

**Request for Approval under the “Generic Clearance for Improving
Customer Experience (OMB Circular A-11, Section 280
Implementation)” (OMB Control Number: 2900-0876)**

TITLE OF INFORMATION COLLECTION: Military Exposure Survey

PURPOSE

The PACT Act is a new law that expands VA health care and benefits for Veterans exposed to burn pits, Agent Orange, and other toxic substances. The PACT Act adds to the list of health conditions that we assume (or “presume”) are caused by exposure to these substances. This law helps provide generations of Veterans—and their survivors—with the care and benefits they’ve earned and deserve. Part of the early stages of the program is a medical screening of potential candidate for potential exposure which started late in 2022. The VHA wants to survey to monitor how veterans experience this screening through a patient experience survey.

DESCRIPTION OF RESPONDENTS:

The target populations of the Military Exposure screening survey will be any veteran that is shown in the health record system to have completed a toxic exposure screening in the past week. The sample frame will exclude veterans without a valid email address, those that have been invited to take another VEO survey in the past 30 days, those who have opted out from receiving VEO surveys, and those with incomplete information.

TYPE OF COLLECTION: (Check one)

- | | |
|---|--|
| ☐ Customer Comment Card/Complaint Form | ☒ Customer Satisfaction Survey |
| ☐ Usability Testing (e.g., Website or Software | ☐ Small Discussion Group |
| ☐ Focus Group | ☐ Other: _____ |

CERTIFICATION:

I certify the following to be true:

1. The collection is voluntary.
2. The collection is low-burden for respondents and low-cost for the Federal Government.
3. The collection is non-controversial and does not raise issues of concern to other federal agencies.
4. Personally identifiable information (PII) is collected only to the extent necessary and is not retained.

5. Information gathered is intended to be used for general service improvement and program management purposes.
6. The collection is targeted to the solicitation of opinions from respondents who have experience with the program or may have experience with the program in the future.
7. All or a subset of information may be released as part of A-11, Section 280 requirements on performance.gov. Additionally, summaries of the data may be released to the public in communications to Congress, the media and other releases disseminated by VEO, consistent with the Information Quality Act.

Name: Sergio Gazaryan, Enterprise Measurement Project Manager, Veterans Experience Office, VA (603) 203-3167

To assist review, please provide answers to the following question:

Personally Identifiable Information:

1. Will this survey use individualized links, through which VA can identify particular respondents even if they do not provide their name or other personally identifiable information on the survey? ☒ Yes ☐ No
2. Is personally identifiable information (PII) collected? ☐ Yes ☒ No
3. If Yes, will any information that is collected be included in records that are subject to the Privacy Act of 1974? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A
4. If Yes, has an up-to-date System of Records Notice (SORN) been published? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A

Gifts or Payments:

Is an incentive (e.g., money or reimbursement of expenses, token of appreciation) provided to participants? ☐ Yes ☒ No

BURDEN HOURS

Category of Respondent	No. of Respondents	Participation Time	Burden
Individuals and Households	120,000	5 minutes	10,000 hours
Totals	120,000	5 minutes	10,000 hours

Please answer the following questions.

1. **Are you conducting a focus group, a survey that does not employ random sampling, user testing or any data collection method that does not employ statistical methods?**

Yes ☒
No ☐

If Yes, please answer questions 1a-1c, 2 and 3.

If No, please answer or attach supporting documentation that answers questions 2-8.

a. Please provide a description of how you plan to identify your potential group of respondents and how you will select them.

- The target populations of the Military Exposure screening survey will be any veteran that is shown in the health record system to have completed a toxic exposure screening in the past week. The sample frame will exclude veterans without a valid email address, those that have been invited to take another VEO survey in the past 30 days, those who have opted out from receiving VEO surveys, and those with incomplete information.

b. How will you collect the information? (Check all that apply)

☐ Web-based or other forms of Social Media

☐ Telephone

☐ In-person

☐ Mail

☒ Other- E-mail-based surveys

c. Will interviewers or facilitators be used? ☐ Yes ☒ No

2. Please provide an estimated annual cost to the Federal government to conduct this data collection: \$13,000

3. Please make sure that all instruments, instructions, and scripts are submitted with the request. This includes questionnaires, interviewer manuals (if using interviewers or facilitators), all response options for questions that require respondents to select a response from a group of options, invitations given to potential respondents, instructions for completing the data collection or additional follow-up requests for the data collection.

