Public Reporting burden of this collection of information is estimated at 1 hours, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to CDC/ATSDR Reports Clearance Officer, 1600 Clifton Road NW, MS D-74, Atlanta, GA 30333; Attn: PRA (xxxx-xxxx).

					_
Essentials for (Childhood	Preventing ACI	Es Evaluation	n and Surveillan	ce Survev

ů S	riences through Data to Action	entials for Childhood (EIC): Prevent n.	ıng
•	Essentials for Childhood (EfC) a to Action organization doing	e: Preventing Adverse Childhood g work?	
•		for Childhood (EfC): Preventing Adversaries Adversaries and continuous for the continuous	erse
	, please describe your employers, please describe your employers, please describe your time is dedicated to evalu	er (e.g., contracting agency). uation for Essentials for Childhood	
(EfC): Preventing Advers	e Childhood Experiences thro	ugh Data to Action?	
0	50	100	
	urveillance capacity assessme	ent (completed in Year 1) inform	
• -	prevention or program capacity gram, and data to action plann	y assessment (completed in Year 1) ing?	
	urveillance capacity assessme nd data to action planning?	ent (completed in Year 1) inform	

9. In what ways did the data dissemination and data to action plan (completed in Year 1, updated annually) inform your surveillance, program, and data to action planning?	and
10. How would you rate Essentials for Childhood (EfC): Preventing Adverse Childhood Experiences through Data to Action's capacity to evaluate ACEs and PCEs surveillance activities? extremely low	
extremely low	
o moderately low	
adequate	
omoderately difficult	
extremely high	
11. How would you rate Essentials for Childhood (EfC): Preventing Adverse Childhood Experiences through Data to Action's capacity to evaluate ACEs prevention activities?	
extremely low	
omoderately low	
adequate	
moderately high	
extremely high	
12. How would you rate Essentials for Childhood (EfC): Preventing Adverse Childhood Experiences through Data to Action's capacity to evaluate ACEs and PCEs data to activities?	
extremely low	
o moderately low	
adequate	
omoderately high	
extremely high	
13. Please provide a brief description of your ratings for your evaluation capacity for ACI and PCEs surveillance, prevention, and data to action activities.	∃s

14. To what extent has Essentials for Childhood (EfC): Preventing Adverse Childhood Experiences through Data to Action's made progress (to date) towards building your ACEs and PCEs surveillance capacity, implementing your selected ACEs prevention strategies, and using your data to inform programmatic action? Please base your responses on your most recent evaluation findings.
How has the quality of ACEs and PCEs surveillance changed as a result of the Essentials for Childhood (EfC): Preventing Adverse Childhood Experiences through Data to Action funding? Please describe in detail the dimensions in quality of the ACEs and PCEs surveillance system.
15. What has been the ONE greatest facilitator for building your comprehensive ACEs and PCEs youth-based surveillance and data to action system?
16. What has been the ONE greatest barrier in building your comprehensive ACEs and PCEs youth-based surveillance and data to action system?
17. How difficult has it has been to add and sustain inclusion of the core ACEs items, and at least one PCE item, on your selected youth-based surveillance system? Describe any challenges.
18. What has been the greatest facilitator for adding and sustaining inclusion of the core ACEs items, and at least one PCE item, on your selected youth-based surveillance system?
19. How difficult it has been to use social determinants of health data to effectively monitor social and structural inequities that may contribute to inequities in ACEs? Describe any challenges.
20. What has been the greatest facilitator of using social determinants of health data to effectively monitor social and structural inequities that may contribute to inequities in ACEs?

