

RPE Lead Evaluator Survey Screenshots

RPE Lead Evaluator Survey

Form Approve
OMB No: xxxx-xxxx
Exp. Date: xx-xx-xxxx

The public reporting burden of this information collection is estimated at 30 minutes, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering, and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. An agency may not conduct or sponsor; a person is only required to respond to a collection of information if it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to CDC/ATSDR Reports Clearance Officer, 1600 Clifton Road NW, MS D-74, Atlanta, GA 30333; Attn: PRA (xxxx-xxxx).

INTRODUCTION

1. In which state/territory is your RPE organization doing work?

2. Does your RPE organization employ internal evaluation staff, external evaluation staff (e.g., contractors), or both internal and external evaluation staff?

☐ Internal evaluation staff

☐ External evaluation staff

☐ Internal and external evaluation staff

3. If your organization employs external evaluation staff, please indicate the type of employer:

☐ Contracting agency

☐ University

☐ Other

Please provide the name of your evaluation organization.

PROGRESS TOWARD GOALS

4. What evaluation measure(s) is being used to monitor progress towards NOFO strategies and activities?

Build internal capacity to facilitate and monitor implementation

Build capacity for partner organizations

Conduct or leverage a primary prevention capacity assessment with a health equity focus

Participate in CDC sponsored activities

Develop or enhance existing State/Territory/Tribal Action Plan with partners

Leverage multi-sector partners towards SV prevention

Engage multi-sector partners including but not limited to SA and Tribal Coalitions

Identifying, implementing, and adapting SV prevention strategies at the community and societal level focused on reducing disparities

Gather and synthesize publicly available data

Use data to identify and select prevention strategies

Develop and implement an equity focused evaluation plan

Increase access to and use of monitoring and evaluation data to improve SV prevention implementation

5. To what extent has your RPE organization made progress in the following short-term outcomes?
Please base your responses on your most recent evaluation findings.

	Not yet measured	No progress	Very little progress	Moderate progress	Substantial progress
Increased capacity/understanding of capacity to implement and evaluate primary prevention of SV at the community- and societal- levels within SHDs/in Indian Country	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Increased capacity to promote and incorporate health equity program activities relevant to SV prevention among partner organizations	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Increased partner and community awareness of states/territories/tribal efforts to prevent SV and the disproportionate burden of SV	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Increased partner and community awareness of effective primary prevention strategies and the disparate burden of SV	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Increased coordination and collaboration among partners and between SHDs, SA coalitions, Tribal SA coalitions, representatives from underserved communities and other sectors to prevent SV	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Increased community and society-level implementation of SV prevention strategies	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Increased implementation of prevention strategies among communities and populations with disproportionately high rates of SV	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Increased implementation of prevention strategies that seek to prevent SV by addressing social and structural determinants of health	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Increased awareness of availability of data to understand inequities within tribal populations and communities with disproportionately high rates of SV	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Increased access and use of data to understand inequities within populations and communities with disproportionately high rates of SV	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Increased monitoring and evaluation activities and sharing of data related to SV prevention	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

6. What evaluation measure(s) is being used to monitor progress towards the following short-term outcomes? Please base your responses on your most recent evaluation findings.

Increased capacity/understanding of capacity to implement and evaluate primary prevention of SV at the community- and societal- levels within SHDs/in Indian Country

Increased capacity to promote and incorporate health equity program activities relevant to SV prevention among partner organizations

Increased partner and community awareness of states/territories/tribal efforts to prevent SV and the disproportionate burden of SV

Increased partner and community awareness of effective primary prevention strategies and the disparate burden of SV

Increased coordination and collaboration among partners and between SHDs, SA coalitions, Tribal SA coalitions, representatives from underserved communities and other sectors to prevent SV

Increased community and society-level implementation of SV prevention strategies

Increased implementation of prevention strategies among communities and populations with disproportionately high rates of SV

Increased implementation of prevention strategies that seek to prevent SV by addressing social and structural determinants of health

Increased awareness of availability of data to understand inequities within tribal populations and communities with disproportionately high rates of SV

Increased access and use of data to understand inequities within populations and communities with disproportionately high rates of SV

Increased monitoring and evaluation activities and sharing of data related to SV prevention

7. Please provide a brief explanation of any challenges faced related to measurement of short-term outcomes.

8. To what extent has your RPE organization made progress in the following intermediate-term outcomes? Please base your responses on your most recent evaluation findings.