- Done

4. Describe (including a numerical estimate) the potential respondent universe and any sampling or other respondent selection methods to be used. Data on the number of entities (e.g., establishments, State and local government units, households, or persons) in the universe covered by the collection and in the corresponding sample are to be provided in tabular form for the universe as a whole and for each of the strata in the proposed sample. Indicate expected response rates for the collection as a whole. If the collection had been conducted previously, include the actual response rate achieved during the last collection.

- Not applicable.
5. Describe the procedures for the collection of information, including:
- a. Statistical methodology for stratification and sample selection.
 - b. Estimation procedure.
 - c. Degree of accuracy needed for the purpose described in the justification.
 - d. Unusual problems requiring specialized sampling procedures.
 - e. Any use of periodic (less frequent than annual) data collection cycles to reduce burden.
- Not applicable.
6. Describe methods to maximize response rates and to deal with issues of nonresponse. The accuracy and reliability of information collected must be shown to be adequate for intended uses. For collections based on sampling, a special justification must be provided for any collection that will not yield "reliable" data that can be generalized to the universe studied.
- Not applicable.
7. Describe any tests of procedures or methods to be undertaken. Testing is encouraged as an effective means of refining collections of information to minimize burden and improve utility. Tests must be approved if they call for answers to identical questions from 10 or more respondents. A proposed test or set of tests may be submitted for approval separately or in combination with the main collection of information.
- Not applicable.
8. Provide the name and telephone number of individuals consulted on statistical aspects of the design and the name of the agency unit, contractors, grantees, or other person(s) who will actually collect or analyze the information for the agency.
- Collection and Analysis:
 - Evan Albert, Dir. of Measurement and Data Analytics, Veterans Experience Office, VA (202) 875-9478
 - Sergio Gazaryan, Enterprise Measurement Project Manager, Veterans Experience Office, VA (603) 203-3167
 - Lisa McAndrew, Health Science Specialist, WRH, 973-676-1000
 - Joe Salvatore, Executive Assistant, MyVA Task Force, OEI, 202-280-8403



Military Toxic Exposure Care Survey

Sampling Methodology Report

Prepared by
Veteran Experience Office
Version 1, March 2023

Contents

Executive Summary	7
Part I – Introduction	8
A. Background	8
B. Basic Definitions	9
C. Application to Veterans Affairs	9
Part II – Methodology	9
A. Target Population and Frame	9
B. Sample Size Determination	10
C. Stratification	11
D. Data Collection Methods	11
E. Reporting	12
F. Quality Control	12
G. Sample Weighting, Coverage Bias, and Non-Response Bias	12
H. Quarantine Rules	13
Part III – Assumptions and Limitations	15
A. Coverage Bias	15
References	15

Executive Summary

In 2022, the PACT Act bill was passed and signed allowing expanding Veteran Benefits to military personnel who suffered from toxic exposure while serving. Part of the early stages of the program is a medical screening of potential candidate for potential exposure which started late in 2022. The VHA wants to survey to monitor how veterans experience this screening through a patient experience survey.

This report describes the methodology used to conduct the Military Toxic Exposure Care survey. Information about quality assurance protocols, as well as limitations of the survey methodology, is also included in this report.

Part I – Introduction

A. Background

The **Enterprise Measurement and Design** team (EMD) within the **Veterans Experience Office** (VEO) is tasked with conducting transactional surveys of the customer population to measure their satisfaction with the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) numerous benefit services. Thus, their mission is to empower Veterans by rapidly and discreetly collecting feedback on their interactions with such VA entities as National Cemetery Administration (NCA), Veterans Health Administration (VHA), and Veterans Benefits Administration (VBA). VEO surveys generally entail *probability* samples which only contact minimal numbers of participants necessary to obtain reliable estimates. This information is subsequently used by internal stakeholders to monitor, evaluate, and improve processes. Participants are always able to decline participation and can opt out of future invitations. A *quarantine* protocol is maintained to limit the number of times a customer may be contacted over a period of time across all VEO surveys, in order to prevent survey fatigue.

