or more Indian Tribes. The following information was used to identify the aboriginal land: a final judgment of the Indian Claims Commission.

Determinations

Pursuant to NAGPRA and its implementing regulations, and after consultation with the appropriate Indian Tribes, the University of Nevada, Las Vegas has determined that:

- The human remains described in this notice represent the physical remains of nine individuals of Native American ancestry.
- The six objects described in this notice are reasonably believed to have been placed with or near individual human remains at the time of death or later as part of the death rite or ceremony.
- No relationship of shared group identity can be reasonably traced between the human remains and associated funerary objects and any Indian Tribe.
- The human remains and associated funerary objects described in this notice were likely removed from the aboriginal land of the Absentee-Shawnee Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma; Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians of the Agua Caliente Indian Reservation, California; Ak-Chin Indian Community; Alturas Indian Rancheria, California; Bear River Band of the Rohnerville Rancheria, California; Berry Creek Rancheria of Maidu Indians of California; Big Sandy Rancheria of Western Mono Indians of California; Bishop Paiute Tribe; Bridgeport Indian Colony; Buena Vista Rancheria of Me-Wuk Indians of California; Cabazon Band of Cahuilla Indians (*Previously* listed as Cabazon Band of Mission Indians, California); Cachil DeHe Band of Wintun Indians of the Colusa Indian Community of the Colusa Rancheria, California; Cahto Tribe of the Laytonville Rancheria; Cahuilla Band of Indians; California Valley Miwok Tribe, California; Campo Band of Diegueno Mission Indians of the Campo Indian Reservation, California; Captain Grande Band of

Diegueno Mission Indians of California (Barona Group of Captain Grande Band of Mission Indians of the Barona Reservation, California; Viejas (Baron Long) Group of Captain Grande Band of Mission Indians of the Viejas Reservation, California); Cedarville Rancheria, California; Chemehuevi Indian Tribe of the Chemehuevi Reservation, California; Cher-Ae Heights Indian Community of the Trinidad Rancheria, California; Chicken Ranch Rancheria of Me-Wuk Indians of California; Cloverdale Rancheria of Pomo Indians of California; Cocopah Tribe of Arizona; Cold Springs Rancheria of Mono Indians of California; Colorado River Indian Tribes of the Colorado River Indian Reservation, Arizona and California; Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians of Oregon; Confederated Tribes of the Goshute Reservation, Nevada and Utah; Duckwater Shoshone Tribe of the Duckwater Reservation, Nevada; Dry Creek Rancheria Band of Pomo Indians, California; Eastern Shoshone Tribe of the Wind River Reservation, Wyoming; Elem Indian Colony of Pomo Indians of the Sulphur Bank Rancheria, California; Elk Valley Rancheria, California; Ely Shoshone Tribe of Nevada; Enterprise Rancheria of Maidu Indians of California; Ewiiapaayp Band of Kumeyaay Indians, California; Federated Indians of Graton Rancheria, California; Fort Bidwell Indian Community of the Fort Bidwell Reservation of California; Fort Independence Indian Community of Paiute Indians of the Fort Independence Reservation, California; Fort McDermitt Paiute and Shoshone Tribes of the Fort McDermitt Indian Reservation, Nevada and Oregon; Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, Arizona; Fort Mojave Indian Tribe of Arizona, California & Nevada; Fort Sill Apache Tribe of Oklahoma; Gila River Indian Community of the Gila River Indian Reservation, Arizona; Grindstone Indian Rancheria of Wintun-Wailaki Indians of California; Havasupai Tribe of the Havasupai Reservation, Arizona; Hoopa Valley Tribe, California; Hopi Tribe of Arizona; Hopland Band of Pomo Indians, California; Hualapai Indian Tribe of the Hualapai Indian Reservation, Arizona; Iipay Nation of Santa Ysabel, California; Inaja Band of Diegueno Mission Indians of the Inaja and Cosmit Reservation, California; Ione Band of Miwok Indians of California; Jackson Band of Miwok Indians; Jamul Indian Village of California; Kaibab Band of Paiute Indians of the Kaibab Indian Reservation, Arizona; Karuk Tribe; Kashia Band of Pomo Indians of the Stewarts Point Rancheria, California;