## **SUPPORTING STATEMENT: PART B**

## **Core State Injury Prevention Program Evaluation**

OMB# 0920-1365

June 11, 2025

Point of Contact:

Point of Contact:
Khiya Mullins
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
National Center for Injury Prevention and Control
Atlanta, GA 30341-3724

#### B. COLLECTIONS OF INFORMATION EMPLOYING STATISTICAL METHODS

## **B.1.** Respondent Universe and Sampling Methods

CDC will conduct a mixed methods data collection for the respondent universe including 26 funded recipients (state health departments). Data will be reported annually by funded recipients of CE21-2101 as part of the annual program evaluation data collection. Recipients will submit data on enhancements in program implementation capacity (Attachment E), leveraged resources/funds through economic indicators (Attachment F), and challenges and successes, programmatic improvements, and impact through interviews (Attachment G). Finally, awardees will annually submit injury and violence prevention surveillance data using an Excel-based Injury Indicator Spreadsheets (Attachment H) and Special Emphasis Reports (Attachment I).

#### **B.2.** Procedures for the Collection of Information

Information will be collected by CDC through the following modes:

- 1) The Core SIPP Implementation Capacity Development Rubric was implemented once at the start of program funding (baseline collection), and then subsequently during the middle of each reporting year. Recipients self-administer the rubric via CDC's Partner Portal, where they self-score their state injury prevention programs according to their current level of capacity for components of interest. These scores are used to identify recipient strengths, areas for improvement, and additional needs for CDC TA support. Measuring recipient improvements in implementing public health actions in this standard way will greatly increase the ability for CDC to measure the impact of the program investment. CDC also aggregates these scores across recipients to identify larger program needs and to inform internal CQI activities. This information is shared back with recipients individually during annual technical review calls, as well as in aggregate at annual partnership meetings. Additionally, increased capacity increases the likelihood of sustainability beyond the funding cycle.
- 2) Recipient-level Group Interviews will take place at the end of Program Years 3,4, and 5. The purpose of these interviews is to evaluate progress and challenges in implementing the Core SIPP program within the individual recipient-level context to inform tailored supports from CDC and partners. The tailored support will be in effort to facilitate solutions to programmatic barriers, adjust recipient strategies as needed, and ensure the quality of data reported annually to CDC.
- 3) Economic Indicators are collected to better understand the cost of IVP implementation by strategy as well as how recipients have leveraged funds and resources to increased sustainability for injury and violence prevention work.
- 4) Injury Indicator Spreadsheets and Special Emphasis Reports are collected annually to track state level injury and violence morbidity and mortality data. This allows CDC to measure trends over time within a state, across states, and against the national average to identify changes during the Core SIPP funding period. Completion of the spreadsheets and reports also ensures recipient surveillance capacity and reporting is in alignment with best practices. No research design or human subjects involved.

#### **B.3.** Methods to Maximize Response Rates and Deal with Nonresponse

Data collection for 1, 2, 4 above is a component of required reporting for all 26 recipients of CE21-2101 regardless of when their funding began (3 states began their funding after Year 1). Data collection for number 3, above, is a required reporting requirement for 23 recipients that have been funded since Year 1 of the program.

### **B.4.** Tests of Procedures or Methods to be Undertaken

The Partners Portal has been in use for two funding cycles of several funded programs across the Injury Center. Similarly, the Implementation Capacity Development Rubric is an adaptation of a validated and previously cleared instrument currently being used by State Health Department Injury Units for state plan assessment. The adapted version will be tested in coordination with the testing of the Partners Portal. Recipient interview guides will be tested with a CDC internal group of program team members. The economic spreadsheet and injury indicators have been used and revised previously during past funding cycles.

# **B.5.** Individuals Consulted on Statistical Aspects and Individuals Collecting and/or Analyzing Data

**CDC** Evaluation Consultation

Khiya Mullins, Health Scientist

**CDC Programmatic Consultation** 

• Khiya Mullins, Health Scientist