

Grantee: A New Day, Inc.

Federal Project Officer: Paul Bowser Peer Monitor: Pamela Johnson

Start Date: 10/02/2024 End Date: 10/03/2024

Note: All fields are required

Site Visit Activities

	Yes	No
Was an agenda for the visit provided?	☐	☐
Was the purpose/intent of the monitoring visit explained?	☐	☐
Did the grantee have opportunities to engage with the Review Team throughout the site visit when clarity and understanding was needed?	☐	☐
Was there an exit briefing/meeting with the grantee?	☐	☐
Did the FPO identify compliance issues (i.e., criteria not met) for the grantee, if any were found?	☐	☐
Did the Review Team provide an overview of the site visit outcomes and explain any applicable next steps?	☐	☐

*Overall experience of the onsite monitoring visit:

Save

Feedback on Federal Project Officer

Feedback on Federal Project Officer: Laura Hubbard

	N/A	Poor	Acceptable	Average	Good	Excellent
Accessibility of FPO (before and/or after the site visit)	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Flexibility and willingness to work collegially with you and grantee program staff	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
FPO's knowledge of program requirements	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Knowledge of administration (non-fiscal)	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Knowledge of administration (fiscal)	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Ability to provide useful, appropriate TA during the visit	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Ability to verbalize/clarify programmatic recommendations to the grantee while onsite	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Ability to identify any resources to help the grantee carry out team recommendations	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Average Score*: N/A

* Poor = 1, Acceptable = 2, Average = 3, Good = 4, Excellent = 5

Your Overall Impressions of the FPO

*Areas where the FPO displayed strengths or brought extra value to the review:

*Areas where you saw a need for improvement in what was done, or how it was done:

*Would you be interested in conducting additional reviews with this FPO? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Please tell us why or why not.

Help

Feedback on Grantee

	N/A	Poor	Acceptable	Average	Good	Excellent
Accessibility of staff, board, youth	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Responsiveness/cooperation of staff	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Openness to suggestions and technical assistance	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Average Score*: N/A

* Poor = 1, Acceptable = 2, Average = 3, Good = 4, Excellent = 5

Your Overall Impressions of the Grantee

*Please add any comments regarding interaction with the grantee:

Save

☐ My feedback is complete. I understand that once this box is checked, the form will become locked and will not be editable.

All fields are required.

PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT OF 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13) STATEMENT OF PUBLIC BURDEN: The purpose of this information collection is to collect feedback on RHY on-site monitoring processes. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 10 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and reviewing the collection of information. This is a voluntary collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB # is 0970-0401 and the expiration date is 05/31/2027. If you have any comments on this collection of information, please contact help@gmatterstech.com.

NOTE: This entire document is one page online and the Paperwork Reduction Act statement is at the end of the document.