Surveys issued by EMD are generally brief in nature and present a low amount of burden on participants. Structured questions directly address the pertinent issues regarding the surveyed population. The opportunity to volunteer open-ended text responses is provided within most surveys. This open text has been demonstrated to yield enormous information. Machine learning tools are used for text classification, ranking by sentiment scores, and screening for homelessness, depression, etc. Modern survey theory is used to create sample designs which are representative, statistically sound, and in accordance with OMB guidelines on federal surveys.

The VHA has developed a screening protocol to assure that veterans can receive fairly be assessed for toxic exposure and has offered training throughout the VHA health network to assure consistent implication of the protocol. The VHA has asked EMD to help develop and implement a patient experience survey specifically for this screening appointment.

Veterans will be selected to participate in the survey via an invitation email. A link is enclosed so the survey may be completed using an online interface, with customized participant information. The data will be collected on a weekly basis. The purpose of this document is to outline the planned sample design and provide a description of the data collection and sample sizes necessary for proper reporting

The survey questionnaire is brief. After the survey has been distributed, recipients have two weeks to complete the survey. Invitees will receive a reminder email after one week.

B. Basic Definitions

Coverage	The percentage of the population of interest that is included in the sampling frame.
Measurement Error	The difference between the response coded and the true value of the characteristic being studied for a respondent.
Non-Response	Failure of some respondents in the sample to provide responses in the survey.
Transaction	A <i>transaction</i> refers to the specific time a customer interacts with the VA that impacts the customer's journey and their perception of VA's effectiveness in servicing participants.
Response Rate	The ratio of participating persons to the number of contacted persons. This is one of the basic indicators of survey quality.
Sample	In statistics, a data sample is a set of data collected and/or selected from a statistical population by a defined procedure.
Sampling Error	Error due to taking a particular sample instead of measuring every unit in the population.
Sampling Frame	A list of units in the population from which a sample may be selected.
Reliability	The consistency or dependability of a measure. Also referred to as <i>standard error</i> .

C. Application to Veterans Affairs

This measurement may bring insights and value to all stakeholders at VA. Front-line VA staff can resolve individual feedback from participant and take steps to improve their experience; meanwhile VA executives can receive real-time updates on systematic trends that allow them to make changes.

- 1) To collect continuous participant experience data to monitor the relative success of programs designed to improve Military Exposure screening
- 2) To help field staff and the national office identify need of the specific population they serve
- 3) To better understand why veterans provide positive or negative feedback about Military Exposure screening

Part II – Methodology

A. Target Population and Frame

The target populations of the Military Exposure screening survey will be any veteran that is shown in the health record system to have completed a toxic exposure screening in the past week. The sample frame will exclude veterans without a valid email address, those that have been invited to take another VEO survey in the past 30 days, those who have opted out from receiving VEO surveys, and those with incomplete information.

VEO staff will access the data directly from the VHA patient databases including the legacy CDW and the onboarding Cerner HER.

B. Sample Size Determination

For a given margin of error and confidence level, the sample size is calculated as below (Lohr, 1999). For population that is *large*, the equation below is used to yield a representative sample for proportions:

$$n_0 = \frac{Z_{\alpha/2}^2 pq}{e^2}$$

where

- $Z_{\alpha/2} = 1.96$, which is the critical Z score value under the normal distribution when using a 95% confidence level ($\alpha = 0.05$).
- p = the estimated proportion of an attribute that is present in the population, with $q=1-p$.
 - Note that pq attains its maximum when value $p=0.5$, and this is often used for a conservative sample size (i.e., large enough for any proportion).
- e = the desired level of precision; in the current case, the margin of error $e = 0.03$, or 3%. Also referred to as **MOE**.

For a population that is relatively *small*, the finite population correction is used to yield a representative sample for proportions:

$$n = \frac{n_0}{1 + \frac{n_0}{N}}$$

Where

- n_0 = Representative sample for proportions when the population is large.
- N = Population size.