needed data? Very Easy Somewhat Easy Neither Easy or Difficut Somewhat Difficult Very Difficult	been to maintain partnerships to ensure access to and dissemination
needed data? Very Easy Somewhat Easy or Difficult Somewhat Difficult Very Difficult 23. How difficult has it of needed data? Very Easy Somewhat Easy Neither Easy or Difficult Somewhat Difficult	been to maintain partnerships to ensure access to and dissemination
Somewhat Easy Neither Easy or Difficult Somewhat Difficult Very Difficult 23. How difficult has it of needed data? Very Easy Somewhat Easy Neither Easy or Difficult Somewhat Difficult	been to maintain partnerships to ensure access to and dissemination
Neither Easy or Difficult Somewhat Difficult Very Difficult 23. How difficult has it of needed data? Very Easy Somewhat Easy Neither Easy or Difficult Somewhat Difficult	been to maintain partnerships to ensure access to and dissemination
Somewhat Difficult Very Difficult 23. How difficult has it of needed data? Very Easy Somewhat Easy Neither Easy or Difficult Somewhat Difficult	been to maintain partnerships to ensure access to and dissemination
Very Difficult 23. How difficult has it of needed data? Very Easy Somewhat Easy Neither Easy or Difficult Somewhat Difficult	
23. How difficult has it of needed data? Very Easy Somewhat Easy Neither Easy or Difficult	
of needed data? Very Easy Somewhat Easy Neither Easy or Difficut Somewhat Difficult	
Somewhat Easy Neither Easy or Difficu Somewhat Difficult	ult
Neither Easy or Difficu Somewhat Difficult	ult
Somewhat Difficult	ult
\bigcirc	
O Very Difficult	
data enhanced your surve 25. OPTIONAL RECIPIEN surveillance and social de	TTS ONLY: How has use of near-real time syndromic surveillance cillance and data to action efforts to monitor indicators of ACEs? TTS ONLY: How has use of linked ACEs and PCEs youth-based eterminants of health data enhanced your capacity to understand associated with structural inequities?
surveillance and social de	TTS ONLY: How has use of linked ACEs and PCEs youth-based eterminants of health data enhanced your capacity to allocate ommunities with disproportionate need due to structural inequities?

27. To date, what ACE prevention st	- 0	been made read	ching populatio	ons with high A	CE burden with
no progress	J				
very little progr	ess				
moderate progr	ess				
substantial prog	jress				
28. Please provide a burden.	brief descrip	tion for your rat	ing for reachin	g populations v	with high ACE
29. Please describe lindividuals and settichallenges or facilitation	ngs (includin	g populations wi	-		
30. How has increas the public improved					-
31. How has increas the public improved					-
32. To what extent h Experiences through outcomes? Please ba	Data to Acti	on's made progr	ress (to date) ir ost recent inte Very Little	the following	short-term
Objective 1.1. Increased capacity to create, use, and disseminate data from a comprehensive ACEs and PCEs surveillance system	Measured	No Progress	Progress	Progress	Progress
Objective 1.2. Increased state level collection of ACEs and PCEs data through youth-based surveillance	\circ	0	0	0	
Objective 1.3. Increased capacity to collect data on the	0	0	0	0	

social determinants of health					
Objective 1.4. Increased access to ACEs and PCEs, risk and protective factor, and social determinants of health data to inform prevention strategies and identify inequities		0			0
Objective 2.1. Increased partner awareness of existing state prevention strategies and approaches that address ACEs		0			0
Objective 2.2. Increased coordination and collaboration between state agencies and other sectors	0	0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	0
Objective 2.2a. Increased coordination and collaboration between local agencies and other sectors*	0	0			0
Objective 2.3. Increased capacity to implement comprehensive ACEs prevention strategies at the state level	0	0	0	0	0
Objective 2.3a. Increased capacity to implement comprehensive ACEs prevention strategies at the local level*	0	0	0	0	0
Objective 3.1. Increased understanding of state surveillance and prevention capacity related to ACEs and PCEs	0	0	0	0	0
Objective 3.2. Increased capacity to use ACEs and PCEs surveillance					

