Supporting Statement for

FERC-725K, Mandatory Reliability Standards for the SERC Region

(Three-year extension requested)

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) requests that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) review and renew the information collection requirements in FERC-725K under OMB Control No. 1902-0260. The reporting requirements in the FERC-725K are contained in FERC's regulations in 18 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 40.

1. CIRCUMSTANCES THAT MAKE THE COLLECTION OF INFORMATION NECESSARY

Background.

On August 8, 2005, The Electricity Modernization Act of 2005, which is Title XII of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EPAct 2005), was enacted into law. EPAct 2005 added a new Section 215 to the Federal Power Act (FPA), which requires a Commission-certified Electric Reliability Organization (ERO) to develop mandatory and enforceable Reliability Standards, which are subject to Commission review and approval. Once approved, the ERO may enforce the Reliability Standards, subject to Commission oversight. In 2006, the Commission certified the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) as the ERO pursuant to FPA section 215.1

Reliability Standards that NERC proposes to the Commission may include Reliability Standards that a Regional Entity proposes to be effective in that region.² In Order No. 672, the Commission noted that:

As a general matter, we will accept the following two types of regional differences, provided they are otherwise just, reasonable, not unduly discriminatory or preferential and in the public interest, as required under the statute:

¹ North American Electric Reliability Corp., 116 FERC ¶ 61,062, order on reh'g & compliance, 117 FERC ¶ 61,126 (2006), aff'd sub nom. Alcoa, Inc. v. FERC, 564 F.3d 1342 (D.C. Cir. 2009).

² 16 U.S.C. § 824o(e)(4). A Regional Entity is an entity that has been approved by the Commission to enforce Reliability Standards under delegated authority from the ERO. *See* 16 U.S.C. § 824o(a)(7) and (e)(4).

- a regional difference that is more stringent than the continent-wide Reliability Standard, including a regional difference that addresses matters that the continent-wide Reliability Standard does not; and
- a regional Reliability Standard that is necessitated by a physical difference in the Bulk-Power System.

When NERC reviews a regional Reliability Standard that would be applicable on an interconnection-wide basis and that has been proposed by a Regional Entity organized on an interconnection-wide basis, NERC must presume that the regional Reliability Standard is just, reasonable, not unduly discriminatory or preferential, and in the public interest.³ In turn, the Commission must give "due weight" to the technical expertise of NERC and of a Regional Entity organized on an interconnection-wide basis.⁴

On April 19, 2007, the Commission accepted delegation agreements between NERC and each of the eight Regional Entities.⁵ In the order, the Commission accepted SERC as a Regional Entity organized on less than an interconnection-wide basis. As a Regional Entity, SERC oversees Bulk-Power System reliability within the SERC Region, which covers a geographic area of approximately 560,000 square miles in a sixteen-state area in the southeastern and central United States (all of Missouri, Alabama, Tennessee, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Mississippi, and portions of Iowa, Illinois, Kentucky, Virginia, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Louisiana, Texas and Florida). The SERC Region is currently divided into five geographical sub-regions that are identified as Southeastern, Central, VACAR, Delta, and Gateway.

2. HOW, BY WHOM, AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE THE INFORMATION IS TO BE USED AND THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT COLLECTING THE INFORMATION

Prior to the enactment of Section 215 of the Federal Power Act, FERC had acted primarily as an economic regulator of the wholesale power markets and the interstate transmission grid. In this regard, the Commission acted to promote a more reliable electric system by promoting regional coordination and planning of the interstate grid through regional independent system operators (ISOs) and regional transmission organizations (RTOs).

³ 16 U.S.C. § 824o(d)(3).

⁴ Id. § 824o(d)(2).

⁵ North American Electric Reliability Corp., 119 FERC ¶ 61,060 (2007).

The passage of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 added to the Commission's efforts by giving it the authority to strengthen the reliability of the interstate electric transmission grid through the grant of new authority pursuant to Section 215 of the FPA. The FPA establishes a system of mandatory Reliability Standards developed by the ERO, approved by FERC, and enforced by the ERO and Regional Entities.

