Form approved OMB No. xxxx-xxxx Expires xx/xx/xxxx January 2024

HAIC Invasive Staphylococcus aureus Supplemental Surveillance Officer Survey

Please answer the following questions for the surveillance year. The purpose of the survey is to verify and document current surveillance procedures, including cases ascertainment and auditing methods. Please enter your responses into the corresponding REDCap database. If you have any questions, please contact Kelly Jackson (gqv8@cdc.gov).

Person	CA CT GA MD MN NY TN u(s) Completing the Form: llance Year:
Survei	illance Area Characteristics
1.	Is MRSA reportable at your site? yes no a. If yes: i. What is your reportable definition of MRSA? All invasive MRSA statewide Invasive MRSA in residents among defined catchment area Healthcare-associated invasive MRSA infection Other, please define:
	ii. Is isolate submission to the State Health Department Laboratory required?
2.	ii. Does your state/site plan to make MRSA reportable?yesno Is MSSA reportable at your site? yes no a. If yes: i. What is your reportable definition of MSSA? All invasive MSSA statewide Invasive MSSA in residents among defined catchment area Healthcare-associated invasive MSSA infection Other, please define:
	 ii. Is isolate submission to the State Health Department Laboratory required? yes no b. If no: i. What mechanism do you have in place that allows for SOs to have access to

Public reporting burden of this collection of information is estimated to average 10.5 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a current valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to CDC/ATSDR Reports Clearance Officer; 1600 Clifton Rd NE, MS D-74, Atlanta, Georgia 30329; ATTN: PRA (xxxx-xxxx)

MSSA case counts and medical records?

Agent of the state State Health Department Regulation Other, please explain:
ii. Does your state/site plan to make MSSA reportable?yesno
3. Did your site send MRSA/MSSA isolates to CDC for characterization in the surveillance year?
 b. If yes, how many isolates did you expect to be able to collect from clinical labs? MRSA,MSSA c. If yes, what was the total number of isolates collected from clinical labs?
MRSA, MSSA
 4. How does your site complete SA case report forms (please select all that apply)? On a computer or tablet With paper and pen Other, please explain:
Outer, preuse emplaini
5. Are you able to directly access any National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) data?
Dialysis event
 b. If no: Does a public health partner have access to NHSN data? For example, if your site is not based at the state HD does the state HD have access to the data? If your site is part of the state HD and you do not have direct access to the NHSN data, does another department/section have access to the data?
yes no
1. If yes: a. Please mark which NHSN data can be accessed —————————————————————————————————
6. How often do you access/are you provided with NHSN data? Weekly

		-		onthly ever	
		-		her, please speci	ify
		_		71 1	
7	. What do y	you use NHSN	data for?		
_					
Lal	Participat	tion and Case	Finding		
Ple	ase answer	the following q	uestions for	hospitals and la	ibs under surveillance during the surveillance
rea	r.				
	-				
			• •	_	(i.e., routinely processes "sterile site"
	-			, •	ar MRSA surveillance catchment area (both
					number of each type of lab <u>participating</u> (i.e.,
					th inside and outside the catchment area):
		tchment area		catchment area	
	Serve	Participate	Serve	Participate	Hospital laboratories
					Hospital laboratories Dialysis referral laboratories
					Commercial/outpatient laboratories*
					Other; please
					specify:
					Total number (Add above together)
	*For the purpose	of the survey, we are a	<u>lefining "Commer</u>	rcial/Outpatient Labora	tories" as any for profit laboratory, not including dialysis referral ea. Examples include LabCorp and Quest.
	each type of		ng (i.e., sub		f the catchment area) and the total number of when available) in surveillance (both inside
		tchment area		catchment area	
	Serve	Participate Participate	Serve	Participate Participate	
		•		•	Hospital laboratories
					Dialysis referral laboratories
					Commercial/outpatient laboratories*
					Other; please
					specify: Total number (Add above together)
	*For the purpose	of the survey, we are a	lefining "Commer	 rcial/Outpatient Labora	tories" as any for profit laboratory, not including dialysis referral
					rea. Examples include LabCorp and Quest.
3.	Please indic	ate the culture	sources you	r site requests f	rom participating labs for surveillance other
	than blood,	CSF, pleural fl	uid, periton	eal fluid, perica	rdial fluid, joint/synovial fluid, bone, and
	muscle?				

4. Indicate the percentage contribution of each case finding method to your site's total SA case counts (100%) during the surveillance year.

Case Finding Method Used?	% MSSA Case Count	% MRSA Case Count	Method
	Contribution	Contribution	
\square Y \square N			NETSS/NEDSS or other passive state reporting system
\square Y \square N			Routinely received line lists from <i>hospital</i> labs
\square Y \square N			Routinely received line lists from <u>commercial/outpatient</u> labs
\square Y \square N			Routinely received line lists from <u>dialysis referral</u> labs
\square Y \square N			Regular lab visits; frequency:
□Y □N			ICPs submitting case report form
\square Y \square N			Isolates being received at state lab
\square Y \square N			NHSN
\square Y \square N			Other, please specify

a.	Do you expect this distribution and/or percentage values to change next surveillance years yes no i. If yes, please explain why:
	s reporting invasive SA, how many of the participating labs are providing case reports direct electronic messaging, such as HL7 messaging?
	f less <100%, how else are you receiving reports (check all that apply)?
	ecure email
□ F:	ax Ianual surveillance on-site
	lailed hard copies
	tate electronic reporting system
	ther, specify:
b. V	What are the perceived barriers to use of direct electronic messaging?
	Iow important is electronic messaging for your site? (1 not important at all; 5very high prity)
	\Box 1 – not important at all
	□ 4
	□ 5 – very high priority

