2022 CGP ICR - Agency Labor Burden

	Но	Hours Per Response				
Activity	2017 NPDES Program ICR ¹	2022 CGP Incremental Change	2022 CGP Total Hours per Response	2022 CGP No. Annual Responses		
NOI review	1	0	1	3,630		
NOT review	0.25	0	0.25	3,631		
Waiver Certification Review	1	0	1	182		
SWPPP review	1	0	1	3,631		
Standard/Other Reports	12	0	12	176		
Turbidity Monitoring Report Review	NA	0.16	0.16	835		
Turbidity Report Follow-up	NA	0.5	0.5	167		
Total Agency Activities				12,252		

Table Endnotes

¹ NA indicates that the 2017 NPDES Programmatic ICR did not account for this new burden item.

² In the 2022 CGP ICR, EPA assumed that the fully loaded cost of employment for a federal employee is \$

Federal: Labor rate (2024)

\$51.15

Annual Hours Burden		Annual Cost Burden ²		
2022 CGP Incremental Change in Annual Burden (hrs)	2022 CGP Annual Burden (hrs)	2022 CGP Incremental Change in Annual Cost	2022 CGP Annual Cost	
0	3,630	\$0	\$185,675	
0	908	\$0	\$46,431	
0	182	\$O	\$9,309	
0	3,631	\$0	\$185,726	
0	2,112	\$0	\$108,029	
134	134	\$6,834	\$6,834	
84	84	\$4,271	\$4,271	
217	10,680	\$11,105	\$546,275	

46.02.

	Но
Activity	2017 NPDES Program ICR
Reporting Requirements	
NOI - Large Sites	
With ESA Evaluation and No Consultation	1.5
With ESA Evaluation and Informal Consultation	6
With ESA Evaluation and Formal Consultation	20
NOI - Small Sites with ESA Evaluation and Consultation	3.7
Appendix D - Eligibility Procedures Relating to Threatened and Endangered Species Protection	Included in NOI burden
Appendix E - Historic Property Screening Step 5 (Contact SHPO/THPO)	Included in NOI burden
Appendix L - Request for Chemical Treatment	Included in NOI burden
Appendix C - Small Construction Waiver	1
NOT	0.5
Turbidity Benchmark Monitoring - Sampling	NA
Turbidity Benchmark Monitoring - Reporting	NA
Standard/Other Reporting	
Planned Facility Changes	4
Anticipated Noncompliance	5
24hr reporting - Unanticipated Bypass or Upset (Verbal)	5
24hr reporting - Unanticipated Bypass or Upset (Written)	2
24hr reporting - Violation of Maximum Daily Discharge (Verbal)	3
24hr reporting - Violation of Maximum Daily Discharge (Written)	2
Other Noncompliance reporting	5
Other Info - Permittee Report of Inaccurate Previous Information	2
Reporting Subtotal	
Recordkeeping Requirements	
Develop New SWPPP - Large Sites	36.4
Develop New SWPPP - Small Sites	22.7
SWPPP Benchmark Monitoring Procedure ³	NA
Update SWPPP	Included in SWPPP development burden
Site Inspections - Large Sites	0.5
Site Inspections - Small Sites	0.25
Dewatering Inspections	NA

Corrective Action Records	Included in burden estimate for site inspections, dewatering inspections, and turbidity monitoring
Recordkeeping Subtotal	
Total Labor Burden and Cost	
Total Capital and O&M	
Grand Total	

Table Endnotes

¹ NA indicates that the 2017 NPDES Programmatic ICR did not account for this new burden item.

² In the 2022 CGP ICR, EPA assumed that the fully loaded cost of employment for a private sector emplo

³A subpopulation of respondents need to document their benchmark monitoring procedures in their SV

ırs Per Response		Number of Annual Re		ber of Annual Rep
2022 CGP Incremental Change	2022 CGP Total	2022 CGP Number of Respondents	Number of Occurrences Per Year	2022 CGP Incremental Change in Annual Responses

-0.4	1.1	980	1	0
-0.4	5.6	609	1	0
-0.4	19.6	44	1	0
-0.4	3.3	1997	1	0
-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-
0	1	182	1	0
0.7	1.2	3631	1	0
0.5	0.5	835	29	24215
0.75	0.75	835	4	3340

0	4	18	1	0
0	5	4	1	0
0	5	4	1	0
0	2	3	1	0
0	3	73	1	0
0	2	36	1	0
0	5	36	1	0
0	2	2	1	0
				27,555

0	36.4	1634	1	0
0	22.7	1997	1	0
4	4	835		Part of develo
-	-	-	-	-
0	0.5	1634	26	0
0	0.25	1997	26	0
0.25	0.25	3631	29	105299

-	-	-	-	-
	I			105,299
				105,299 132,854

yee is \$73.47.

VPPP. This is not considered a new response, as it is included in the overall SWPPP respons

Labor rate (2024)

			Labor fale (2024)	
			\$ 73.47	
onses	Annual Ho	urs Burden	Annual C	ost Burden
2022 CGP Number of Annual Responses	2022 CGP Incremental Change in Annual Burden (hrs)	2022 CGP Total Annual Burden (hrs)	2022 CGP Incremental Change in Annual Cost ²	2022 CGP Total Annual Cost ²
980	-392	1078	-\$28,800	\$79,201
609	-244	3,410	-\$17,927	\$250,533
44	-18	862	-\$1,322	\$63,331
1997	-799	6,590	-\$58,703	\$484,167
			+ ,	····
-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-
182	0	182	\$0	\$13,372
3631	2,542	4,357	\$186,761	\$320,109
24215	12,108	12,108	\$889,575	\$889,575
3340	2,505	2,505	\$184,042	\$184,042
18	0	72	\$0	\$5,290
4	0	20	\$0	\$1,469
4	0	20	\$0	\$1,469
3	0	6	\$0	\$441
73	0	219	\$0	\$16,090
36	0	72	\$0	\$5,290
36	0	180	\$0	\$13,225
2	0	4	\$0	\$294
35,174	15,702	31,685	\$1,153,626	\$2,327,898
			1	
1634	0	59,478	\$0	\$4,369,849
1997	0	45,332	\$0	\$3,330,542
ping a SWPPP	3,340	3,340	\$245,390	\$245,390
-	-	-	-	-
42,484	0	21,242	\$0	\$1,560,650
51,922	0	12,981	\$0	\$953,714
105,299	26,325	26,325	\$1,934,098	\$1,934,098