Regional Reliability Standard PRC-006-SERC-03 was developed to be consistent with the NERC Automatic Underfrequency Load Shedding (UFLS) Reliability Standard PRC-006-5. Regional Reliability Standard PRC-006-SERC-03 was designed to ensure that automatic UFLS protection schemes designed by planning coordinators and implemented by applicable distribution providers and transmission owners in the SERC Region are coordinated to effectively mitigate the consequences of an underfrequency event. The regional Reliability Standard PRC-006-SERC-03 added specificity not contained in the NERC UFLS Reliability Standard for UFLS schemes in the SERC Region. Regional Reliability Standard PRC-006-SERC-03 effectively mitigates (in conjunction with NERC Reliability Standard PRC-006-5) the consequences of an underfrequency event while accommodating differences in system transmission and distribution topology among SERC planning coordinators resulting from historical design criteria, makeup of load demands, and generation resources.

Under the regional Reliability Standard, the information is used to ensure compliance with requirements associated with underfrequency load shedding plans. Without this information, it would be difficult to enforce compliance with the regional standard. A lack of compliance with this regional standard may lead to uncontrolled failure of the Interconnection.

3. DESCRIBE ANY CONSIDERATION OF THE USE OF IMPROVED INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY TO REDUCE THE BURDEN AND TECHNICAL OR LEGAL OBSTACLES TO REDUCING BURDEN

This collection does not require information to be filed with the Commission. However, it does contain reporting and recordkeeping requirements such as creating and maintaining an UFLS program, for which using current technology is an option that may reduce burden compared to not using current technology.

4. DESCRIBE EFFORTS TO IDENTIFY DUPLICATION AND SHOW SPECIFICALLY WHY ANY SIMILAR INFORMATION ALREADY AVAILABLE CANNOT BE USED OR MODIFIED FOR USE FOR THE PURPOSE(S) DESCRIBED IN INSTRUCTION NO. 2

The Commission periodically reviews filing requirements concurrent with OMB review or as the Commission deems necessary to eliminate duplicative filing and to minimize the filing burden. OMB approved the reporting and recordkeeping requirements in national Reliability Standard PRC-006-3, which are the same as those in PRC-006-5, under FERC-725G, OMB Control No. 1902-0252. The information requirements in this regional Reliability Standard do not replace the requirements in the national Reliability Standard but instead apply an additional level of work to be completed by the respondents in the SERC Region. The additional requirements in the regional Reliability Standard are unique, and the Commission does not know of any other source for similar information.

5. METHODS USED TO MINIMIZE THE BURDEN IN COLLECTION OF INFORMATION INVOLVING SMALL ENTITIES

The regional Reliability Standard does not contain express provisions for minimizing the burden of the requirements for small entities. All the requirements in the regional Reliability Standard apply to every applicable entity, be it large or small.

Small entities generally can reduce their burden by taking part in a joint registration organization or a coordinated function registration. These options allow an entity the ability to share its compliance burden with other similar entities.

Detailed information regarding these options is available in NERC's Rules of Procedure at sections 507 and 508.⁶

6. CONSEQUENCE TO FEDERAL PROGRAM IF COLLECTION WERE CONDUCTED LESS FREQUENTLY

⁶ Details of the current ERO Reliability Standard processes are available on the NERC website at FINAL - ROP Appendix 3A SPM .

These requirements are necessary for the reliable operation of the bulk electric system. Any reduction in frequency may diminish the ability of NERC, Regional Entities, or FERC in maintaining reliability on the bulk electric system.

As stated in response to #2 above, failure to comply with the information collection requirements may lead to an uncontrolled failure of the Interconnection. Reducing the reporting/record retention frequency may increase the risk of such an uncontrolled failure.

7. EXPLAIN ANY SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES RELATING TO THE INFORMATION COLLECTION

There are some special circumstances as described in 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2) related to this information collection.