6. l	Did any labs drop out of participation in the surveillance year? yes no
	a. If yes, how many? b. Why did these labs drop out of participation?
	c. Approximately how many cases did this/these lab(s) identify each year among residents of your catchment area?
	In the surveillance year, did you identify any additional labs, regardless of location, which identify invasive SA isolates from persons who are residents of your catchment area? yes no
	a. If yes, how did you find these labs?
	b. If yes, how many labs did you find?
	c. If yes, how many of these labs were added?
	i. If not all found labs were added, why not?
	d. Approximately how many cases does this/these lab(s) identify each year among residents of your catchment area?
Data	a Edits
-	 Does your site run a data edit program in addition to the CDC edit program that is sent out monthly? yes If yes, how often:
	Monthly Quarterly
	Other time frame, specify:
	Never b. If yes, what types of edits are you running? Do you think they would be helpful to add to CDC's edit process?
2	2. Did your site complete CRF re-abstractions during the surveillance year? yes no a. If yes, did you have any challenges completing the CRF re-abstractions? yes no

		i. If yes, pleas	e describe:	
		b. If no, why not?		
	*"Case ass may be pro *Audits of ensure that computer-s were repor	cessing MRSA/MSSA spect all laboratories both within all cases of invasive MRS. generated electronic printo ted to the surveillance pers	le ongoing attempts to iden imens for surveillance area in the HAIC MRSA/MSSA salMSSA are being capture uts, case reports, line lists) onnel.	ntify new or additional laboratories inside and outside of your defined catchment area which
			,	each case finding method to your site's <u>audit counts</u> (100%)
Audit Used?	Method	he surveillance ye. % MSSA Audit Count Contribution	% MRSA Audit Count Contribution	Method
Y	N		Contribution	NETSS/NEDSS or other passive state reporting system
Y	N			Routinely received line lists from <i>hospital</i> labs
Y	N			Routinely received line lists from <i>commercial/outpatient</i> labs
$\overline{\square}_{Y}$	N			Routinely received line lists from <i>dialysis referral</i> labs
Y	N			Regular lab visits; frequency:
Y	N			ICPs submitting case report form
$\overline{\square}$ Y	N			Isolates being received at state lab
$\overline{\square}$ Y	N			NHSN comparison
Y	N			Other, please specify
		Audits of all laboratories ensure that all cases of inv	both within the HAIC iSA asive SA are being capture electronic printouts, case urveillance personnel.	aboratory case auditing methods? surveillance area and those outside are required once a year. The purpose of the audit is to ed. The primary data source at every reporting laboratory (e.g. laboratory log slips/log reports, line lists) should be reviewed for invasive SA cases and compared to the list of cases no
		a. If no, please e	xplain why:	
		b. If yes, how of	ten is this perform	ned? When was this last performed?
		c. If yes, how do	es your site perfo	rm audits*?

	Remove negative restrictions from line list reports Review actual query codes
	Review selection criteria Other, please specify
	 i. If you picked "Review actual query codes", can you see pathogen resistance information? For example, in the query code can you see that the laboratory is including isolates resistant to oxacillin for MRSA?
	d. How many laboratories did you audit in the surveillance year?
4.	In the surveillance year, did your site update its inventory of facilities within the EIP catchment area?
	a. If no, why not? yes
	b. If yes, how many facilities serve the catchment area?
	c. If yes, how many facilities have you identified a clinical laboratory for?
5.	Does your site perform routine ascertainment* of the surveillance area? *"Case ascertainment" should include ongoing attempts to identify new or additional laboratories inside and outside of your defined catchment area which may be processing specimens for surveillance area residents. yes no
	a. If yes, how does your site assess case ascertainment* methods? (examples include: physician surveys, LTCF surveys, outreach to new dialysis centers, etc).
	b. If yes, how often is this performed? When was this last performed?
6.	Are there specific labs that you have difficulty obtaining line lists from?
7.	Does your site have checks in place to recognize decreasing/increasing case counts or rates of MRSA disease?
	a. If yes, please describe the check(s) that you use

b. If yes, how often are the check(s) used?
8. Does your site have checks in place to recognize decreasing/increasing case counts or rates of MSSA disease?
yes no
b. If yes, please describe the check(s) that you use
c. If yes, how often are the check(s) used?
Geocoding
1. Did your site geocode SA cases in the surveillance year?
yes no
a. If yes, what is the most recent year of surveillance data that was geocoded?
b. If no, why not?
Witel December Links and
Vital Records Linkages
1. Did your site link SA cases to vital records (mortality matching) in the surveillance year?
yes no
a. If yes, what is the most recent year of surveillance data that was linked?
b. If no, why not?
CDC Responsibilities
1. CDC staff are responsive to questions/concerns/emails (e.g., Holly Biggs, Davina Campbell, Kelly
Jackson, and Isaac See).
Strongly agree
Agree Neutral
NeutaiDisagree
Disagree Strongly disagree
Strongry disagree
a. If you disagree or strongly disagree, please explain and provide improvement suggestions:
2. Monthly surveillance officer calls are a valuable use of my time.
Strongly agree
Agree
Neutral
Disagree
Strongly disagree
a. If you disagree or strongly disagree, please explain and provide improvement suggestions:

3.	What parts of the SA SharePoint site do you use the least or find the least useful?
4.	Can you suggest any future training topics that might be useful for SA surveillance officers?
5.	What SA topics would you like to see covered at the HAIC Surveillance Officer's Meeting during the SA session next year?

END Thank you very much!