



Foreign Assistance Review Data Call Implementing Partners

Implementing Partner (IP) Enterprise:

Frequently Asked Questions: If you have further questions about the instructions or questions contained in this form, please read the Frequently Asked Questions sheet [LINK] or contact FAR@state.gov.

Instructions

Pursuant to the Executive Order 14169 “Reevaluating and Realigning U.S. Foreign Aid” and following the U.S. Department of State-hosted listening sessions on February 13th and 14th the Department of State “the Department” is now launching the next stage of the Foreign Assistance Review process, a data call to collect relevant information across USG foreign assistance programs to determine alignment with the President’s America First policy and in response to the three questions, does it make America Safer, Stronger, and more Prosperous. Foreign assistance, for the purposes of this data call and the review process is defined as follows: *any funding provided by the U.S. government to benefit foreign entities, persons, or institutions – government or otherwise*. IPs will have 30 days to respond to this data call, with submissions due no later than March 24, 2025. Guiding principles for this exercise are noted below, followed by additional details and response questions for the information to be provided. A follow-on FAQ will be distributed in the near term. For questions regarding this data call, please email: FAR@state.gov.

The Department of State is conducting this data call and the ultimate review and recommendations that will be presented to the President. Inputs from the listening sessions helped inform this data call.

The foreign assistance review process includes foreign assistance (as defined above) across ALL U.S. government department and agencies, not only the Department of State and USAID.

The foreign assistance review, as directed by EO 14169, is distinct and separate from the waiver review process, as well as any contract or grants review process. Exempted categories and projects will still be part of the foreign assistance review and departments and agencies will need to report on exempted as well as non-exempted categories and/or projects.

The foreign assistance review requires self-reporting from IPs to be assessed within the parameters of making America: (1) safer (2) stronger and (3) more prosperous.

The 90-day foreign assistance review will culminate with recommendations from the Secretary of State to the President that seeks approval for foreign assistance programming that can proceed, programming that would require further review, including those requiring additional policy discussion, and programming that must be terminated.

All IPs should exercise full transparency with respect to providing information on original project descriptions and titles, as initially awarded and/or approved.

Any programs in violation of Administration EOs are to be terminated and not reported here. Reporting bureaus and agencies are responsible for ensuring adherence to all other EOs in submitting responses.

Are you an Implementing Partner of the USG? If yes, please answer at the organizational level:

1. Does your organization have a current risk management framework or policy? [yes/no]
 - If yes, please name
2. Have you had incidents in the last ten years of working with an entity on the terrorism watch list? [Yes/no]
 - If yes, what was the remediation?
3. Does the organization conduct regular counterterrorism vetting of its employees, subs, etc.? [yes/no]
 - If yes, please describe how.
 - If not, please explain why not.
 - [Designating Cartels And Other Organizations As Foreign Terrorist Organizations And Specially Designated Global Terrorists](#)
4. Does your organization have an approach to combat antisemitism? [yes/no]
 - If yes, please describe.
 - If not, please explain why not.
 - [Additional Measures to Combat Anti-Semitism](#)
5. Does your organization have an approach to address disinformation/misinformation? [yes/no]
 - If yes, please describe.
6. Does the organization have a policy/approach to addressing anti-American sentiment?
 - [Reevaluating and Realigning United States Foreign Aid](#)
 - If yes, please describe
7. Is the organization compliant with the Mexico City Policy? [yes/no]

- [Memorandum for the Secretary of State, the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Health and Human Services, the Administrator of the United States for International Development](#)
8. Does the organization have an approach to transitioning projects off foreign assistance [yes/no]
 - If yes, what is that approach?
 - What percentage of programs have transitioned in the last 10 years?
 9. Does the organization have an anti-trafficking policy?
 - [A National Emergency At The Southern Border Of The United States](#)
 10. Does the organization work with communist or socialist funded parties or individuals associated with them? [yes/no]
 11. In the last five years, has the organization received ANY funding from the PRC (including Confucius Institutes and/or partnered with Chinese state or non-state actors), Russia, Cuba, or Iran? [yes /no]
 - If yes, please describe
 - How does the organization track the parent company of potential funding sources to ensure no funding come from these entities?
- What process did you use to respond to this questionnaire? How are you ensuring data integrity in this response?

Project-Level Indicator Questionnaire for Local Contractors

This questionnaire is designed for implementing partners (IPs) managing US foreign assistance-funded projects and programs. It must be distributed for every project or program supported through US foreign assistance to ensure consistency and accountability. IPs should complete identifiers and questions below for each respective project/activity.

Identifiers

Program/Initiative, if applicable.