Much of the requisite documentation to be maintained must be kept since the last compliance audit for a given entity. Because compliance audits may occur more than 3 years apart, the records may be kept for a period that exceeds OMB guidelines in 5 CFR 1320.5(d)(2)(iv) that stipulates that records may not be retained for longer than three years. The Commission did not prescribe a set data retention period to apply to all Reliability Standards because the circumstance of each Reliability Standard varies. The regional standard and reporting and retention requirements were developed, vetted, and proposed by industry in the ERO's standards development process.

More specific language on data retention from the Reliability Standard PRC-006-SERC-03 follows:

Regional Reliability Standard PRC-006-SERC-03 requires the following evidence retention:

"Each Planning Coordinator, UFLS Entity and Generator Owner shall keep data or evidence to show compliance as identified below unless directed by SERC to retain specific evidence for a longer period of time as part of an investigation.

Each Planning Coordinator, UFLS Entity and Generator Owner shall retain the current evidence of each Requirement

and Measure as well as any evidence necessary to show compliance since the last compliance audit.

If a Planning Coordinator, UFLS Entity or Generator Owner is found noncompliant, it shall keep information related to the non-compliance until found compliant or for the retention period specified above, whichever is longer.

The compliance enforcement authority shall keep the last audit records and all requested and submitted subsequent audit records."⁷

8. DESCRIBE EFFORTS TO CONSULT OUTSIDE THE AGENCY: SUMMARIZE PUBLIC COMMENTS AND THE AGENCY'S RESPONSE TO THESE COMMENTS

The ERO process to develop Reliability Standards is a collaborative process involving the ERO, Regional Entities and other stakeholders developing and reviewing drafts, and providing comments, vetting and voting (possibly multiple rounds) on the standards, with the final proposed standard submitted to the FERC for review and approval.⁸

In accordance with OMB requirements⁹, the Commission published a 60-day notice¹⁰ and a 30-day notice¹¹ to the public regarding this information collection on 6/25/2025 and 7/1/2025 respectively. In the public notices, the Commission noted that it would be requesting a three-year extension of the public reporting burden with no change to the existing requirements concerning the collection of data. No comments were received on the 60-day Notice.

9. EXPLAIN ANY PAYMENT OR GIFTS TO RESPONDENTS

The Commission does not make payments or provide gifts for respondents related to this collection.

⁷ Page 6 of 13 of the PRC-006-SERC-03 Reliability Standard PRC-006-SERC-03

⁸ Details of the current ERO Reliability Standard processes are available on the NERC website at <u>FINAL - ROP Appendix 3A SPM v5</u>

⁹5 CFR 1320.8(d)

^{10 90} FR 17429

^{11 90} FR 28739

10. DESCRIBE ANY ASSURANCE OF CONFIDENTIALITY PROVIDED TO RESPONDENTS

According to the NERC Rules of Procedure, "...a Receiving Entity shall keep in confidence and not copy, disclose, or distribute any Confidential Information or any part thereof without the permission of the Submitting Entity, except as otherwise legally required." This serves to protect confidential information submitted to NERC or Regional Entities.

Responding entities do not submit the information collected for Reliability Standards to FERC. Rather, they submit the information to NERC, the regional entities, or maintain it internally. Since there are no submissions made to FERC, FERC provides no specific provisions in order to protect confidentiality.

11. PROVIDE ADDITIONAL JUSTIFICATION FOR ANY QUESTIONS OF A SENSITIVE NATURE, SUCH AS SEXUAL BEHAVIOR AND ATTITUDES, RELIGIOUS BELIEFS, AND OTHER MATTERS THAT ARE COMMONLY CONSIDERED PRIVATE.

This collection does not contain any questions of a sensitive nature.

12. ESTIMATED BURDEN OF COLLECTION OF INFORMATION

The following table provides the estimated annual burden and cost related to FERC-725K information collection requirements:

FERC-725K: Mandatory Reliability Standard for the SERC Region						
		Annual			Total	
		Number	Total	Average	Annual	
		of	Number	Burden	Burden	
		Response	of	& Cost	Hours &	Cost per
	Number of	s per	Response	Per	Total	Respond
	Respondent	Respond	s	Respons	Annual	ent
	\mathbf{s}^{12}	ent	(1)*(2)=(e ¹³	Cost	(\$)
	(1)	(2)	3)	(4)	(3)*(4)=(5)	(5)÷(1)