The margin of error surrounding the baseline proportion is calculated as:

$$\text{Margin of error} = z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{N-n}{N-1}} \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$$

Where

- $Z_{\alpha/2} = 1.96$, which is the critical Z score value under the normal distribution when using a 95% confidence level ($\alpha = 0.05$).
- N = Population size.
- n = Representative sample.
- p = the estimated proportion of an attribute that is present in the population, with $q=1-p$.

Table 2 depicts the estimated population available and the proposed sample nationally and for the smallest VISN in the VHA health system. The total sample size needed was determined by estimating the sample size needed for the smallest VISN to assure that it achieves a monthly +/- 4% MOE at a 80% confidence from a national sample

proportionately distributed across VISNs. The resulting sample is estimated to require 710,000 invites annually resulting in 117,000 completed surveys. To account for uncertainty of the response rate, we are requesting clearance for 120,000 completed surveys.

Table 2a. Estimated Monthly Population and Survey Figures

	Total	Smallest VISN
Total Population	247,755	6,615
Email Population	190,893	5,097
Available Population	152,714	4,077
Target Completes	9,750	260
Estimated Return Rate	16.5%	16.5%
Sample Needed	59,091	1,576
MOE	+/-1%	+/-4%
Confidence	95%	80%
Sample Rate	38.7%	38.7%

C. Stratification

Stratification is used to ensure that the sample matches the population, to the extent possible, across sub-populations. For this survey we will rely on implicit stratification or balancing to assure that the targets remain proportional if the population distribution fluctuates. Balancing variables will include age gender and location.

D. Data Collection Methods

The population for the survey will be extracted by VEO every week. Any record with a valid email address will be included in the sample frame. Email invitations are delivered to all selected participants. Selected respondents will be contacted within 8 days of their screening appointment. They will have 14 days to complete the survey. Estimates will be accessible to data users instantly on the VSignals platform.

Table 3. Survey Mode

Mode of Data Collection	Recruitment Method	Recruitment Period	Collection Days
Online Survey	Email Recruitment	14 Days (Reminder after 7 Days)	Tuesday

E. Reporting

Researchers will be able to use the VSignals platform for interactive reporting and data visualization. The results may be viewed by various subgroups across a variety of charts for different perspective. They are also depicted within time series plots to investigate trends. Finally, filter options are available to assess scores at varying time periods and within the context of other collected variable information.

Recruitment is continuous (weekly) but the results from several weeks may be combined into a *monthly*, *quarterly*, or *annual* estimate for more precise estimates.

F. Quality Control

To ensure the prevention of errors and inconsistencies in the data and the analysis, quality control procedures will be instituted in several steps of the survey process. Records will undergo a cleaning during the population file creation. The quality control steps are as follows.

1. Records will be reviewed for missing data. When records with missing data are discovered, they will be either excluded from the population file when required or coded as missing.
2. Any duplicate records will be removed from the population file to both maintain the probabilities of selection and prevent the double sampling of the same customer.
3. Invalid emails will be removed.

The survey sample loading and administration processes will have quality control measures built into them.

1. The extracted sample will be reviewed for representativeness. A secondary review will be applied to the final respondent sample.
2. The survey load process will be rigorously tested prior to the induction of the survey to ensure that sampled participants is not inadvertently dropped or sent multiple emails.
3. The email delivery process is monitored to ensure that bounce-back records will not hold up the email delivery process.

G. Sample Weighting, Coverage Bias, and Non-Response Bias

A final respondent sample should closely resemble the true population, in terms of the demographic distributions (e.g. age groups). One problem that arises in the survey collection process is **nonresponse**, which is defined as systematic failure of selected persons in the sample to provide responses. This occurs in various degrees to *all* surveys, but the resulting estimates can be distorted when some groups are more or less prone to complete the survey. In many applications, younger people are less likely to participate than older persons. Another problem is **under-coverage**, which is the event that certain groups of interest in the population are not even included in the sampling frame. They

cannot participate because they cannot be contacted: those without an email address will be excluded from sample frame. These two phenomena may cause some groups to be over- or under-represented. In such cases, when the respondent population does not match the true population, conclusions drawn from the survey data may not be reliable and are said to be **biased**.