	Not yet measured	No progress	Very little progress	Moderate progress	Substantial progress
Increased capacity for statewide program implementation and SV prevention	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Increased partner support to implement, evaluate, and adapt state- and community-level strategies to prevent SV	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Increased reach of prevention strategies that impact communities and populations with disproportionately high rates of SV	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Increase in number of community- and societal-level strategies that promote health equity and reduce inequities in SV by addressing social and structural determinants of health	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Increase in protective factors and decrease in risk factors associated with SV	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Increased access to data to understand inequities within tribal populations and communities with disproportionately high rates of SV	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Increased use of data-driven decision making to reduce inequities impacting populations and communities with disproportionately high rates of SV

☐☐☐☐☐

Increased state- and community-level monitoring of trends in SV outcomes and SDOH

☐☐☐☐☐

9. What evaluation measure(s) is being used to monitor progress towards the following intermediate-term outcomes? Please base your responses on your most recent evaluation findings.

Increased capacity for statewide program implementation and SV prevention

Increased partner support to implement, evaluate, and adapt state- and community-level strategies to prevent SV

Increased reach of prevention strategies that impact communities and populations with disproportionately high rates of SV

Increase in number of community- and societal-level strategies that promote health equity and reduce inequities in SV by addressing social and structural determinants of health

Increase in protective factors and decrease in risk factors associated with SV

Increased access to data to understand inequities within tribal populations and communities with disproportionately high rates of SV

Increased use of data-driven decision making to reduce inequities impacting populations and communities with disproportionately high rates of SV

Increased state- and community-level monitoring of trends in SV outcomes and SDOH

10. Please provide a brief explanation of any challenges faced related to measurement of intermediate-term outcomes.

DATA USE AND ACCESS

11. What barriers has your RPE organization experienced with respect to accessing data? How are you addressing those barriers?

12. What facilitators has your RPE organization experienced with respect to accessing data?

EVALUATION CAPACITY AND PROGRESS

13. As of today, how would you describe the status of your RPE organization's evaluation activities?

- ☐ Experiencing significant delays
- ☐ Experiencing minor delays
- ☐ On track

14. What challenges have affected your RPE organization's evaluation progress to date? How are you addressing those challenges?

15. What has facilitated your RPE organization's evaluation progress to date?

16. Please describe how key partners have supported your RPE organization's evaluation capacity.

17. Please describe any changes made to your evaluation plan and the reason for those changes (e.g., changes in evaluation design, data collection, analysis methods, outcomes and indicators, product dissemination).

18. To what extent has your RPE organization used its evaluation data?

- ☐ Not at all
- ☐ A little
- ☐ Somewhat
- ☐ A great deal

19. What barriers has your RPE organization experienced with respect to using evaluation data for continuous program improvement? How are you addressing those barriers?

20. What facilitators has your RPE organization experienced with respect to using evaluation data for continuous program improvement?

21. How have evaluation findings been used to inform your RPE organization's program activities?

22. How is your RPE organization aligning its program- and policy-level evaluation with state/territory-level evaluation?

23. How would you rate the capacity of your RPE organization's sub-recipients to evaluate sexual violence prevention activities?

- ☐ Extremely low
- ☐ Moderately low
- ☐ Adequate
- ☐ Moderately high
- ☐ Extremely high

24. What factors have impacted your RPE organization's sub-recipients' capacity to evaluate SV prevention activities?

25. What evaluation-related trainings has your RPE organization provided to its partners? Which types of partners (e.g., health services, government, education, law enforcement, etc.) participated in these trainings?

26. What evaluation products has your RPE organization developed? How does your RPE organization plan to disseminate its evaluation products, and to which audiences?

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

27. Which types of technical assistance and support from the CDC and technical assistance partners have been useful to your RPE organization?

28. How could technical assistance and support from CDC and technical assistance partners be improved?

CONCLUSION

29. Thank you so much for taking the time to complete this survey! If you have any additional comments on evaluation, please provide them here.

Done