¹² These values were derived from the NERC Compliance data of February 12, 2025, using only SERC unique United States registered entities. Applicable to: Planning

Annual	27 (PC)	1	27	24 hrs.	648 hrs.	\$1,696.0
Review				\$1,696.0	\$45,794.16	8
and				8		
Record	212 (GO)	1	212	20 hrs.	4,240hrs.	\$1,413.4
Retentio				\$1,413.4	\$299,640.8	0
n				0	0	
	70 (TO)	1	70	12 hrs.	840 hrs.	\$848.04
				\$848.04	\$59,362.80	
	95 (DP)	1	95	12 hrs.	1,140 hrs.	\$848.04
				\$848.04	\$80,563.80	
TOTAL			404		6,868 hrs.	\$4,805.5
					\$485,361.5	6
					6	

13. ESTIMATE OF THE TOTAL ANNUAL COST BURDEN TO RESPONDENTS

There are no start-up or other non-labor costs.

Total Capital and Start-up cost: \$0

Total Operation, Maintenance, and Purchase of Services: \$0

All of the costs in the FERC-725K information collection are associated with burden hours (labor) and described in Questions #12 and #15 in this supporting statement.

14. ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED COST TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

The Regional Entities and NERC do most of the data processing, monitoring and compliance work for Reliability Standards. Any involvement by the Commission is covered under the FERC-725 information collection (OMB Control No. 1902-0225) and is not part of this request/ICR package.

Coordinators-PC, Generator Owners-GO, Transmission Owners-TO, and Distribution Providers-DP.

¹³ The estimated hourly cost (salary plus benefits) is a combination based on the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), as of 2024, for 75% of the average of an Electrical Engineer (17-2071) \$79.31/hr., $79.31 \times .75 = 59.4825$ (\$59.48-rounded) (\$59.48/hour) and 25% of an Information and Record Clerk (43-4199) \$44.74/hr., \$44.74 $\times .25\% = 11.185$ (\$11.19 rounded) (\$11.19/hour), for a total (\$59.48+\$11.19 = \$70.67/hour).

The PRA Administrative Cost (estimate of \$7,978 per collection annually) is a Federal Cost associated with preparing, issuing, and submitting materials necessary to comply with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) for rulemakings, orders, or any other vehicle used to create, modify, extend, or discontinue an information collection. This average annual cost includes requests for extensions, all associated rulemakings or orders, and other changes to the collection, as well as necessary publications in the Federal Register.

	Number of Employees	Estimated Annual
	(FTE)	Federal Cost
Analysis and Processing of		
filings ¹⁴	0	0
PRA Administrative Cost		\$7,978
FERC Total		\$7,978

15. REASONS FOR CHANGES IN BURDEN INCLUDING THE NEED FOR ANY INCREASE

There are no program changes to in the FERC-725K information collection. An increase in the estimate made to the burden hours and respondents totaled out to be +201 annual responses and +3,144 annual Burden hours. The total requested is 404 annual responses and 6,868 annual burden hours. Adjustments to the agency estimate is due to additional entities being added into the SERC region when Florida Reliability Coordinating Council (FRCC) ceased operations as a regional entity

The following table shows the total burden of the collection of information. The format, labels, and definitions of the table follow the ROCIS submission system's "Information Collection Request Summary of Burden" for the metadata.

			Change due	
			to	Change Due
	Total	Previously	Adjustment	to Agency
FERC-725K	Request	Approved	in Estimate	Discretion
Annual Number of				
Responses	404	203	+201	0

¹⁴ Based upon FERC's 2025 FTE average salary plus benefits (\$214,093)

Annual Time Burden (Hr.)	6,868	3,724	+3,144	0
Annual Cost Burden (\$)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

16. TIME SCHEDULE FOR PUBLICATION OF DATA

There are no data publications.

17. DISPLAY OF EXPIRATION DATE

The expiration dates are displayed in a table posted on ferc.gov at https://www.ferc.gov/information-collections.

18. EXCEPTIONS TO THE CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

There are no exceptions.