and evaluation data to identify and tailor ACEs prevention strategies, including to improve health equity, and the social determinants of health					
Objective 3.3. Increased data dissemination on ACEs and PCEs to state partners, policy-makers, and the public	0	0	0	0	
Objective 3.3a. Increased data dissemination on ACEs and PCEs to local* partners, policy-makers, and the public	0		0	0	
Objective 3.4. Increased knowledge about the effectiveness of ACEs prevention strategies to improve health and wellbeing, and reduce inequities					
33. Please provide a 34. To what extent h Experiences through outcomes? Please be	as Essentials 1 Data to Acti 1 ase your respo	for Childhood (I on made progre	EfC): Preventings (to date) in toost recent inter	g Adverse Chil he following in rim evaluation :	dhood termediate findings.
	Not Yet Measured	No Progress	Very Little Progress	Moderate Progress	Substantial Progress
Objective 1.5. Increased state-level monitoring of trends in ACEs and PCEs over time, and use of data from youth populations	0			0	
Objective 1.6. Increased use of data on health inequities and the					

factors for ACEs, and reduce inequities					
Objective 1.7. Increased sustainability of a comprehensive ACEs and PCEs surveillance system that informs tailored prevention strategies	0	0			
Objective 2.4. Increased uptake and implementation of comprehensive ACEs prevention strategies at the state level	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
Objective 2.4a. Increased uptake and implementation of comprehensive ACEs prevention strategies at the local level*	0	0	0	0	
Objective 2.5. Increased reach of prevention strategies, with a focus on communities with disproportionate needs due to social determinants of health					
Objective 2.6. Increased evidence for population-based approaches to prevent ACEs prevention strategies	0	\bigcirc			
Objective 3.5. Increased use and translation[AK(1] of surveillance and evaluation data to inform tailored prevention strategy implementation to reduce ACEs and improve health equity and the social determinants of health					
Objective 3.6. Increased partner response to the burden of ACEs and					

PCEs in their state, and public awareness of societal factors that lead to safe, stable, and nurturing relationships and environments					
35. Please provide a b	rief explanatio	on of any nota	able responses	to the previous	question.
36. As of today, how A little behind Slowly gearing up On track Full steam ahead		escribe your p	orogram's evalu	ation activities	
37. What challenges h 38. What has facilitate				ate?	
39. To what extent l Not at all A little Somewhat A great deal	have you used	l your surveill	ance and evalu	ation data?	
40. Which types of tec partners have been us		nce and supp	ort from the CI	OC and technica	ıl assistance
41. How could technic be improved?	al assistance	and support f	from CDC and t	echnical assista	ance partners
42. If you have any add	ditional comm	nents on evalu	aation, please p	rovide them he	re.

43. Sustainability
This section covers efforts to sustain improvements in ACE surveillance beyond the timeline of the Essentials for Childhood (EfC): Preventing Adverse Childhood Experiences through Data to Action cooperative agreement.
How are you planning to sustain the implementation of ACE prevention strategies following the conclusion of Essentials for Childhood (EfC):): Preventing Adverse Childhood Experiences through Data to Action funding?
44. How are you planning to sustain the use of ACEs and PCEs data to inform prevention strategy action following the conclusion of Essentials for Childhood (EfC): Preventing Adverse Childhood Experiences through Data to Action funding?
45. How are you planning to sustain the surveillance system following the conclusion of Essentials for Childhood (EfC): Preventing Adverse Childhood Experiences through Data to Action funding? Specifically, describe any plans to sustain inclusion of ACEs and PCEs items in youth-based surveillance efforts.
46. How are you planning to sustain the surveillance system following the conclusion of Essentials for Childhood (EfC): Preventing Adverse Childhood Experiences through Data to Action funding? Specifically, describe any plans to sustain dissemination of data to various audiences.
47. How has sustainability planning involved discussions with collaborators and data partners? What perceptions and values do these partners have on sustainability?
48. Is there anything you have not described yet that you would like to add?