-	-	-	-	-
203,336	29,665	168,698	\$2,179,488	\$12,394,243
238,510	45,367	200,383	\$3,333,114	\$14,722,141
	•	1	\$888,440	\$888,440
			\$4,221,554	\$15,610,581

Hours (respondent + agency)	211,063
Responses (respondent + agency)	250,762
hours per response	0.8416859413

se. The burden is calculated in a separate line because the burden applies to a subset of the respondent population

\$296,146.67

ЪŊ

Calculations for Turbidity Monitoring Requirements

Dewatering Turbidity Monitoring Reports

Activity	Estimate	
Average data entry time per element ¹ (hours)		This average is based on burden rep Electronic Reporting Final Rule (9/2(https://www.epa.gov/sites/product
No. of elements to report per DMR		Respondents need to fill in either "n which is 13 weeks.
Hours per Response	0.75	

Turbidity Meter Capital and Operation & Maintenance Costs

Monitoring Device	Capital/ Startup Cost for One Respondent ¹	Number of Respondents	Total Capital/ Startup Cost
Turbidity Meter	\$1,064	835	\$888,440

^a EPA surveyed publicly available price information from a variety of analytical instrument retailers for pric

^b EPA assumes that calibration standards are included with the purchase of a turbidity meter, and thus the

\$296,146.67

Notes

orted in the Economic Analysis of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System 015, Table 4-9 Page 4-14). It is an average of the Hybrid and Batch methods. ion/files/2015-09/documents/npdesea.pdf

to dewatering discharge" or the weekly sampling average for every week in the quarter,

Annual O&M Costs for One Respondent⁵	Number of Respondents with O&M	Total O&M
\$0	835	\$O

ces and instrument information. Of the instruments with publicly available prices that were advertis

• O&M cost of purchasing calibration standards is included in the capital cost estimate.

sed as able to comply with EPA analytical method standards for turbidity, prices ranged from \$970 - \$1,870

with a median price of \$1,043. Further information on this survey can be found in the Incremental Cost Impa

act Analysis for the 2022 CGP.

	Respondent Percentage
# of NOIs (including Idaho)	-
# of NOIs (excluding Idaho)	-
Operators with Large Sites	45%
NOI - Large Sites (ESA Criterion A, B)	60%
NOI - Large Sites (ESA Criterion C, D, E - Informal	
Eval)	37.3%
NOI - Large Sites (ESA Criterion F - Formal Eval)	2.7%
Operators with Small Sites	55%
	5576
Operators that discharge into Sensitive Water	23%
Waivers (5%)	5%
# NOTs	100%
Planned Facility Changes	0.50%
Anticipated Noncompliance	0.10%
24hr reporting - Unanticipated Bypass or Upset	0.10%
(Verbal)	0.10%
24hr reporting - Unanticipated Bypass or Upset (Written)	0.08%
	0.0070
24hr reporting - Violation of Maximum Daily Discharge (Verbal)	2%
24hr reporting - Violation of Maximum Daily	
Discharge (Written)	1%
Other Noncompliance reporting	1%
Other Info - Permittee Report of Inaccurate	
Previous Information	0.05%

Proposed 2022 CGP ICR	Notes
NA	https://permitsearch.epa.gov/epermit-search/ui/search_
3631	2022 CGP NOI Data (Years 1 and 2 of 2022 CGP NOI Data)
1634	37% used in 2017 NPDES Program ICR, updated to 41% using 2017 CGP NOI Data (2017-2020), and updated to 45% using the first two years of the 2022 CGP NOI data for 2022 CGP ICR (sites greater than 5 acres)
980	Same assumption made in 2017 NPDES Program ICR: 60% of large sites
609	Same assumption made in 2017 NPDES Program ICR: 37.3% of large sites
44	Same assumption made in 2017 NPDES Program ICR: 2.7% of large sites
1997	63% used in 2017 NPDES Program ICR, updated to 59% using 2017 CGP NOI Data (2017-2020). Updated to 55% in 2024 using the first two years of 2022 CGP NOI Data for the 2022 CGP ICR (sites less than 5 acres)
835	Based on analysis of the first two years of 2022 CGP NOI data , where impairment or TMDL was listed in NOI form based on the following search terms: sediment, turbidity, TSS, solids, or transparency or the water was Tier 2, 2.5, or 3.
182	Same assumption made in 2017 NPDES Program ICR: 5% on top of the total number of NOIs submitted each year
3631	Same number as number of NOIs
18	Same assumption made in 2017 NPDES Program ICR
4	Same assumption made in 2017 NPDES Program ICR
4	Same assumption made in 2017 NPDES Program ICR
3	Same assumption made in 2017 NPDES Program ICR
73	Same assumption made in 2017 NPDES Program ICR
36	Same assumption made in 2017 NPDES Program ICR
36	Same assumption made in 2017 NPDES Program ICR
2	Same assumption made in 2017 NPDES Program ICR