

**SUPPORTING STATEMENT FOR
MULTIPLE WORKSITE REPORT AND THE REPORT OF FEDERAL
EMPLOYMENT AND WAGES**

OMB CONTROL NO. 1220-0134

B. COLLECTIONS OF INFORMATION EMPLOYING STATISTICAL METHODS

1. Describe (including a numerical estimate) the potential respondent universe and any sampling or other respondent selection methods to be used. Data on the number of entities (e.g., establishments, State and local government units, households, or persons) in the universe covered by the collection and in the corresponding sample are to be provided in tabular form for the universe as a whole and for each of the strata in the proposed sample. Indicate expected response rates for the collection as a whole. If the collection had been conducted previously, include the actual response rate achieved during the last collection.

a. Universe

Multiple Worksite Report (MWR) - The universe for this program will include the multi-establishment employers subject to Unemployment Insurance coverage in the 50 States, District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands. The employers covered by this census are those having more than one establishment under the same UI account number within the State and the sum of the employment in all of their secondary establishments is 10 or greater. The most current QCEW working file(s) for each State serves as the business list.

Report of Federal Employment and Wages/DOD Collection - The universe for this census will include the Federal employers subject to Unemployment Compensation for Federal Employees coverage in the 50 States, District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands. The most current QCEW working file(s) for each State serves as the business list.

b. Sample Size

Multiple Worksite Report - Since this is a quarterly census of multiple worksite businesses, the sample size will be 188,644, the same as the universe. With a loss of 5% of selected units due to out-of-business, duplicates, or non-mailables, and a 15% non-response rate, the number of respondents is expected to be 150,915. This number, based on 3rd Quarter of 2024 information, may increase or decrease depending upon changes with the census of multi-establishment employers.

Report of Federal Employment and Wages (RFEW) - Since this is a quarterly census of Federal installations multiple worksites, the sample size will be 1,670 non-defense respondents as well as the 5 major civilian (appropriated and non-appropriated) defense respondents, the same as the universe.

2. Describe the procedures for the collection of information including:

- **Statistical methodology for stratification and sample selection,**
- **Estimation procedure,**
- **Degree of accuracy needed for the purpose described in the justification,**
- **Unusual problems requiring specialized sampling procedures, and**
- **Any use of periodic (less frequent than annual) data collection cycles to reduce burden.**

a. Sample Allocation and Selection Procedures

The sampling frame used by each State is the most current QCEW working file(s). All employers that report more than one establishment under the same UI account number within the State and where their employment for secondary establishments is greater than or equal to 10 will be included in the MWR census. All Federal employers within each State will be included in the RFEW census.

b. Estimation Procedure

These data are being collected to ensure the microdata on the longitudinal QCEW data and on the QCEW Report are on an establishment/installation basis instead of some consolidated level consisting of two or more establishments/installations. Therefore, after data are edited and reconciled for apparent inconsistencies and completeness, these data will be the microdata on the longitudinal QCEW data. Estimates of totals at higher tabulation levels for the QCEW Report will be the sum of the appropriate micro level data. Since this is a census, the estimates are not subject to any sampling error. Therefore, no standard errors will be calculated.

c. Accuracy

Because a census is being conducted, the estimates are not subject to any sampling error.

d. Problems

There are no unusual problems requiring specialized sampling procedures.

e. Frequency

This is conducted quarterly.

3. Describe methods to maximize response rates and to deal with issues of non-response. The accuracy and reliability of information collected must be shown to be adequate for intended uses. For collections based on sampling, a special justification must be provided for any collection that will not yield "reliable" data that can be generalized to the universe studied.

a. Response

To maximize the response rate for the MWR and the RFEW, an explanation of the importance of the information, the need for employer cooperation, and the electronic reporting options are emphasized.

Additionally, States conduct a follow-up mailing after the initial mailing for non-respondents. States may also contact non-respondents by telephone, as needed.

Employers whose total UI account employment within a State is less than 10 are not subject to this report.

b. Non-response Adjustment

A proration adjustment procedure is used to impute data for missing worksites when data are reported on the current UI contribution report but not the MWR. The data are prorated using the previous quarters' distribution of employment and wages and the current UI contribution report for the master unit. When data are missing on both the contribution report and the MWR, data for the master unit are imputed using historical data and then prorated to the worksites. Going forward, when contribution report data are reported and worksite data are missing, proration will continue to be used. When data are missing on both the contribution report and the MWR, the cell ratio method is proposed for imputing data for missing worksites as explained in <https://www.bls.gov/cew/additional-resources/imputation-methodology.htm>. Data will then be aggregated from worksites to the master unit.

c. Reliability

Because this study is a census, no sampling errors are calculated.

To control non-sampling errors, quality control procedures were incorporated into the MWR census' design. These procedures include follow-up of all non-respondents and validation of all edit failures. Additionally, the States and Regions were given training and assistance in conducting this quarterly census. The automation of most data collection and data processing procedures reduced many sources of non-sampling errors. Quality control measures are detailed in <https://www.bls.gov/opub/hom/cew/design.htm>.

4. Describe any tests of procedures or methods to be undertaken. Testing is encouraged as an effective means of refining collections of information to minimize burden and improve utility. Tests must be approved if they call for answers to identical questions from 10 or more respondents. A proposed test or set of test may be submitted for approval separately or in combination with the main collection of information.

There are no plans to conduct tests for this ongoing data collection activity.

5. Provide the name and telephone number of individuals consulted on statistical aspects of the design and the name of the agency unit, contractor(s), grantee(s), or other person(s) who will actually collect and/or analyze person(s) who will actually collect and/or analyze the information for the agency.

Mr. Sean McIllece, in the Office of Employment and Unemployment Statistics, is responsible for the statistical aspects of this survey.