Weighting adjustments are commonly applied in surveys to correct for nonresponse bias and coverage bias. In many surveys, however, differential response rates may be observed across age groups. In the event that some age groups are more represented in the final respondent sample, the weighting application will yield somewhat smaller weights for these age group. Conversely, age groups that are underrepresented will receive larger weights. This phenomenon is termed *non-response bias correction* for a single variable. Strictly speaking, we can never know how non-respondents would have really answered the question, but the aforementioned adjustment calibrates the sample to resemble the full population – from the perspective of demographics. This may result in a substantial correction in the resulting weighting survey estimates when compared to direct estimates in the presence of non-negligible sample error (non-response bias).

If implemented, weighting will utilize cell weights in real time. With each query on the VSignals platform for each respondent by dividing the target for a cell by the number of respondents in the cell. The weighting scheme will include, where possible all the variables used for stratification, however, cells will be collapsed if the proportion of the population is insufficient to reliably achieve a minimum of 3 completes per month. As a result, weights may be more comprehensive for larger population segments. For instance, in the VA, women are a smaller proportion of the populations. Therefore, woman will have more collapsed cells than men.

As part of the weighting validation process, the weights of persons in age and gender groups are summed and verified that they match the universe estimates (i.e., population totals). Additionally, we calculate the *unequal weighting effect*, or UWE (see Kish, 1992; Liu et al., 2002). This statistic is an indication of the amount of variation that may be expected due to the inclusion of weighting. The unequal weighting effect estimates the percent increase in the variance of the final estimate due to the presence of weights and is calculated as:

$$UWE = 1 + cv_{weights}^2 = \left(\frac{s}{\bar{w}}\right)^2$$

where

- **cv** = coefficient of variation for all weights w_{ij} .
- **s** = sample standard deviation of weights.
- **$\bar{w}$** = sample mean of weights, $\bar{w} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{ij} w_{ij}$.

H. Quarantine Rules

VEO seeks to limit contact with participants as much as possible, and only as needed to achieve measurement goals. These rules are enacted to prevent excessive recruitment attempts upon VA's participants. All VEO surveys offer options for

respondents to opt out, and ensure they are no longer contacted for a specific survey. VEO also monitors participation within other VEO surveys, to ensure participants do not experience *survey fatigue*.

Table 4. Quarantine Protocol

Quarantine Rule	Description	Elapsed Time
Repeated Sampling for Military Exposure Survey	Number of days between receiving/completing online survey, prior to receiving email invitation for Military Exposure Survey	30 Days
Other VEO Surveys	Number of days between receiving/completing online survey and becoming eligible for another VEO survey	30 Days
Opt Outs	Persons indicating their wish to opt out of either phone or online survey will no longer be contacted.	N/A

Part III – Assumptions and Limitations

A. Coverage Bias

Since the Military Exposure Survey is email only, there is a substantial population of qualifying veterans that cannot be reached by the survey. Veterans that lack access to the internet or do not use email may have different levels of Trust and satisfaction with their service. As such, it is thought that Veterans in this latter category do not harbor any tangible differences to other program participants who do share their information.

References

- Choi, N.G. & Dinitto, D.M. (2013). Internet Use Among Older Adults: Association with Health Needs, Psychological Capital, and Social Capital. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 15(5), e97
- Kalton, G., & Flores-Cervantes, I. (2003). Weighting Methods. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 19(2), 81-97.
- Kish, L. (1992). Weighting for unequal P. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 8(2), 183-200.
- Kolenikov, S. (2014). Calibrating Survey Data Using Iterative Proportional Fitting (Raking). *The Stata Journal*, 14(1): 22–59.
- Lohr, S. (1999). *Sampling: Design and Analysis* (Ed.). Boston, MA: Cengage Learning.
- Liu, J., Iannacchione, V., & Byron, M. (2002). Decomposing design effects for stratified sampling. *Proceedings of the American Statistical Association's Section on Survey Research Methods*.
- National Telecommunications and Information Administration (2020) Digital Nation Data Explorer <https://www.ntia.doc.gov/data/digital-nation-data-explorer#sel=emailUser&demo=veteran&pc=prop&disp=chart>
- Wong, D.W.S. (1992) The Reliability of Using the Iterative Proportional Fitting Procedure. *The Professional Geographer*, 44 (3), 1992, pp. 340-348