Program type [Drop down for grant, contract, cooperative agreement, Interagency Agreement, government-to-government, other]

Contract/Cooperative Agreement/Grant number/Agreement number/document number [whatever your agency financial system uses as obligating document number]

Program Start Date

Program End Date

Initial Program Description

Has the program description changed – if yes, why

Country of operation: [drop down, include global and regions/sub-regions]

Sector: [SPSD Category Drop Down – add one that is “OTHER” in case the current SPSD doesn’t capture something of an agency within this broader definition of Foreign Assistance]

Implementer (If interagency transfer, please note which agency is implementing)

Subcontractors

Total Cost (funded amount)

Funding Account (I don't think we can do drop down since the agencies are expansive and we don't know all accounts)

Subaccount (if applicable)

Fiscal Year Source [include multiple]

If applicable, Congressional Earmark or Directive (please include legal citation) [text box]

1. Is this a DEI project or include DEI elements? [yes /no]
 - [Ending Radical and Wasteful Government DEI Programs And Preferencing](#)
2. Is this a climate or environmental justice project or include such elements? [yes/no]
 - [Putting America First In International Environmental Agreements - The White House](#)
3. Does this project have a current waiver to operate? [yes/no]
4. Does this project support life saving humanitarian assistance? [yes/no]
5. Does this project take appropriate measures to protect women? [yes/no]
 - [Defending Women from Gender Ideology Extremism and Restoring Biological Truth to the Federal Government](#)
6. Does this project take appropriate measures to protect children? [yes/no]
 - [Defending Women From Gender Ideology Extremism and Restoring Biological Truth to the Federal Government](#)
7. Should this project be discontinued for any reason? [yes/no]
 - If yes, why?
8. On a scale of 1-5, how much does this project directly impact efforts to counter malign influence, including China? (1 - No Impact, 2 - Minor Impact, 3 - Neutral, 4 - Moderate Impact, 5 - Major Impact, 6 - Not applicable)
 - [America First Trade Policy](#)
9. What impact does this project have on limiting mass migration to the U.S.? (1 - No Impact, 2 - Minor Impact, 3 - Neutral, 4 - Moderate Impact, 5 - Major Impact, 6 - Not applicable)
 - [Securing Our Borders](#)

10. What impact does this project have on limiting the flow of fentanyl, synthetic drugs, and pre-cursor chemicals? (1 – No Impact, 2 – Minor Impact, 3 – Neutral, 4 – Moderate Impact, 5 – Major Impact, 6 – Not applicable)
- [Imposing Duties to Address the Flow of Illicit Drugs Across our Northern Borders](#)
11. Is this project compliant with the terrorism watch list restrictions? Yes/no
12. To what degree does this project directly impact U.S. health security? (1 – No Impact, 2 – Minor Impact, 3 – Neutral, 4 – Moderate Impact, 5 – Major Impact, 6 – Not applicable)
13. To what degree does this project directly impact partner and ally security assistance to improve military or law enforcement capacity to protect American interests and U.S. national security? (1 – No Impact, 2 – Minor Impact, 3 – Neutral, 4 – Moderate Impact, 5 – Major Impact, 6 – Not applicable)
14. What impact does the project have in directly promoting stabilization, preventing conflict, and countering terrorism to reduce threats to the U.S.? (1 – No Impact, 2 – Minor Impact, 3 – Neutral, 4 – Moderate Impact, 5 – Major Impact, 6 – Not applicable)
15. Does this project directly impact transnational, organized and/or cyber crime, and drug and human trafficking to protect American lives and national security? (1 – No Impact, 2 – Minor Impact, 3 – Neutral, 4 – Moderate Impact, 5 – Major Impact, 6 – Not applicable)
- [Protecting The United States From Foreign Terrorists And Other National Security And Public Safety Threats](#)
16. Has this project in the past sought a branding waiver [yes/no]
- *If yes, why?*
 - [America First Directive to the Secretary of State](#)
17. What impact does this project have in directly combating anti-Christian bias? (1 – No Impact, 2 – Minor Impact, 3 – Neutral, 4 – Moderate Impact, 5 – Major Impact, 6 – Not applicable)
- [Establishment of The White House Faith Office](#)
 - [Eradicating Anti-Christian Bias](#)
18. What impact does this project have in combating mis/dis/mal information that could harm US interests? (1 – No Impact, 2 – Minor Impact, 3 – Neutral, 4 – Moderate Impact, 5 – Major Impact, 6 – Not applicable)

19. What impact does this project have in increasing American influence, trust and reputation within foreign governments? (1 - No Impact, 2 - Minor Impact, 3 - Neutral, 4 - Moderate Impact, 5 - Major Impact, 6 - Not applicable)
20. What impact does this project have in increasing American influence, trust and reputation among foreign publics. (1 - No Impact, 2 - Minor Impact, 3 - Neutral, 4 - Moderate Impact, 5 - Major Impact, 6 - Not applicable)
21. How much money does this project return in financial dividends for the US Treasury? (1 - 0, 2 - under 500,000, 3 - 500,000-999,999, 4 - 1M-1.5M, 5 - over 1.5M)
- [America First Trade Policy](#)
22. How much does this project bring in through cost-sharing / burden sharing? (1 - less than 500,000, 2 - 500,000-750,000, 3 - 750,001-999,999, 4 - 1M-1.5M, 5 - over 1.5M)
- Who is burden sharing? Check all that apply: Private sector/Public Sector/multilateral
23. Does this project directly impact efforts to strengthen U.S. supply chains or secure rare earth minerals? (1 - No Impact, 2 - Minor Impact, 3 - Neutral, 4 - Moderate Impact, 5 - Major Impact, 6 - Not Applicable)
- [National Energy Emergency](#)
24. How many US based jobs or U.S. citizens does this project directly employ? (1 - 0, 2 - 25-100, 3 - 101-500, 4 - 501-1,000, 5 - over 1000)
- [Putting America First In International Environmental Agreements](#)
 - [Establishing the National Energy Dominance Council](#)
25. Does this project have a sustainability plan to ultimately transition away from foreign assistance [yes/no]. If yes, please provide a short summary.